

# प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

**₹• 44**]

नई बिहली, शनिवार, अस्तूबर 30, 1982/कार्तिक 8, 1904

No. 44]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1982/KARTIKA 8, 1904

इस भाग में भिन्न पूष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससें कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सर्वे Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—इन्ड 3---उप-इन्ड (ii)

PART II-Section 3-Sub-section (II)

(रक्षा मंत्राजय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्राजयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सर्विधिक आहेश और आधसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

# विधि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय

(श्याद विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 14 प्रश्तूबर, 1982

का०आ० 3656.—स्यायालय की धनमानना प्रधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 70) की धारा 15 की उपवारा (2) के धनुमरण में केन्द्रीय मरकार, गोया, दमन और दीन मरकार के सरकारी प्रधिनिकता की जीकिम दिवास का, उना उपवारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए, सब शासिन केन्न गोवा, दमन और बीज के संबंध में विधि ध्रिधिनारी के रूप में निर्दिष्ट करनी है।

[सं० 26/3/82न्याय]

एप**ंकेंश्यमी, उप सचिव** 

## MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Justice)

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

S.O. 3656.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (70 of 1971), the Central Government hereby specifies that Shri Joaquim Dias, Government Advocate to the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu to be the Law Officer for the purposes of the said sub-section, in relation to the Union territory of Goa, Daman and Diu,

[No. 26/3/82-Jus.] S. K. SHARMA, Dy. Secy.

# गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 2 सितम्बर, 1982

का० आ० 3657. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के जासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुसरण में गृह मंत्रालय के निम्निलियन कार्यात्रयों का, जिनके कर्माचारी बृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यमाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, सिंधसुचिन करती है....

- कार्यालय पुलिस सहांतिरोक्षक सेक्टर-3, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, मई दिल्ली-110057.
- 2. कार्यालय पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक, के रि० पु० त्रत, नई दिल्ली।
- ग्रुप केन्द्र के० रि० पु० बल सई दिल्ली।
- 4. 47 वी बटालियन
- 5. 54 वी बटाणियन
- 55 वी बटालियन
- 7. 71 वी घटालियन
- 8 में म प्रस्पनाल, प्रथम, के० रि० पु० मल नई दिल्ली
- 9. ग्रुप केन्द्र के० रि० पु० बल गांधीनगर
- 10. 24 वीं बटालियन
- 11 37 वी बटा लियन
- 12. 68 वी बटालियन
- 13. पुलिस उपमहानिरीक्षक के० रि० पु० बल, प्रजमेर
- 14. मूप केन्द्र, के० रि० पु० वल, धन्नमेर
- 15. 3 री बटालियन
- 16. 9 वी बटालियन

850 GI/82-1

- 17. 33 वी घटानियन
- 18. 40 वी बटालियन
- 19. ग्रुप केन्द्र-2 के० रि० पु० बल, फ्राजमेर
- 20. 13 वी बटालियन
- 21. 14 थी बटा नियन
- 22. 61 वी बटालियन
- 23. 67 वी बटालियन
- 24. पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, श्रीनगर
- 25 ग्रंप केन्द्र, कन्द्रीय रिजर्थ पुलिस बल, बनतलाब
- 26. 2 री बटालियन ,
- 27 20 वी बटा शियन
- 28 22 वी बटालियन
- <sup>-9</sup> 16 वी घटालियन

[मं० 12017/1/82 हिन्दी] ग्राई० एम० बिष्ट, उप समिन

#### MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 2nd September, 1982

- S.O. 3657.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Languages (use for Official purposes of the union). Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of the Ministry of Home Affairs, the Staff whereof have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi:—
  - Office of the Inspector General of Police, Sector, 3, C. R. P. F. New Delhi.
  - Office of the Dy. Inspector General of Police C. R P. F. New Delhi.
  - 3. Group Centre, C. R. P. F., New Delhl.
  - 4. Battalion No. 47.
  - 5. Battalion No. 54.
  - 6. Batalion No. 55.
  - 7. Battalion No. 71.
  - 8. Base Hospital Eirst, C.R.P.F. New Delhi.
  - 9. Group Centie, C.R.P.F. Gandhi Nagar.
  - 10. Battalion No. 24.
  - 11. Battalion No. 37.
  - 12. Battalion No. 68
  - 13. Dy. Inspector General of Police, C.R.P.F. Ajmer.
  - 14. Group Centie, C.R.P.F. Aimer.
  - 15. Battalion No. 3.
  - 16. Battalion No. 9.
  - 17. Battalion No. 33.
  - 18. Battalion No. 40.
  - 19. Group Centre-2, C.R.P.F. Ajmer.
  - 20. Battalion No. 13.
  - 21. Battalion No. 14.
  - 22. Battalion No. 61.
  - 23. Battalion No. 67.
  - 24. Dy. Inspector General of Police C. R. P. F. Srinagar.
  - 25. Group Centre, C.R.P.F. Bantlab.
  - 26. Battalion No. 2.
  - 27. Battalion No. 20.
  - 28. Battalion No 22.
  - 29. Battalion No 16.

[No. 12017/1/82-Hindi]I. S. BIST, Dy. Secy.

# कार्मिक ग्रीरप्रशासनिक सुधार विसाग

# आदेश

नई फिल्ली, 7 अक्स्यर, 1982

कां आप 3658 — केन्द्रीय सरकार, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन श्रीक्षितियम, 1946 (1946 का 25) की धारों 6 के साथ पठित्र धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) दारा प्रति महिमाति से

भारतीय दंद संहिना, 1860 (1860 का 45 की घारा 30 हिक, 435 भीर 447 के घंधीन दहनीय प्रवराशों भीर उनन श्राराओं की बावन या उनके संबंध में प्रयत्नों, दुष्प्रेरणों भीर पडयतों के, नया गुजरान राज्य के बहोदा ग्रामीण जिला के नहरा पुलिस थाने में राशद्रीकृष मामला स० 292/82 की बाबस उन्हीं नथ्यों से उद्भा होने वाले उसी सध्यवहार के कम में किए गए किसी श्रम्य घाराज के श्रदेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापन के सदस्यां की शक्तियां भीर श्रिक स्थितारिता की पूरे गुजरान राज्य में विस्थारित करनी है।

[संख्या 228/7/82-ए० बी०-डी०-**11**] एव० के० वर्मा, प्रवर मचिव

(Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms)

#### ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th October, 1982

S.O. 3658.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 5 read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Central Government with consent of the Government of Gujarat, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Gujarat for the investigation of offences punisaaable under sections 304-A, 435 and 447 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (45 of 1860), and attempts, abetiments and conspiracies in telation to or in connection with the said offences and any other offence committed in the course of the same transaction arising out of the same facts in regard to case No. 292/82 registered at P. S. Padia, Baroda Rural District in the State of Gujarat.

[No. 228/7/82-AVD. II] H. K. VFRMA, Under Sccy.

# वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 16 प्रक्तूबर, 1981

#### आयकर

का० आ० 3659 ---सर्वमाधारण की जानकारी के लिए प्रधिमूचित किया जाता है कि विहिन प्राधिकारी प्रयोग, सिजय, विज्ञान मौर प्रौद्यो-िमकी विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखित सस्था को प्राय कर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(4) के साथ पिठन, प्रायकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) प्रयोजनों के लिए धन्य प्राकृतिक भौर प्रविश्युक्त विज्ञान के क्षेत्र में 'संगम' प्रदर्भ के प्रधीन निम्नलिखिन शर्तों पर धनुसोदिन किया है, श्रथीन् ---

- (i) यह कि मंडारी बेलकीर चन्त्र एंड प्रताप कंद मेमोरियल रिमकं फाउंडेशन मुम्बई प्राकृतिक या अनुप्रपृक्त, कृषि, /पशुपालन/ मारस्पकी और औषधि से भिन्न यिज्ञान के क्षेत्र में दैशानिक अनुसंघान के लिए प्राप्त राशियों को पृथक छन से लेखा रखेगा।
- (ii) उकन संगम प्रत्येक वर्ष के लिए अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंबात संबंधी किया कलापों की धार्षिक विवरणी परिषद् को प्रति वर्ष 30 अप्रैल तक ऐसे प्रक्षों में प्रस्कृत करेगा को इस प्रया-जन के लिए अधिकथित किए जाए और उसे सुचित किए जाएं।
- (iii) उक्त सगम प्रत्येक वर्ष लेखाग्रों का वार्षिक सपरीक्षित विवरण सम्बद्ध श्राय-कर श्रायक्त को भेजेगा।

#### सस्था

यह प्रधिसूचना 28-8-81 में 31-3-1983 तक विधि म्यन्य होगी।
[मं० 4265/फा०मं० 203/260/80-प ईटी ए (II)]
एम० के० पण्डिय, उप-सचिव

#### MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 16th October, 1981

# INCOME-TAX

- S.O. 3659.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Secretary, Department of Science & Technology, New Deihi, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (11) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Incometax Act, 1961 read with rule 6(iv) of the Incometax Rules, 1962 under the category of "Association" in the area of other natural and applied sciences, subject to the following conditions:—
  - (1) That the Bhandari Balweerchand Pratapchand Memorial Research Foundation, Bombay will maintain a separate account of sums received by it for scientific research in the field of natural and applied sciences other than agriculture animal husbandry fisheries and medicines;
  - (ii) That the said Association will furnish Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Prescribed Authority for every financial year in such forms as may be laid down and intimated to them for this purpose by 30th April each year;
  - (iii) That the said Association will submit the Annual Return and statement of accounts to the concerned Commissioner of Income-tax, every year.

#### INSTITUTION

Mls. Bhandari Balweerchand Pratap Chand Memorial Research Foundation, Bombay.

This notification is valid from 28-8-1981 to 31-3-1983.

[No. 4265/F. No. 203/260/80-ITA II] M. K. PANDEY, Dy. Secy.

मई बिल्ली, 12 मन्त्रवर, 1982

#### प्रधान कार्यालय संस्थापन

का॰आ॰ 3660 — कैन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क तथा सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड (कारबार के संब्यवहार का विभिन्नमन) नियम, 1964 के नियम 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एनद्द्वारा भारतीय सीमा शुल्क तथा केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क तथा के प्रधिकारी श्री जी॰एन॰ साहनी को, जो केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क तथा सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड मे सदस्य के पद पर तैनात है, विनाक 12 प्रक्तूबर, 1982 से एक वर्ष के लिए प्रध्यक्ष, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क स्था सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड नियुक्त करती है। प्रधिवाधिकी की तिथि प्रयति 31 मई, 1983 से बाद को प्रविध को सेथा मे वृद्धि माना जायगा।

[फा॰स॰ ए-19011/23/79-प्रणा॰-1] जो॰एस॰ मेहरा, भवर सन्वि

# New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982 HEADQUARTERS ESTABLISHMENT

S.O. 3660.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 3 of the Central Board of Excise & Customs (Regulation of Transaction of Business) Rules, 1964, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri G. S. Sawhney an officer of the Indian Customs & Central Excise Service and posted as Member, Central Board of Excise & Customs as Chairman, Central Board of Excise & Customs with effect from the 12th October, 1982 for one year. The period beyond superannuation i.e. the 31st May, 1983 being treated as extension of service.

[F. No. A. 19011/23/79-Ad. I]G. S. MEHRA, Under Secy.

### नई दिसर्गः, 11 अस्मूबर, 1982

#### आयकर

कां आं 3661.— आयं कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की घारा 194क की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (111) के उन-खण्ड (ज) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सन्तार, रृत्द्रीय, इकाट एन पाल्यारणन आफ मराठशास निर्माद प्रीरगाबाद को उन्त उपबंद के प्रमानाधि अधि-सचित करती है।

> [फा॰स॰ 275/26/82 तः २७० (४०)] श्रार॰ सी० हाण्डा, उप माजि

## New Della, the 11th October, 1982 INCOME-LAX

SO. 3661.—In pursuance of sub-clause (f) of clause (in) of sub-section (3) of section 194A of the Incometax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Covernment hereby notifies the Textile Corporation of Marathwada Limited, Amangabad, for the purposes of the said sub-clause

[F. No 275/26 82-IT(B)] R. C. ILANDA, Dy. Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य किनान) (वैकिय जिनाम)

नई दिल्ली, 12 भ/त्वर, 1932

का ब्यान्त 3662 — भारतीय रिश्वं बैक प्रधिनित्त, 1934 (1934 का 2) की धारा 8 की उपधारा (1) के खार (ध) के प्रमुत्तरण में धीर भारम सरकार के प्रधिक कार्य विभाग (बैकिंग प्रमाग) का दिनाक 19 प्रप्रीस, 1980 की प्रधिसूचना सन्धा एक 7/2/80-आव्या-01 की प्रधिक्रमण करने हुए, केन्द्रीय भरकार एनइद्वारा श्राएम० नर्गमहम की भारतीय रिज्वं बैक के केन्द्रीय बोर्ड में निद्यान नित्नन करनी है।

[सङ्गा० एफ ० 7/10/82-র্না০য়া০ I(I)]

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982

S.O. 3662.—In pursuance of clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 8 of the Reserve Bank of India, Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division) No. F. 7|2|80-BO.I., dated 19th April, 1980, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri M. Narasimhant, to be a Director on the Central Board of the Reserve Bank of India.

[No F. 7|10/82-BQ.1(1)]

का०आ० 3663 .--भारतीय भौदोगिक विकास बैंक प्रथितियम, 1964 (1964 का 18) की धारा 6 की उत्तरात (1) के खण्ड (ग) के उपखण्ड (1) के भनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एउद्शरः यो प्रार०एन० मल्होन्ना के स्थान पर वित्त मलालय, यावित हुई विवास के स्थान पर वित्त मलालय, यावित हुई विवास के सामिल क्षीएम० नर्रासहम को भारतीय भौदायिक जित्न बैंग का निदेशक नामिल करसी है।

ु [स॰ एफ॰ 7/10/82-पो०प्रो०-I(2)] प्रार्० ४०० कौल, अपर सचिष

S.O. 3663.—In pursuance of sub-clause (i) of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Industrial Development Bank of India Act, 1964 (18 of 1964), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri M. Na asimham, Secretary, Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry of Finance as the Director of the Industrial Development Bank of India vice Shri R. N. Malhotia.

[No F 7,10/82-BO I(2)] R. K KAUL Addl Secy.

# सम्पाहतीलय केंद्रीय जत्याव शुरुक: मध्य प्रवेश: पीस्ट बेग न 10: इंबीर इंदीर, 7 मिलम्बर, 1982

# अधिस्चना स॰ 12/82

का बार 3664.-- भाषीक्षक, केन्द्रीय जलाद शुल्क समृह 'क्व' के पद पर पदोक्षत होने पर निम्नलिखित निरीक्षकों, केन्द्रीय जलाद शुल्क (चंब श्रेव) में उनके नाम के भागे दर्शाई तिथियों को सक्षीक्षक, केन्द्रीय उल्याद शुल्क समृह 'ख' के पद पर कार्यभार ग्रहण कर निया है।

ऋ∘ घशिकारीकानाम स०	तैनाती स्थान	कार्यभाग ग्रहण करने की निधि
सर्वेश्री		, . –
1. एम०ए० अलाली	भवीक्षत्र (नि-सःक) के० उ०गु० प्रभा० कायो० व्यानियः।	2 4- 7-8 2 (पूर्वान्ह)
2. पी०टी० थामस	मधीक्षकः, के० उ० मु० रेजः, सागरः।	26-7-82 (पूर्वान्ह्)
3 जैं० बी० मोटवानी	अधीक्षक, के०उ०मु०, प्रार <b>्</b> भी०मी० II रेज भिलाई।	20-7-82 (पूर्वान्स्)
4. <b>भ</b> जीज श्र <b>र</b> ुल	ग्रधीक्षक (तकतीकी), के०उ०मू० मुख्या० कार्या० दशीर ।	3 1- 7-8 2 (पूर्वान्ह)
5, <b>भा</b> र०के० देशमु <b>त</b>	मधीक्षक्ष, के०उ०गु०,रेज II आमुल ।	3 1-7-8 <b>2</b> (पूर्वान्ह्)
<ol> <li>डो०जे० खटवानी</li> </ol>	भ्रधीकक (लेखा परीक्षा) के० उ०णु०, मुख्या० क्षार्या० इन्दोर	3 1-7-8 <b>2</b> (पूर्वान्ह)
7 ए०के० पाल	मधीक्षक (तकनीकी) प्रभा० कार्यालय राष्ट्रप	3 1- 7∙ 8 2 (पूर्वान्ह)
8. जी०डी० जोशी	प्रधीक्षकः, भे० उ०म् ० राजनांवर्गाव	31-7-82 (अपरान्ह्)
9. यू०एस० ओरकार	स्रवीक्षकः (लेखा परीक्षा) के० उ०सु०, मुख्या० कार्या० इंदौर।	1 1-8-8 2 (पूर्वान्ह)
10. सी०एम० धामोरीकर	मधीक्षक (सनर्कता), के०उ०णु० मुख्या०कार्या० इंदौर	1 1-8-8 2 (पूर्वान्ह्)
11. एम०एस० दापुरकर	मधीक्षक, के०उ०सु० रेंज III, इंदौर ।	1 1-8-82 ( पूर्वन्हि)
12. भार०एस० गुप्ता	प्रधीक्षक (लेखा परीक्षा), के०उ०गु०, मुख्या० कार्या० इंदौर।	1 <del>6-</del> 8- 8 2 (पूर्वन्हि)
13. घो०पी० समी	प्रवीक्षक, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद मुल्क, रेंज-I, देवास।	1 6-8-8-2 (पूर्वान्ह)
14. एस०घार० जातृतवा	मधीक्षक, के॰ उ॰ सु॰, रेंज I जामुल ।	31-8-82 (पूर्वाम्ह)

[(प०सं॰ II(3) 9-गोप/82/184] एस०के० घर, समाहती

# Central Excise Collectorate: Madya: Pradesh NOTIFICATION NO. 12/82

S. O. 3664 :—Consequent upon their p omotion as Superintendent, Central Excise, Group 'B' the following Inspectors of Contral Excise (S.G.), have assumed their charges as Superintendent, Central Excise, Group 'B' with effect from the date as shown against them.

St. Name of the Place of Posture Date of

Sl. No	Name of the Officer	Place of Posting	Date of assumption of charge
S	5/ <b>5h</b> 1 i		
1 ;	S.A. Jalali	Superintendent (P. ov.)  Contral Excise, Divisional Office, Gwellor.	24-7-82 (F.N.)
2. 1	P.T. Thomas	Superintendent, C. Ex. Range-I, Sagar.	26-7-82 (F.N.)
3. ,	ID Motwani	Superintendent, C. Ex. R B C. II, Range, Bhilat.	30-7-82 (F.N.)
4	Aziz Abdul	Superintendent (Tech ) C. Ex. Hqrs. Office, Indore	31-7-82 (F.N )
5	R.K. Desmukh	Supo:intendent, C. Ex. Range-II, Jamul.	31-7-82 (F.N.)
6.	D.J. Khatwani	Supe intendent (Audit), C. Ex. Hqrs. Office, Indore.	31-7-82 (F.N.)
7.	A.K. Pal	Superintendent (Tech.) Divi. Office, Raipur.	31-7-82 (F.N.)
8.	G.D. Joshi	Superintendent, C. Ex. Rajnandgaon	31-7-82 (A.N.)
9.	U.S. Bo <sub>1</sub> kar	Superintendent)(Autit) C. Ex. Hqrs. Office, Indore.	11-8-82 (F.N.)
10.	C.M. Dhamorikar	Supe intendent (Vig.) C. Ex. Hq: 9. Office, Indore.	11-8-82 (F.N.)
11.	M.S. Dapurkai	Superintendent, C. Ex. Range-III, Indore.	11-8-82 (F.N.)
12.	R.S. Gupta	Superintendent (Audit), C.Ex. Hqrs. Office, Indore.	16-8-82 (F.N.)
13.	O.P. Sharma	Superintendent, C. Ex. Range-I, Dowas.	16-8-82 (F.N.)
14.	Shri S.R. Kanungo	Superintendent, C. Ex. Range-I, Jamul	31-8-82 (F.N.)

[C. NO. II(3)9-Con/82/5271]S. K. DHAR, Collector.

# बाणिज्य संत्रालय

# मुख्य नियन्नक, आवात-निर्यति का कार्यालय

नर्ह विल्ली, 4 ग्रस्तूबर, 1982

का० आ० 3665 श्रीमती नीता धरविद भंडारी, पनीरमा, 203, वालकेव्वर रोड, बम्बर्ट-6 की एक मर्मिटीज बैंज 200, 612 जेड़-2514 कार के श्रायात के लिए 52316 रुपए मूल्य का एक सीमाणल्क निकासी परिमिट सं० पी०/ जे/0391049 विमांक 14-6-82 प्रदान किया गया द्या अपनेतक ने उपर्युक्त सीमागुनक निकार्य। पानि इ को अनुनिनि जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर अभिदेन शिया है कि मूल प्रति उनमें खो गई है। आगे यह भी बनाया गया है कि सीमागुनक निकासी पर्याट किसी भी सीमागुनक अधिकारी के पान प्रजीकृत नहीं कराया गया था और इस प्रकार उसके मृत्य का बिक्कुल भी उपयोग नहीं हुआ है।

2 प्रपन तर्क के समर्थन में, लाईमेनधारी ने नोटरी पब्लिक, बम्बई के सामने विधिवन णपथ नेकर स्टाम्म पेपर पर एक णाय पत्न दाखिल किया है। तथनुसार में सतुष्ट हू कि मूल सीमाणुरून निकासी परिमद्द सं पी/जे/0391049/एन/एमपी/83/एन/82 दिनांक 14-6-82 प्रावेदक में खो गई है/प्रस्थानस्थ हो गई है। समय समय पर यथा संगाधित प्रायान नियंद्रण प्रादेश 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 की उपधारा 9 (सी सी) के प्रक्तांन प्रदत्त प्रधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्रीमती नीसा प्रश्विद भण्डारी के नाम में जारी किए गए उपयुक्त मूल मीमाणुरूक निकासी परिमद सं पी/जे/0391049/एन/एमपी/83/एच/82 दिनांक 14-6-82 को एनव्हारा रद्द किया जाता है।

3. नीमाणुल्क निकासी परांभट की अनुश्चिप प्रति श्रीसती नीता अर्रावद भण्डारी को अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

> [मिमिल सं॰ ए 145/82-83/बी एन एन/,1362] जे॰ पी॰ मिहल, उप-मुख्य नियत्नक, आयाप-निर्यात

#### MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

New Delhi, the 4th October, 1982

S.O. 3665.—Mrs. Necta Arvind Bhandari, Panorama, 203, Valkeshwar Road, Bombay-6 was granted a CCP No. P/J/0391049 dated 14th June, 1982 for Rs. 52316 only for the import of one Mercedes Benz 200, 612-Z-2534 cat

The applicant has applied for issue of a Duplicate Copy of the above mentioned CCP on the ground that the original CCP has been lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Custom Authority and as such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all

- 2. In support of her contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit, duly sworn before the Notary Public, Bombay. I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. P/J/0391049/N/MP/83|H|82 dated 14th June, 1982 has been lost or misplaced by the applicant. In exercise of powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import Control Order 1955 dated 7th December, 1955, as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/0391049/N|MP|83| H/82 dated 14th June, 1982 issued to Mrs. Neeta Alvind Bhandari is hereby cancelled.
- 3. A duplicate copy of the CCP is being issued to  $M_{\rm I}$ s. Neeta Arvind Bhandari separately.

[F. No. A-145/82-83/BL\$/2362]J. P. SINGHAL, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports

# उद्योग संत्रालय (भारो उद्योग विभाग)

## आवेश

नई दिल्ली, 13 भन्तूबर, 1982

का० आ० 3666--- उद्योग विकास तथा विनियमन प्रश्नितियम, 1951 (1951 का 65) की धारा 6 के द्वारा प्रवत्त साकित्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए एवं विकास परिषद् (कार्य विधि) नियम 1952 के नियम 2, 4 और 5 के साथ पढ़ते हुए केन्द्रीय संस्कार एतब्द्वारा निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति को भारत संस्कार के भारी उद्योग विभाग के प्रावेश दिनाक 18-7-1981 के द्वारा गठित यस्त्र मसीनों के निर्माण प्रथम उत्यादनरत प्रश्नुस्चित उद्योगों की विकास

पिष्यद का सदस्य निमुक्त करनी है और यह निदेश देनी है कि उनन ब्रादेश में निम्नलिखिन संशोधन किया जीएगा श्रेथीन :—

जनन फ्रांदश में, कम संख्या 3 के सामने दें। गई प्रतिबंध के स्थान -पर निम्निलिखन प्रविध्द प्रतिस्थाणि। की जाएगी प्रश्नोतृ'——

3 श्री जी० एस० ग्रेवाल, सथुंबन गरिवा, भारी उद्योग विभाग

सदस्य/सन्दिष

[2181/टी॰एस॰/डी॰सी॰/एस॰आई॰/81/सं॰ 3-33/81एस॰एस॰ (1)] एस॰ कतन, निवंशक

#### MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY

(Department of Heavy Industry)

#### ORDER

New Delhi, the 13th October, 1982

S.O. 3666.—In exercise of the owers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with Rules 2, 4 and 5 of the Development Council (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the following person to be a member of the Development Council constituted by the Order of the Government of India in the Department of Heavy Industry Order dated 18th July, 1981 for the Scheduled Industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Textile Machinery and directs that following amendment shall be made in the said Order namely:—

In the said Order, for the entry occurring against Serial No. 3, the following entry shall be substituted namely:—

3. Shri G. S. Grewal, Member/Secretary Joint Secretary, Department of Heavy Industry.

[2181|TM<sub>1</sub>DC|HI<sub>1</sub>81/No. 3-33/81-HM(!)] S. KANNAN, Director

# स्वास्थ्यः और परिवार कस्याण मंत्रासय स्वास्थ्य मतालय

#### मुद्धि-५ल

**मई दिल्**ली, 30 ध्रगस्त, 1982

का०आ० 3667 — इस मंत्रालय की विनाक 25 मई, 1982 की मिधसूबना स० एक-24-5/70-के०म०स्वा०यो० (नीति) के झंग्रेजी रूपान्यर में नियम 2 के उपनियम (3) में उठ्यात्रित शब्द "कन्टिन्यूग्रम" के स्थान पर शब्द "कन्टिन्यूग्रम" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाए।

[मंख्या एफ० 24-5/70-केंब्सब्स्वाब्योव (तीति)] केंब्रुल्व भाटिया, प्रथर सचिव

#### MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health)

#### CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 30th August, 1982

S.O. 3667.—The word "continuous" appearing in subrule (3) of rule 2 of this Ministry's Notification No. F. 24-5/70-CGHS(P) dated the 25th May, 1982 may be substituted by the word "contiguous".

[No. F. 24-5/70-CGHS(P)] K. I., BHATIA, Under Secy.

# कर्जा मंत्रासय

# (पैट्रोलियम विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, ८ अम्बुभर 1982

कार आर 3668 - यतः पेट्रालियम भीर कानि गाई। लाई। (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियन, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 का उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत नरहार के पेट्रानियन, रमायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रालियम विभाग) को अधिभूतन, कार्ल्यार संर 2333 नारीख 17-6-82 द्वारा केन्द्रीय मरहार ने उन अधिभूतन। से संलग्न अनुभूची मे विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिहार की पाइप लाईनों का विछाने के अयोजन के लिए अजिन करने का अपना आष्ट्राय वीवित कर दिया था।

ग्रीर यतः सक्षम अधिकारी ने उक्त श्राधिनियमकी धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के श्रधीन संस्कार की रिपार्ट देही है।

ै भीर भागे, यन केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्टपर विवार करने के पण्चाल् इस श्रविसूचना से सलग्न अनुपूजों में किनार्टिंग्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का भश्चिकार श्रोजित करने का वितिस्का किना है।

श्रवः, श्रतः उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदक्त गर्वित का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्राय सरकार ए द्वारा धायि । करती है कि इस श्रधिसूचना में संतरत श्रीपुत्रों में विनिर्वेष्ट उन्त भूमियों में उपयोग का श्रधिकार पाइपलाईन विद्याने के लिए एनद्वारा श्रीजन किया गाना है।

श्रीर आगे उस धारा की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदेश शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रक्षिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होते के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गीम आयोग में सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख का निहित होगा।

#### अनुसुच

कप नंश्केश्योव डोब्ल--6में जीवसीव एसव

राज्य . गुजरात	जिला मेहपाना	न (लुका	. कोनोल	
 ग <b>ा</b> व	ब्लाक नं०	हैक्डय <i>र</i>	एश्रार ई	सेन्टोय र
1	2	3	4	 5
	135	0	11	5 5
	137	0	0 1	05

[स॰ 12016/22/82-সা৽-]]

# MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Petroleum) New Delhi, the 8th October, 1982

S.O. 3668.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S. O. 2333 dated 17-6-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline:

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Scection (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Cenetial Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by subsection (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from well No. KOD - 6 to G.C.S.

State: Gujarat	District: Me	hsana Toli	ika : K	₽lol
Village	Block No.	Hoctare	A, e	Contiare
Borisana	135		11	
	137	0	10	05
		[No. 12016	/22/82-	Prod. II)

नई दिल्ती, 11 अङ्गुबर 1982

का ब्लाल 3669.—यन : पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के मधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1963 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अप्रोत भारत सरकार के पैट्रोलियम और रनावन सथा उनरंक मंतालय (पेट्रोलियम बिमाग) की अधित्रवन का अप्राप्त स्ट 2761 सारीख 10-10-81 द्वारा के ब्लीय गरक र ने उन अप्रेत्रका से संलग्न अनुभूची में विनिविष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार का पाईप लाईन को विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अर्जन करने का अपना आजय बोयित कर विया था।

भीर यत: सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के ध्रधीन सरकार को नियोर्ट देदी है।

भी भागे, यतः केन्द्रीय संदर्गाः ने उक्त रिपार्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् उस अधिमूचना से संत्यन अनुपूर्वा में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों मे उपयोग का मधिकार अजित करने का विनिय्चय किया है।

भग प्रतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त प्रिक्षित्रों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है, कि इस प्रिधसूचना से संलग्न प्रमुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रधिकार पाईप लाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वारा अजित किया जाना है।

भीर भागे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवक्त मधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निवेंग देती है कि उकत भूमियों से उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहीत होने के अजाए दीपक फटिलाइजर्स भीर पैट्रोकेमिकल्स कार्पीरंगन लि० में सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

उरण टर्मिनल से दीपक फर्टिलाईज़र्स ग्रौर पैट्रोकेमिकल्स कार्पोरेशन सि० लमोजा तक पाईपलाईन बिछाने के लिए

राज्यः महाराष्ट्र	जिलाः रायगङ्	<b>सालुका</b>	: उरण
गांच	सर्वेक्षण नं०	क्षेत्र 'स्क्बे	मीटर्स'
1	2	3	4
<b>मृ</b> ं	101	1	1.00
		2	18.00
		3	15.00
		4	07.00
		5	75.00
		8	25.00
		9	02.00
		11	06.00

-	···/1		
1		2	3 4
<b>फु</b> डे			12 20 00
_			13 05 00
			14 05.00
			15 02.00
			16 10 00
			17 10 00
			19 02.00
			20 02 00
		100	1 12,00
			2 12.00
			4 20 00
			5 16.00
			10 16.00
		96	1 20.00
			2 20 00
			5 16.00
			10 24 00
			11 08.00
			13 08.00
			14 24.00
		95	1 52.00
		93	2 22.00
			5 22.00
			6 32.00
		0.4	
		94	
			4 18.00
			5 28.00
		0.0	7 26.00
		92	1 32.00
		93	2 36.00
			3 04.00
			4 02,00
			5 12.00
			6 24.00
		74	1 04,00
			2 12.00
			3 18,00
			4 20,00
			5 36.00
			6 02.00
			10 02,00
		75	1 18.00
			2 20.00
			3 18,00
		7.0	4 17.00
		76	1 22.00 2 24.00
			3 12 00
		77	1 38.00
		78	1 06 00
			2 20.00
		70	3 18.00
ला		79	1 24.00 14 00
· ··			

### New Delhi, the 11th October, 1982

\_- -- -- ------

S.O. 3669.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Feerfilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S. O. No. 2761 dated 10-10-1981 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government.

And further whereas the Cenetral Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by subsection (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipelines;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in Deepak Fertilizers and Petrochemicals Corporation Ltd., free from incumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Uran Te minal to Doepak Fe tilize s ånd Petrochemicals Corporation Limited, Taloja.

State—Maharashtra	District	Raiged T	aluka-Urar
Villago	\$. No.	H, No.	Atea Sq. Mcters
1	2	3	4
Funde	101	1	1.00
		2	18.00
		3	15.00
		4	07.00
		5	75,00
		8	25.00
		9	02 00
		11	06.00
		12	20 00
		13	05,00
		14	05.00
		15	02.00
		16	10 00
		17	10.00
		19	02.00
		20	02 00
	100	1	12.00
		2	12,00
		4	20.00
		5	16.00
		10	16.00
	96	1	20,00
		2	20,00
		5	,16.00
		10	24.00
		11	08.00
		13	08.00
		14	24 00
	95	1	52,00
		2	22 00
		5	22.00
		6	32.00
		3	2.00

हेक्टवर एमारई मेस्टीवर

0.1

0.3

0.1

0.0

02

0.2

0.1

0.1

03

0.1

0.3

0.2

02

0.1

02

0.2

00

0.6

0.3

0.2

12

4.5

0.0

5.0

2.0

60

6.5

7.5

7.3

7.5

10

50

**5**0

0.0

2.5

0.5

3 0

60

9.0

7.0

2.5

40

3 5

20

30

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

O

0

0

0

0

Û

0

0

0

0

0

अनुसूची

1126

1125

1124

1123

1088

1039

1085

1083

1082

1081

1080

1071

1072 .

1073

1057

1056

1054

1059

कार्ट द्रैक

1023

1021

1018

980

कार्ट टेक

दक्षिण संथाल जी० जी० एस० से उत्तर कड़ी जी० जी० एस० I

राज्य, गुजरात जिला व नालुका मेहमाना

गांव

0.550

झटाना

3874

[No. 12016/41/81-Pcod.]

का० आ० 3670.—या पैट्रेलियम भीर खिनाज पार्षपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के भिक्षकार का भर्जन) भिक्षितियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधार। (1) के भ्रधीन भारम सरकार के पैट्रोलियम, रमायन भीर उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पैट्रोलियम विभाग) की भ्रधिमुक्ता का० भ्रा० सं० 1920 मारीख 10-5-82 द्वारों केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस भ्रधिमुक्ता में मंत्रस्त भन्मुची में विनिविष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के भ्रधिकार की पाइप लाईनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए धाजिम करने का धपना भ्राणय वापित कर दिया था।

ग्रीर यत . समक्ष प्राधिकारी ने उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के श्रधीन मংकार शे रिपोर्ट दे हो है।

ग्रीर ग्रामे, यत. केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विकास करने के पश्चात् इस ग्रश्चिस्त्वना से मलग्त भनुसूची मे विनिविद्य भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रशिकार श्रीकृत करने का विनिध्चय किया है।

श्रव, भन : उक्त अधिनियम की धारा ६ की उपधारा (ii) हारा प्रवत्त गक्ति का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एनद्हारा घोषित करती है कि इस श्रिधसूचना में सलग्न श्रन्सूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाईन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एनदहारा अजिन किया जाना है।

श्रीर आगे उस धार की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदल मिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उका भूमियों से उपयाग का श्रीधकार केन्द्रीय सरकार से बिहित होने के बनाय तेल और प्राष्ट्रितक भैस श्रायोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त का में, द्यांगणा के प्रकाशन की इस नारीख को निहित होगा। हुठ 12016/1/82-साइ II]

एत्र गम् गोदन, निरंशक

S.O. 3670.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S. O. 1920 dated 10-5-82 under sub-section (1) of Stetion 3 of the Petroleum and Minierals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government.

And further whereas the Cenetral Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by subsection (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said kinds specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by subsection

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

#### **SCHEDULE**

Pipeline from south Santhal GGS to N.K. GGS I

State Gujarat District

District & Taluka: Moshana

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Αre	Centiare
Jotana	1126		01	45
	1125	0	03	00
	1124	0	01	50
	Cart track	0	00	20
	1123	0	02	60
	1088	0	02	65
	1089	0	01	75
	1085	0	01	75
	1083	. 0	02	75
	1082	0	03	10
	1081	0	01	50
	1080	0	01	50
	1071	0	03	00
	1072		02	2:
	1073	0	02	0:
	1057	0	01	30
	1056	0	02	60
	1054	0	02	90
	1059	0	00	70
	Cart track	0	00	2:
	1023	0	<b>0</b> 6	4(
	1021	0	03	35
	1018	0	02	20
	980	0	12	30

[No. 12016/1/82-Prod-II] L.M. GOYAL, Director

#### MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Coal)

#### CORRIGENDA

New Deihi, the 8th October, 1982

S.O. 3671.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Energy (Department of Coal) No. S.O. 760, dated the 11th February, 1982, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 27th February, 1982.

at page 874 in the first column

(i) in line 47

for "1661 to 1619" read "1616 to 1619":

(ii) in line 53

for "2677 to 2709" read "2677 to 2706".

[No. 19/68/81-CL1

# डार्जा मंत्रालय (कोयला जिमाप)

शुद्धि पन्न

नई विल्ली, 8 प्रक्तूबर, 1982

कांव भाव 3672.—भारत के राजपत तारीख 17 मझैल, 1982 के भाग II, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) में पृष्ठ 1695-1696 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के ऊर्जा मंतालय (कीयला विभाग) की भिष्मुचना काव भाव 1540 तारीख 31 मार्च, 1982 में —

प्रपट 1695 पर (1) प्रशिक्षमुचना में "नप्रक्यो" व "काश्न" के स्थान पर क्रमश "नक्यों" व "प्रकाशन" पिकृष् । 850 GI/81—2

- (2) मनुसूची में ---
- (म) "पुलिस चौकी सं०" के स्थान पर "पटवारी हलका न०" पढ़िए।
- (ब) कम सं० 1 में हैक्टरों में क्षेत्र स्तंभ के नीचे "891.242"
   के स्थान पर "891.342" पहिए ।
- (स) क्रम सं० 3 में ग्राम का नाम स्तंभ के नीचे "दुषबादीं" र स्थान पर पूथवाडीं" पढ़िए ।
- (क) कम 9 में हैक्टरों में क्षेत्र स्तंभ के कीचे "220.000" के स्थान पर "290.000" पढ़िए।

पुष्ठ 1696 पर (1) सीमावर्णन ---

- (ग्र) क-ख रेखा में नाम "सोनोलीर" के स्थान पर "सोनोली" पिक्रण ।
- (ब) ड-क रेखा में "हेटी सुर्ला में बिन्दू "क" पर मिलती हैं" के स्थान पर "हेटी सुर्ला में प्रारंभिक बिन्दू "क" पर मिलती है।" पिछए।

[सं० 19/16/82- सी॰ एल॰] स्वर्ण सिंह, अवर सचिन

#### CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 8th October, 1982

S.O. 3672.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Energy (Department of Coal) No. S.O. 1540, dated the 31st March, 1982, published at pages 1695 to 1696 of the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii), dated the 17th April, 1982 at page 1696, in the schedule, against serial No. 13 relating to Waghoda village in column 6, for "28.675" read "38.675".

[No. 19/16/82-CL] SWARAN SINGH, Under Secy.

# नह दिल्ली, 11 अक्ट्रुबर, 1982

करा आ 3673.—यस पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के ध्रमिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की बारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के ध्रमीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम, रसायन और उर्जरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की ध्रमिस्त्रना काल् आं सं 131 तारीख 23-12-81 हारा केल्ब्रोय सरकार ने उस ध्रमिस्त्रना से संसन्त ध्रमुसूची में विनिधिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधि-कार की पाइप लाईनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए ध्रांपित करने का ध्रमुना: ध्रामुश्र बोषित कर दिया था।

ग्रीर यतः समक्षं ग्रिषिकारी ने उक्त श्रविनियम की धारः 6 की उप-घारा (1) के श्रवीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

भीर भागे , यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उनत रिपोर्ट पर विचार काने के पश्चात् इस प्रशिक्षचना से संलग्न धनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट मूमियों में उपयोग का शिकार शजित करने का विनियचय किया है।

म्रव, मत: उनत प्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) हारा प्रदत्त समितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतवृद्धारा घोषित करती है कि इस प्रधिसूचना में संलग्न मनुसूची में जिनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का मधिकार पाइपलाईन विकान के प्रयोजन के लिए एतवृद्धारा भजित किया जाता है।

ग्रीर आगे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्वेशप्येती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग कार ग्रीधकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल ग्रीर प्राकृतिक गैस श्रायोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त कप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख की निहित होगा।

कृप नं० 81 से	<b>अनुसूची</b> बू <b>य</b> नं० 2				1 2	3	4	5
					75	0	10	40
राज्य : गुजराम 	ा जिला : भरुच नासुक। ————————————————————————————————————	ं प्रक्लेश्वर			69	0	04	16
ग(व	<b>ब्लाक</b> नं∘	वेक्ट्रेसर	। स्टाउर्व	- सेन्टीयर	68	0	21	19
		— <del>6</del> 4647	्रका <u>र</u> ह	तन्दावर	67	0	17	94
सरभान	82	0	11	57	39	0	03	25
	83				42	0	05	72
		0	06	24	561	0	02	73
	74	0	17	29	562	0	09	7.5
	73	0	03	38	563	0	02	60
	75	0	10	40	564	0	05	33
	69	0	04	16	VP	0	04	16
	68	0	21	19		[No. 12016/60	/81-Pro	od. I
	67	0	17	94		[, (0. 12010) 00	701 110	- <b>.</b> .
	39	0,	03	25	का० आ० 3674.—यतः पेट्रोलियम	र गीर क्रकिक	- स्टब्स	स्तर्भक
	42	0	05	72				
	561	0	02	73	(भूमि में उपयोग के ब्रिश्वकार का ब्रर्जन) । 50) की घारा 3 की उपधारा(1) के ब्रिशी			
	562	0	09	75	रसायन भौर उर्वरक मंद्रालय (पेट्रोलियम वि			
	563	0	02	60	स्तालम कार उपरक्ष महाणय (पट्टालयम । स्राटमार 1919 तारीका 10-5-82 तारा	,	••	काठ धारीस

[सं॰ 12016/60/81-प्रो॰ l]

0.5

0.4

33

16

0

o

# New Delhi, the 11th October, 1982

564

बी० पी०

S.O. 3673.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 131 dated 23-12-81 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention of acquire the right of user in the large acquire the to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority nas under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government:

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the scheule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of powers confered by sub-section (4) of that section, the Cental Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free, from encumbrances.

# SCHEDULE

PIPELINE FROM WELL NO. 81 TO BOOTH NO. 2 STATE: GUJARAT DISTRICT: BHARUC

TALUKA: ANKLESHWAR

Village 	Block No.	Hec- tare	Are	Conti- aro
1	2	3	4	5
Sarthan	82	0	11	57
	83	0	06	24
	74	0	17	29
	73	0	03	38

ग्रीर खनिज पाईपलाईन धेनियम, 1962 (1562 का भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम **गाग) को प्रधिसूचना का**ठ भा० स० 1919 तारीख 10-5-82 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस भन्नि-मुचना से संलग्न प्रनुसूची में विनिर्विष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के प्रधिकार को पाइप लाईनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए प्रजित करने का प्रपनः माशय घोषित कर दिया था।

भौर यतः समक्ष प्राधिकारी ने उक्त प्रिधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के भ्रधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट वे दी है।

भौर क्रामे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रधिकार प्रजित करने का वितिश्चय किया है।

अब, अतः उक्त अधिनियम की घारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्तिका प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा चौषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिदिष्ट उक्त भामयों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतदहारा प्रजित किया जाता है।

भौर भागे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) ब्राप्त प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश वेली है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस भायोग, मे , सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

झ्टाना - 1 से दक्षिण संधाल जी० जी० एस तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के शिए।

	मेहसामा	ालुका	জিলা– লা	राज्य → गुजरात
—- — सेन्टीयर	एक्स र है	 हे <b>क्टे</b> यर	क्लाक नं०	गांच
 75	00	0	902	<del>स्लपु</del> रा
20	04	0	8 <i>4.</i> 7/पी	
60	01	0	8 4 8/पी	
55	15	U	848	
40	12	0	850	
90	0.5	0	851	

[सं० 12016/1/82-प्रोड०]

S.O. 3674.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 1919 dated 10-5-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the Said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of powers conferred by subsection (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

PIPELINE FROM JOTANA—1 TO S. SANTHAL GGS
STATE: GUIARAT DISTRICT & TALLIKA: MEHSANA

STATE: GUJARAT DISTRICT & TALUKA: MEHSANA				
Village	Block No.	Hect- are	Aro	Conti-
Kasalpura	902	, 0	00	7
•	847/P	0	04	20
	847/P	0	01	60
	848	0	15	55
	850	0	12	40

851

[No. 12016/1/82-Prod.]

05

90

का० आ० 3675.—यत. पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाईपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के प्रधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के प्रधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम, रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की अधिसूचना का० ग्रां० 2160 तारीख 25-5-82 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधि सूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में बिनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के प्रधिकार को पाइप लाईनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अजिन करने का अपना आश्रम घोषित कर दिया था।

भीर यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त भिधिनियम की धारा 6 को उपधारा (1) के भ्रधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

भीर भागे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उकत रिपार्ट पर विचार करने के पण्यात् इस म्रिधसूचना से संसान धनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूश्यों में उपयोग का श्रीकार मर्जित करने का विनिष्चय किया है।

भव, भत: उक्त धिधिनयम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवक्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतवृद्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस प्रधिसूचना में संलग्न धनुसूची में विनिधिच्छ उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रधिकार पाइपलाईन विखाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतवृद्वारा भूजित किया जाता है।

भीर माने उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्वेश देती है कि उक्त भूमिओं में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में बिहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस भागोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाणन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा ।

श्रनुसूची पी० झार० जी० से जी० जी० एस० विराज राज्य —— गुजरात जिला ——मेहवाना तालुका ——कड़ी

· ग(ब	—————— सर्वे नंऽ	——— हेक्टेयर	एम। र <b>र्ध</b>	सेन्टीयर
	152/1	0	11	40
	152/2	0	02	25
	153/1	0	0 1	50

[मं॰ 12016/17/82-प्रेंग्स॰ -I]

S.O. 3675.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fortilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 2160 dated 25-5-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act. submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by subsection (4) of that section, the Cental Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall insead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

PIPELINE FROM VRG TO GGS VIRAJ
STATE: GUJARAT DISTRICT: MEHSANA TALUKA:
KADI

Village	Survey 1	No.	Heat- Ar	c Ce	nti-
			aro		ars
Nani Kadi	152/1		0	11	40
	152/2		0	02	25
	153/1		0	-04	50
	<del>`</del>				

[No. 12016/17/82 Prod. I]

का ० आ ० 3676— यत. पेट्रोलियम श्रीर खनिज पाईपलाइन (भूमि में में उपयोग के श्रीधकार का श्राजंन) श्रीधनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के श्रीधन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम रसायन श्रीर उर्बरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रेंलियम विभाग) की श्रीधमूचना का ० शांच ० 2159, सारीख 25 मई, 1982 द्वारा के न्द्रीय सरकार ने उस श्रीधमूचना से संलग्न श्रामुखी में निनिविष्ट शूमियों के उपयोग के श्रीधकार की पाईप ल । इनों की बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए श्रीजत करने का श्रीपना श्रीषत कर विया

भीर ग्रतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी के उक्त ग्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के भ्रधीन सरकार की रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

भीर भागे, यत केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात इस ग्रक्षिसूचना से सलग्न भनुसूची में विनिर्विष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का भिकार भजित करने का विनिध्चय किया है।

ग्रव, ग्रतः उक्त ग्रिकिनियमं की धारा 6 की उपग्रारा (1) - द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतव्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में सलग्न ग्रनुसूची में विनिर्विष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का ग्रिकि-कार पाइपलाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतव्द्वारा ग्रिजित किया जाता है।

भीर मागे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवस्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के अजाय तेल भीर प्राकृतिक गैस-कामीण में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त कप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख की निहित होगा।

्राच्या भो०म्बर०एफ०्सें सालोरा ॥

राज्य — गुजरात	जिल। —मेहसाना	स₁लुका	<b>क</b> ≢)	•
गाव	सर्वे मं०	हेक्टेयर	एम।रई	सेग्टीयर
मेरबा	244	0	03	00
	246/1	0	04	05
	245	0	05	5 5

[सं॰ 12016/17/82--प्रो॰ -**II**]

S.O. 3676.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 2139 dated 25-5-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

"And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

# SCHEDULE

PIPELINE FROM JRF TO JHALORA II STATE: GUJARAT DISTRICT: MEHSANA TÄLUKAS

Village	Survey No.	Hect- are	Аго	Centi- are
Moorda	244	0	03	
· ·	246/1 245	0	04	05
	245	0	05	5 <b>5</b>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

[No. 12016/17-/82-Prod.-II]

का॰ आ॰ 3877.— यतः पेट्रोलियम ग्रीर खनिज पाईपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के भिर्मित का भर्जन) मिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के भ्रम्मीन भारत सन्कार के पेट्रोलियम रसायन ग्रीर उर्वरक मैत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की ग्राधसूचना का॰ ग्रा॰ ग्रीट उर्वरक मैत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की ग्राधसूचना का॰ ग्रा॰ ग्रीट उर्वरक मैत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की ग्राधसूचना का॰ ग्रा॰ ग्रीट उर्वरा केन्द्रीय सन्कार ने उस प्रधिक्षणना से संलग्न ग्रानसूची में विनिर्विष्ट भूमियो के उपयोग के ग्राधकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए ग्राजित करने का ग्रामा ग्रामा ग्रीथित कर बिया था।

भीर यतः सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उन्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

भौर भागे यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात प्रशिक्ष्यमा से संलग्न धनसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियो के उपयोग का श्रीक्षकार प्रजित करने का निश्चय किया है।

भव भतः उक्त मिश्रिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवक्त प्रक्रियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केखीय सरकार एतव्हार. घोषिस करती है कि इस मिश्रियन में संलग्न मन्त्रुकी में विनिर्विष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का मिश्रिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एत्वहारा मिश्रित किया जाता है।

भीर भागे उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवक्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्वेश देती है कि उकत भूमियों में उपयोग का मधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाय इंडियन आयल कार्पोरेशन में सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में बोचणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तहसील 🧎 फिल्लौर	जिलाः जालन्ध	र	राज्य	: पंजाब
ग्राम	खसरा नं०		भेवफल	
		ŧ.	ψο	वर्ग भी
1	2	3	4	5
मोरो	11/10 सिन	00	03	29
हु०न• 179	1 1/1 2 मिन	00	14	93
	11/13/1 मिम	00	03	54
	1 1/1 7 मिन	00	03	04
	11/18/1 मिन	00	07	59
	1 8/2 मिन	00	04	05
	1 1/2 <b>4/2</b> मिन	00	07	34
मसानी	4301 मिन	00	.02	11
	4303 मिन	00	03	(7
	4304 मिन	00	05	38
	4305 मिन	00	06	91
मसानी <b>ह</b> ०नं० 190	4306 मिन	00	01	1 5
	4307 मिन	00	09	22
	4310 मिन	00	00	96
	4313 मिन	00	00	38
	4318 मिन	00	01	34
	4319 मिन	00	11	14
	4322 मिन	00	11	52
	4323 मिन	00	07	68
	4324 मिन	00	00	96
	4325 मिन	00	04	80
	4395 मिन	00	00	38
	4401 मिन	00	01	54

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<b>सानी</b>	4402 मिन	00	04	80		4745/1 मिन	00	16	3
০ন০ 190	4404 मिन	00	08	26		4804 मिन	00	01	3.
	4405 मिन	00	0.5	95		4805 मिन	00	04	2
	4406 मिन	00	02	30		4806 मिन	00	13	8
	4407 मिन	00	03	84		4809 मिन	00	01	7
	4410 मिन	00	00	38		4810 मिन	00	00	7:
	4411 मिन	00	07	30		4811 मिन	0.0	09	7
	4412 मिन	00	04	42		4812 मिन	00	05	3:
	4413 मिन	0	00	19		4812/1 मिस	00	00	5
	4414 मिन	00	03	07		4813 मिन	00	13	6
	441 5 मिन	00	02	50		4821 मिन	00	00	
	4416 मिन	00	03	46		4893 मिन	00	05	3
	4417 मिन	00	02	11		4918 मिन			
	4417 स्वत 4418 मिन	00	01	73		4918(मन 4948 मिन	00	39	3
	4418।नन 4419 मिन	00	01			49481मन 4949मिन	00	24	0
	4420 मिन		00	1 5 96			0 0	15	9
	4420 मिन 4421 मिन	00				4960 मिर्न	00	00	7
	4421 (मन 4423 मिन	00	00	96		499 7 मिन	óο	01	3
4 4 4 4 4		00	00	58		5040 मि <del>ग</del>	00	14	4
	4424 मिन	00	00	1 0		5041 मिम	00	05	1
	4425 मिम	00	17	28		5052 मिन	00	07	8
	4426 मिन	00	02	69		5055 मिन	00	07	1
	4427 मिन	00	02	88		5068 मिन	00	09	2
	4428 मिन	00	01	1 <b>5</b>		5069 मिन	00	19	7
	4443 मिन	0.0	13	82		5105 मिन	00	00	
	4444 मिन	00	06	34		5114 मिन	00	03	(
	4445 मिन	00	00	38		5115 मिन	00	13	(
	4448 मिन	00	0 0	00		5116 मिन	00	00	7
	4447 मिन	00	03	26		5) 17 मिर्न	052	03	•
	4448 मिन	00	02	88		51 27 मिन	0.0	02	6
	4449 मिन	00	02	69		5127/1 मिन	00	01	1
	449 द्व मिन	00	01	54		5129/1 मिन	00	13	
	4499 मिन	00	00	19		5130 मिन	00	01	:
	4500 मिन	00	00	77		5137 मिन	00	11	(
	4501 मिन	00	04	22		51 3 8 मिन	00	01	:
	4502 मिन	00	07	49		5154 मिल	00	00	1
	4505 मिन	00	09	41		51 5 ६ मिन	00	04	2
	4506 मिन	00	09	22		51 57 मिन	00	04	(
	4516 मिन	00	02	69		5164 मिन	00	02	1
	4517 मिन	00	12	67		5165 मिन	00	01	i
	4518 मिन	00	06	72		5166 मिन	00	04	
	4524 मिन	00	00	19		5170 मिन	00	00	1
	4596 मिन	00	01	34		5171 मिन	00	09	(
	4599 मि <del>न</del>	00	00	00		5172 मिम	00	05	
	4613 मिन	00	01	5 <b>4</b> *		51 73 मिन	00	01	;
	4618 मिन					5175 मि <b>न</b>	00	46	
	4618 मिन 4619 मिन	00	01	15		51.88 मिन	00	04	(
	4619 भन 4735 मिन	00	07	30		51 89 मिन	00		•
		00	09	79				07	
	4736 मिन 4737 फिल	00	06	14		51.90 मिन	00	05	
	4737 मिन	90	04	80		5193 मिन	00	14	
	4738 मिन	00	06	72		5194 मिन	00	01	;
	4739 मिन	00	00	96		5195 मिन	00	12	
	4740 मिन	00	02	69		5195 मिन 5199 मिन	00	07	(
	47 42 मिन	00	12	10					(
	4743 मिन	00	10	94		5201 मिन	00	08	4
	4744 मिन	00	00	58		520 <b>5 मिन</b>	00	16	9

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
मतफाल	14 मिन	00	00	76	<del></del> प्रनीर	110 मिन	00	09	36
हु०नं० 211	15 मिन	00	00	25	ह०नं० 210	113 मिन	00	01	01
`	1 6 मिन	00	11	38		114 मिन	00	12	90
	17 मिन	00	01	01		115 मिन	00	03	0
	20 मिन	00	01	77		118 मिन	00	00	76
	21 मिन	0.0	14	42		118 मिन	00	00	7
	22 मिन	00	01	26		123 मिन	00	01	0
Khal between K	h Nos. 22, 60 मिन	0.0	00	25		124 मिन	0.0	05	5
	60 मिन	0.0	01	01		125 मिन	00	03	2
	304 मिम	00	04	30		134 मिन	00	00	5
	305 मिन	00	11	89		1 35 मिन	-		
	306 मिन	00	00	51		136 मिन	00	15	1
	319 मिन	0.0	03	79	लाडिया	176 मिन	00	01	0
	320 मिन	00	01	77	हु० नं० 209	177 मिन	00	11	8
	321 मिन	0.0	04	05		191 मिन	00	01	0
	323/1 मिन	0.0	04	81		192 मिन	00	04	3
	323/2 मिन	00	07	84		193 मिन	00	05	0
	324 मिन	0.0	00	51		194 मिन	00	11	6
	345 मिन	0.0	03	54		196 मिन	00	01	5
	357 मिन	00	07	34		215 मिन	00	00	5
	358 मिन	0.0	06	0 <b>7</b>		216 मिन	00	10	e
	359 मिन	00	10	88		224 मिन	όο	03	0
	361 मिन	00	04	0.5		225 मिन	00	09	1
	361/1 मिन	00	06	58		228 मिन	00	09	1
	361/1 रसर 362 मिन	00	00	25		229 मिन	00	09	1
	364 मिन 364 मिन	00	04	61		232 मिन	00	07	(
	304 144 410 मिन	00	09	11		233 मिन	00	04	3
	410 मिन 412 मिन	00	07	59		240 मिन	00	00	7
	412 मिन 413 मिन	00	09	36		245 मिन	00	09	3
	417 मिन	00	06	07		246 मिन	00	02	2
	417 मिन 423 मिन	00	04	30		247 मिस	00	12	1
	423 रनन 424,425 मिन	00				266 मिन	00	05	2
	424,425 स्मन 426 मिन	00	02	78		272/1 मिन	00	01	(
	425 मिन 427 मिन		06	32		272/2 मिन	00	11	
	427 (मन 428 मिन	00	01	01		273 मिन	00	10	3
	428 मिन 540 मिन	00 00	03	54		274 मिन	00	07	3
	540 सन 574 मिन		01	26		275/2 मिन			-
	574 (मन 596 मिन	00	01	26		276 मिन	00	17	
	596 मिन 597 मिन	00 00	02 07	28 59		277 मिन	00	02	1
	597 स्मित् 598 मिन	00				282 मिन	00	02	
	598 स्मिन 599 मिन		00	51		290 मिन			_
	599 1नन 600 मिन	00	04	5.5		304 मिन	00	06	ı
	603 मिन	00	03	29		30 <b>9 मिन</b>	00	10	
	603 मिन 606 मिन	00	01	77		३० हान्। ३१० मिन	00	01	
	606 मिन 6√7 मिन	00	09	11		310 मिन 311 मिन	00		(
		00	08	10		311 मिन 312 मिन	00	06	(
	622 मिन					312 मिन 572 मिन	00	00	:
	623 मिन	00	12	65	*	572 (मन 168 मिन	~-	00	:
	624 मिन 305 फिल	00	02	28	वोमझ कला	168 मिन 170 <b>मिन</b>		~~-	-
	625 मिन				हु०नं० 198		0.0	08	
	626 मिन	0.0	11	13		180 मिन 182 सिन	00	01	
	630 मिन	0.0	07	08		182 मिन 182 फिन	00	01	(
	631 मिन	00	0.5	57		183 मिन	00	09	3
	632 मिन	00	00	51		184 मिन	00	04	(
	634 मिन	00	05	82		185 मिन	00	00	:

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
बोसांस कला	188 मिन	00	00	51	दोसाम कला	1 5 7 2 मिन	00	01	26
हु•म॰ 198	189 मिन	0.0	02	53	<b>मृ</b> ० <b>ग</b> ० 198	1810 मिन	00	0 1	01
•	197 मिन	_				1826 मिन	00	04	0.5
	200 मिन	00	00	25		1827 मिन	00	08	60
	201 मिन	00	00	25		1830 मिन	00	0 1	52
	202 मिन	00	12	90		1831 मिंन	00	06	58
	204 मिन	00	02	53		1832 मिन	00	01	52
	205 मिन	00	00	51		1833 मिन	00	01	52
	2 1 1 मिन	00	00	25		1 862 मिन	00	10	12
	2 1 1/1 मिम					1865 मिन		***	
	212 मिन	0.0	05	82		1 872 मिन	00	00	76
	213 मिन	00	05	57		1 873 मिन	00	12	14
	217 मिन	00	11	89		1874/1 मिन	00	04	81
	217 सिन	00	10	82		1 87 4/2 मिन	00	10	63
	218 (मन 219 मिन	00	00	76		1 875 मिन	00	08	35
	5 60 मिन 5 60 मिन	00	04	05		1876 मि <b>न</b>	00	02	02
	560 मिन 561 मिन	00	04	81		2058 मिन	00	00	76
	562 मिन	00	03	04		2063 मिन 2063 मिन	00	03	29
	582 मिन 582 मिन	00	11	89		2064 मिन 2064 मिन	00	01	01
	582 सन 59 <b>5 मिन</b>	00	00	51		2064 (नन 2065 मिन	00	03	04
	598 मिन 597 मिन	00	05	82		2065 मिन 2067 मिन	00	11	38
			03						
	717 मिन	00		29		2068 मिन	00	04	55
733 f 735 f 1182	732 मिन					2075 मिन	00	00	76
		00	02	78		2076 मिन	00	04	81
	735 मिन	00	02	78		2077 मिन	00	06	58
	1182 मिन	00	00	76		2080 मिन	00	09	36
	1402 मिन	00	09	61		2081 मिन	00	02	78
	1403 मिन	00	05	57					
	1405 मिन	00	00	25	कोटली खखीयां	5 4 2 मिन्	00	01	01
	1406 मिन	00	00	51	ह <b>्न</b> ० 199	5 4 3 मिन	00	07	08
	1407 मिन	00	00	51	<b>F</b> 040 199	544 मिन	00	06	07
	1 408 मिन	0.0	02	53		545 मिन	00	01	01
	1 409 मिन	00	04	55		545 मिन 546 मिन	00	08	
	1 41 3 मिन	<b></b>				546 मिन 549 मिन			10
	1 4 3 5 मिन			_		549 स्मन 550 मिन	00	08	35
	1 436 मिन	0.0	01	26		ऽऽ⊍ामन ऽऽामिन	00	06	32
	1 437 मिन	00	05	06			00	00	25
	1441/1 मिन	00	00	25		554 मिन	00	00	51
	1 4 4 2 मिन	00	10	12		555 मिन 611 मिन	00	00	76
	1 4 5 2 मिन	00	10	37					
	1 485 मिन	00	00	25		612 मिन	00	01	52
	1 486 मिन	00	05	06		614 मिन	00	11	89
	1 <b>4</b> 8 ७ <b>डी</b> न	00	10	12		615 मिन	00	01	26
	1 488 मिन	00	10	37		616 मिन <del>C</del>	00	01	52
	1 495 मिन	00	00	25		633 मिन	00	04	05
	1 4 9 ह मिन	00	05	06		6 4 2 मिन	00	01	52
	1 4 9 7 मिन	00	02	02		643 मिन	00	13	41
	1 5 5 2 मिन	00	07	08		6 4 4 मिन	00	00	51
	1553 मिन	00	10	63.		646 मिन	00	00	25
	1 564 मिन	00	03	79		648 मिन	00	13	16
	1 566 मिन	00	05	31		649 मिन			
	1 5 6 7 मिन	00	00	51		650 मिन			
	1 568 मिन			_		656 সিন	_	00	76
	1 569 मिन	00	12	40		658 मि <del>न</del>	00	04	5 5
	1571 मिन	00	02	78		659 मिन	00	11	13

00 /

ሰበ

4401 Mm

4402 Min

4404 Min.

4405 Min

4406 Min

4407 Min

4410 Min

4411 Min

4412 Mm

4413 Min

4414 Min

4415 Min

4416 Min

4417 Min

4418 Min

4419 Min

4420 Min

4421 Min

4423 Min

4424 Min

4425 Min

4426 Min

4427 Min

4428 Min

4443 Min

4444 Min

4445 Min

4446 Min

4447 Min

4448 Min

4449 Min

4492 Min

4499 Min

4500 Min

4501 Min

Massani H.

No. 190

1	2	3	4	5
काटर्लाः खर्षात्यौ	709 मिन	00	10	88
<b>ह</b> ्रम्० 199	710 मिन	00	03	79
•	711 मिन	00	00	25
	819 मिन	00	0.0	76

4.0. 3677.—Whereas by a notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals and Fertilizer (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 755 dated 27-2-1982 under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government declared in tension to acquire the Right of User in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the fied in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Subsection (1) of Section 6 of the said Act submitted report to the Government.

And further the Central Government has after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said  $\Lambda$ ct, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipelines.

And further in exercise of the power, conferred by Subsection (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

### **SCHEDULE**

Tohsil : Phillour Distt. : Jullundur State : Punjab

		21816 : 150	<u> </u>		4502 Min	00	07	49
Name of village	Khasia No.		Area		4505 Min	00	09	41
			<del></del>		4506 Min	00	09	27
		Н.	A	Sq.	4516 Min	00	02	69
				M.	4517 Min	00	12	67
1			4	5	<b>4518 M</b> in	00	06	72
		<del></del>			4 <b>5</b> 24 Min	00	00	19
Moroan H. No.					4596 Min	00	01	34
179	11 10 Min	00	03		4599 Min	00	00	00
	12 Min	00	14	-	4613 Min	00	01	54
	13/1 Min	00	03		4618 Min	00	01	15
	17 Min	00	03		4619 Min	00	07	30
	18/1 Min	00	07		4735 Min	00	09	794
	18/2 Min	00	04		4736 <b>M</b> in	00	06	14
Manager IV No.	24/2 Min.	00	07	34	4737 Min	00	04	80-
Massani H, No. 190	4301 Min	00	02	11	4738 Min	00	06	72
	4303 Min	. 00	03	07	4739 Min	00	00	96
	4304 Min	00	05	38	4740 Min	00	02	69
	4305 Min	00	06	91	<b>4742 M</b> in	00	12	10
	4306 Min	00	01	15	4743 Min	00	10	94
	4307 Min	00	09	22	4744 <b>M</b> in	00	00	58
	4310 Min	00	00	96	4745/1 Min	00	16	32
	4313 Min	00	00	38	4804 Min	00	01	34
	4318 Min	00	01	34	4805 Min	00	04	22
	4319 Min	00	11	14	4806 Min	00	13	82
	4322 Min	00	11	52	4809 Min	00	01	73
	4323 Min	00	07	68	4810 Min	00	00	77
	4324 Min	00	00	96	4811 Min	00	02	79
	4325 Min	00	04	-	4812 Min	00	05	38
	4395 Min	00	00	38	I G g at 174.14			

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Massani H. No. 190	4812/1 Min	00	00	59	Didhwarh	456 Min	00	00	38
11, 140, 190	4813 Min 4821 Min	00 00	13 00	63 53	H Nэ. 194.	458 Min 483 Min	00 <b>00</b>	02 00	11 38
	4893 Min	00	05	38		485 Min	00	07	10
	4918 Min 4948 Min	00 00	39 24	36 00		489 Min	00	00	00
	4949 Min	00	15	94		490 Mln 492 Min	00 00	00 05	38 38
	4960 Min 4997 Min	0)	00 01	77 34		493 Min	00	07	49
	5040 Min	00 00	14	40		494 Min	00	00	38
	5041 Min	00	05	18		495 Min	00	00	19 <b>5</b> 3
	5042 Min 5055 Min	00 00	07 07	87 10		496 Min 1576/498 Min	00 00	00 01	33 73
	5063 Min	00	0)	22		1600/500/1 Min	ŏŏ	ŏ5	18
	5069 Min 5(05 Min	00 00	19 <b>00</b>	78 58		1600/500/3 Min	00	00	00
	5114 Min	00	03	07		1607/503/2 Min	00	01	73
	5115 Min	00	13	06		615 Min 616 Min	00 00	04 05	80 95
	5116 Min 5117 Min	00 00	00 03	77 65		642 Min	00	08	26
	5127 Min	00	02	69		646 Min	00	03	26
	5127/1 Min 5129/1 Min	00 00	01 13	1 <b>5</b> 44		648 Min	00	01	73
	5130 Min	00	01	34		649 Min 650 Min	00 00	08 00	45 58
	5137 Min	00	11	52		651 Min	00	00	38
	5138 Min	00	01	34		654 Min	00	00	77
	5154 Min 5155 Min	<b>0</b> 0	00 04	19 22		674 Min	00	03	84
	5157 Min	00	04	03		675 Mfln 676 Min	00 00	06 04	91 99
	5164 Min 5165 Min	00 00	02 01	11 54		680 Min	00	00	58
	5166 Min	00	04	03		719 Min	ÕÕ	ŏĕ	79
	5170 Min	00	00	19		720Min	00	00	00
	5171 Min 5172 Min	00 00	09 0 <b>5</b>	02 18		721 Min 722 Min	00	06	53
5172 5173	5173 Min	00	01	73		722 Min 724 Min	00 <b>00</b>	02 03	50 65
	5175 Min 5188 Min	00 00	46 04	6 <b>6</b> 42		725 Min	00	03	26
	<b>5</b> 189 Min	00	07	68		728 Min	00	01	73
	5190 Min	00	05	57		729 Min	00	00	77
	<b>5</b> 193 <b>M</b> in <b>5</b> 194 <b>M</b> in	00 00	14 01	<b>5</b> 9 34		795 Min	00	01	54
	5195 Min	00	12	67		815 Min	00	06	14
	5199 Min 5201 Min	00 00	07 08	68 45		816 Min	00	00	58
	5205 Min	00	16	90		817 Min 819 Min	00 00	03 02	65 50
Dadhwarh	70 Min	00	04	99		820 Min	00	01	34
I. No. 194	71 <b>M</b> in	00	03	9 <b>5</b>		821 Min	00	04	80
	86 Min	00	00	<i>77</i>		825 Min	00	10	73
	87 Min 89 Min	00 00	00 00	00 77		826 Min	00	08	45
	90 Min	00	06	14		827 Min	00	00	00
	91 Ma 92 Min	00 00	00 05	38 38		829 Min	00	00	38
	103 Min	00	01	15		830 Min	00	05	18
	104 Min	00	00	38		831 Min 833 Min	00	08	45
	105 Min 109 Min	00 00	08 02	26 <b>50</b>		843 Mln	00 00	01 00	34 19
	110 <b>M</b> in	00	00	00		8 44 Min	00	01	34
	112 Min 114 Min	00 00	11 04	90 80		845 Mln	00	02	11
	129 Min	00	Ŏ1	34		846 Min	00	00	58
	142 Min	00	00	00		847 Min	00	00	00
	143 Min 144 Min	<b>0</b> 0	00 01	58 15		859 Min	00	02	11
	145 Min	00	09	13 <b>7</b> 9		860 Min	00	15	94
	146 <b>M</b> in	00	04	42		861 Min 869 Min	00	04	42
	162 Min 163 Min	00 00	00 03	19		876 Min	00 00	00 09	58 60
	164 Min	00	03	2 <b>6</b> 61		877 Min	00	00	38
	165 Min	00	04	42		878 <b>M</b> in	00	14	21
	191 Min 192 Min	00 00	00 00	00 00		879 Min	00	01	73
	193 <b>M</b> in	ŏŏ	04	42		891 <b>M</b> in	00	00	38
	403 Min	00	00	96		893 Min	00	06	34
	447 Min 448 Min	00 00	03 06	26 14		894 Min	00	05	57
	452 Min 454 Min	00	00	19		895 Min	00	00	38
		00	01	73		896 Nin	00	02	88

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Mutfallu H.No. 211	3/Min. 4/Min	00	09 01	61 26	Lodian H.No. 209 Contd.	94 Min. 96 Min.	00	11	64
	12/Min	00	07	59		215 Min.	00 00	01 00	52 51
	13/Min	00	05	31	2	216 Min.	00	10	63
	14/Min 15 Min	00 00	00 00	76 25		24 Min. 25 Min.	00 00	03 09	04 11
	16 Min	00	11	38	2	28 Mm.	00	09	11
	17 Min	00	01	01		29 Min.	00	09	11
	20 Min 21 Min	00 00	01 14	77 42		32 Mm. 33 Min.	00 00	07 04	08 30
	22 Min	ŎŎ	ôi	26	2	40 Min.	00	00	76
	Khal between Kh.	00		25		45 Miff. 46 Mın.	00 00	09 02	36 78
	Nos. 22 & 60 Min 60 Min	00	00 01	25 01	2	47 Mm.	ŏŏ	12	14
	304 Min	00	04	30		66 Min. 72/1 Min.	00 00	05 01	26 01
	305 Min 306 Min	00 00	11 00	89 51		72/2 Min.	00	11	89
	319 Min	00	03	79		73 Min.	00	10	38
	320 Min	00 00	01 04	77 05		74 Min. 75/2 Min.	00 	07 —	33
	321 Min 323/1 Min	80	04	81	2	76 Min.	00	17	71
	323/2 Min	00	07	84	2	77 Min. 82 Min.	00 00	02 02	28 78
	324 Min 345 Min	00 00	00 03	51 54	2	90 Міл.		_	-
	357 Min	00	07	34		04 Min.	00	06	83
	358 Min 359 Min	00 00	06 10	07 88		09 Min. 10 Min.	00 <b>0</b> 0	10 01	88 01
	361 Min	00	04	05	3	11 Min.	00	06	07
	361/1 Min	00	06	58	3	12 Min. 72 Min.	00 00	00 00	25 25
	362 Min 364 Min	00 00	00 04	25 81			VV	•	
	410 Min	00	09	11	Dosanjh Kalan H.No. 198 1				
	412 Min	00	07	59		70 Min. 80 Min.	00 00	08 01	10 52
	413 Min 417 Min	00 00	09 06	36 07		82 Min.	ŏŏ	01	01
	423 Min	00	04	30		83 Min.	00	09	36
424	424 Min 425 Min	00 00	02 02	78 78		84 Min. 85 Min.	00 <b>00</b>	04 00	05 25
					1	88 Min.	00	00	51
	426 Min 427 Min	00 00	06 01	32 01		89 Min. 97 Min.	00	02	53
	428 Min	00	03	54	2	00 Min.	00	00	25
	540 Min	00	01	26		01 Min. 202 Min.	00 00	00 12	25 25 90
	574 Min 596 Min	00 00	01 02	26 28		04 Min.	80	02	53
	597 Min.	00	07	59		05 Min.	00	00	51 25
	598 Min.	00	00	51		211 Min. 211,1 Min.	00	00	23
	599 Min. 600 Min.	00 00	04 03	55 29		212 Min.	00	05	82
	603 Min.	00	01	77		213 Min.	00	05	57
	606 Min.	00	09	11		217 Min.	00	11	89
	607 Min. 622 Min.	00	08	10		218 Min.	00	10-	82
	623 Min.	00	12	65		219 Min.	00	00	76
	624 Min. 625 Min.	00	02	28		60 Min.	00	04	05
	626 Min.	00	11	13		561 Min.	00	04	81 04
	630 Min. 631 Min.	00 00	07 05	08 57		562 Min. 582 Min.	00 00	03 11	89
	632 Min.	00	00	51		895 Min.	00	00	51
	634 Min.	00	05	82		597 Min.	00	05	82
Annir H.No. 210	110 Min.	00	⁻09	36	7	717 Min.	00	03	29
	113 Min.	00	01	01		732 Min.	_	_	_
	114 Min.	00	12	90		733 Min.	00	02	78
	115 Min. 116 Min.	00 00	03 00	04 76		735 Min.	00	02	78
	118 Min.	00	00	76		i 182 Min. i 402 Min.	00 00	00 09	76 61
	123 Min.	00	01	01		1402 Min.	00	05	57
	124 Min.	00	05	57		405 Min.	00	00	25
	125 Min.	00	03	29		1406 Min.	00	00	51
	134 Min.	00	00	51		407 Min.	00	00	51
	135 Min.	_		<del>_</del>	1	1408 Min.	00	02	53
	136 Min.	00	15	18		409 Min.	00	04	55
Lodian H.No. 209	176 Min.	00	01	01		413 Min.	_	_	_
	177 Min.	00	11	89		435 Min.	_	01	26
	191 Min.	90	01	01		436 Min. 437 Min.	00 00	01 05	26 06
	192 Min.	00	04 04	30		437 МЩ. [441/1 <b>Mi</b> n.	00	00	25
	193 Min.	00	05	06					~

		_=		2322		, 1702/K
1		2	3	4	5	
Dosanjh Kalan		Min.	00	10	12	<b>K</b> ∈t H.N
H.N.). 198		Min.	00	10	37	—co.
-contd		Min.	00	00	2 <b>5</b>	
		Min.	00 00	05 10	06 12	
		Min. Min.	00	10	37	
		Min.	00	00	25	
		Min.	00	05	06	
		Min.	00	02	02	
		Min.	00	07	08	
	1553	Min.	00	10	63	
		Min.	00	03	79	
		Min.	00	05	31	
		Min,	00	00	51	
		Min. Min.	00	12	40	उपय
		Min.	00	02	78	धार
		Min.	00	01	26	उर्वर
		Min.	00	01	01	विना
		Min.	00	04	05	अनुमू
		Min.	00	08	60	बि <b>छ</b> ।
		Min.	00	01	52	
		Min.	00	06	58	षा।
		Min.	00	01	- 52	1
		Mn.	00	01	52	(1)
	1862 1865	Nell), News	00 00	10	12	,
	1872		00	00	 76	पश्चा
	1873		00	12	14	मधिक स
	1874/	1 Min.	00	04	81	•
		2 Min.	00	10	63	शक्ति
	1875		00	08	35	<b>इ</b> स र
	1876		00	02	02	का इ
	2058 : 2063 :		00	00	76	आता
	2064		00 00	03 01	29 01	
	2065		00	03	04	<b>7</b>
	2067		00	11	38	हुग् ( मेरे
	2068 1		00	04	55	केन्द्रीय
	2075 1	Min.	00	00	76	<b>य</b> । घाष
	2076 1		00	04	81	ğ
	2077 1		00	06	58	<b>ৰি</b> তান
	2080 1		00	09	36 50	.,
	2081 1	MIII,	00	02	78	तश्
K∋tli Khakhian	542 M	[in.	00	01	01	<b></b>
H.No. 199	543 M		00	07	08	क्रभाव
	544 M		00	06	07	
	545 M		00	01	01	
	546 M		00	08	10	1
	549 M 550 M		00	08	35	
	551 M		00 00	06 00	32 25	1. स
	554 M		00	00	51	हु० मं ०
	555 M		00	00	76	Q. I.
	611 M			_		
	612 M		00	01	52	
	614 M		00	11	89	
	615 M		00	01	26	
	616 M		00	01	52	
	633 M 642 M		00	04	05 52	
	643 M		00 00	01 13	52 41	
	644 M		00	00	51	
	646 M		00	00	25	

1	2	3	4	5
Ketli Khachlan	648 Min.	00	13	16
H.N >, 199	649 Min.		_	_
-contd.	650 Min.		_·	
	656 Min.	00	00	76
	658 Min.	00	04	55
	659 Min.	00	11	13
	709 Mir.	00	10	88
	710 Min.	00	03	79
	711 Min.	00	UO	25
	819 Min.	00	(10)	76
		[No. 12000/3	/5.2- <b>Pr</b>	-d.]

# नई दिल्ली, 12 अक्तूबर, 1982

का०आ० 3678—यतः पेट्रीलियम और खिनज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयाग के प्रधिकार का धर्जन प्रधितियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धार 3की उपधारा (1) के प्रधीम भारत सरकार के पेट्रीलियम रसायन भीर उर्वरक मन्नालय (पेट्रीलियम विभाग) की प्रधिसूचन का०भा०मं० 952, विनांक 6 मार्च, 1982 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस श्रीक्षपूचन, से संलग्न अनुमूची में विनिधिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के श्रीधकार की पाइपनाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोगन के विष्या

भीर यतः सक्य प्राधिकारी ने उक्त श्रधिनियम की धार, 6 की उपधारा (1) के भ्रधीन सरकार की रिपोर्ट देवी है।

भीर स्नागे यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्टपर विचार करने के पश्चात् प्रधिसूचना से संलग्न भ्रनसूची में विनिधिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग का मधिकार म्रजित करने का निश्चय किया है।

मब मतः उन्त मिनियम की धारा 6 की उपघारा (1) द्वारा प्रवस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस प्रधिसूचना में संलग्न धनसूची में विनिर्विष्ट उक्त भूमियों मे उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्द्वार। अजित किया शाता है।

भीर भागे उस धाराकी उपघारा (4) द्वारा प्रवस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देण वैती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का सधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाय इंग्डियन श्रायल कार्पोरेशन में सभी काद्यों से मुक्त रूप में घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस सारीखाकी निहित होगा।

केन्द्रीय/राज्य सरकार द्वारा मर्जित क्षेत्र की मुची से पाइपलाइन विद्यानी है।

तहसील :	<b>लुघिया</b> ना	अपला∵ लु	धियाना	(पंजाब)		
क्रमांक ग्राम	स्नसरा नं०	₹∘	ऐ॰	ष०मी०	सरकार/ क्षिमाग 7	
1 2	3	4	5	6		
1. सलेमपुर	8/10 भिन	00	10	63	स्वातीय	
ह०नं० ३३	1 1/1 मिन	00	04	95	प्रश <b>ःस</b> न	
	1 <i>2 </i> 1 मिन	00	08	60	(লাকণ	
	12 <sub>1</sub> 2 मिन	00	07	08	बाडी	
	1 3 <sub>/</sub> 2 मिन	00	00	25	श₁मलात	
	17 मिन	00	01	01	षेस्)	
	18 <sub>/</sub> 1 मि <del>ग</del>	0.0	03	04		
	18/2 भिन	00	09	61		
	19 मिन	00	0 1	77		
	23/3 मिन	0.0	00	51		
	2 4 मिन	00	06	3.3		

<u></u>	= _ <del></del>	<del></del>	=	
1 2	3	4	5	6 7
— 2 শ্ৰিছা	3/11 भिन	00	0.6	====================================
ष्ठ०न० ३५	13 <b>भन</b>	0.0	02	28 सरकार
,	18 भिन	0.0	04	3.0 ग्रालुबं(ज
	19 (मन	00	1.2	40 फार्म
	20 रिम <b>न</b>	00	00	00
	23 (मन	0.0	09	87
	24 (मन	00	0.6	32
	6) 4 मिन	0.0	08	≀0 े पंजाब
	5 1्रिन	0.0	08	35 }-सरकार तन
	७ भिन -	0.0	04	81 ) विभाग
3 हैवरनगर	7/19 मिन	0.0	03	79 केन्द्रीय
ह०म० ३४	22'मन	0.0	09	61 भरकार
	23 मिन	0.0	02	02
	13/3 मिन	00	02	28
	3 मि <b>न</b>	0.0	08	8.5
	8 (भन	0.0	10	37
	13 भिन	0.0	1.0	37
	18 मिन	0.0	10	37
	23 <sub>/</sub> 2{भन	0.0	1.1	63
	24 भन	0.0	0.1	31
	1 6/3 मिन	0.0	0,	79
	4 मिन	00	1) 1	5.5
	७ भिन	0.0	03	85
	14 मिन	00	0.5	31
	1 5 मि <b>न</b>	00	11	13
	16 नि <b>न</b>	0.0	0.3	04
	17/19 मिन	00	00	51
	20 मिन 20 मिन	00	13	16
	21 (मन	00	01	0 1
	22 मिन	00	13	91
	23 भिन	0.0	01	52
	24/३1 भिन	00	06	83
	19 (मन	00	80	60
	20 (भन	00	06	83
	22 पिन	0.0	05	5.5
	23 मिन	0.0	11	13
	25/2: मिन	00	0.0	25
	3 (मन	00	13	41
	4 (भन - 0	00	02	78
	6 भिन - फिन	00	05	06
	7 मिन - क्रिक्ट	00	1 1 0 9	13
	1 र मिन	00		36 54
	30/3 मिन	0.0	03	65
	4 भिन	00	12	
	5 (भन ० (भन	00	00	00
	6 (मन व फिल्स	00 00	13 01	66 77
	7 मिन 1 5 भिन	00	00	76
	15।मन 31/10(भन	00	00	76
	३३/३०(मन 11 भिन	00	06	07
	11 1यन 48 सिंह	0.0	00	51
	48 भिन 46 मिन	00	0.4	उ≀ उ०ो्पंशाब
	46149 47 সি <b>ন</b>	00	00	50 (पंजाब 51 ∫ <b>सरकार</b>
			<del></del> · · · ·	
			[सं∘	12020/1/82-न्नो ०]

# New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982

S.O. 3678.—Whereas by a notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals and Fertilizer (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 952 dated 6-3-1982 under Sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government declared its inten on to acquire the Right of User in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notifiation for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Subsection (1) of Section 6 of the said Act submitted report to the Government.

And further the Central Government has after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now, the cfore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Subsection (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipelines.

And further in exercise of the power conferred by Sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

Detail of the Area owned by Contral/State Government through which pipe line has to pass—
Tohsil Ludhia a. Distt. Ludhiana.

Sl. Na.	Name of Village with H.B.N.).	Khasra No.	HR	RA	SR	Govt./ Deptt.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. S:	ale nour	8/10 Min	00	10	63	Local
ΗN	11. 33	11/l Min.	00	04	05	B 'dies
		12/1 Min	00	08	60	(Shama-
		12/2 Min.	00	07	08	lat Deh
		13/2 Min.	00	00	25	
		11/7 Min.	00	01	01	
		18/1 Min.	00	03	04	
		18/2 Min.	00	09	61	
		19 Min.	00	01	77	
		23/3 Min.	00	00	51	
		24 Міл.	00	06	32	
2. 6	archa	3/11 Min.	00	06	58	Centra
H.Nv. 35	I.N >. 35	12 Min.	00	02	28	Govern
		18 Min.	00	04	30	ment/
		19 Min.	00	12	40	Patato s
		20 Min.	00	00	00	Seed
		23 Mln.	00	09	87	Farm
		24 Min.	00	06	32	
		6/4 Min.	00	08	10	Punjab
		5 Min.	00	08		Govt./
		6 Min.	00	04-	81	Ferost Dept.
зн	aldar Nagar	2/19 Min,	00	03	79	Centra
	I.No. 34	22 Min.	00	09	61	Govern
		23 Mln.	00	02	02	ment.
		13/2 Min.	00	02	28	
		3 Min.	00	08		
		8 Min.	00	10	37	
		13 Min.	00	10	37	
		18 Min.	00	10	37	
				-		
			00	10	63	
		23/2 Min. 24 Min.	00 00	10 00	63 <b>51</b>	
		23/2 Min.				

1 2	3	4	5	6	7
Haidar Nagar	7 Min.	00	08	85	Central
H. No. 34	14 Min.	00	05	31	Govern-
-Contd	15 Min.	00	11	13	ment
	16 Min.	00	03	04	
	17/19 Min.	00	00	51	
	20 Min.	00	13	16	
	21 Min.	00	01	01	
	22 Min.	00	13	91	
	23 Min.	00	01	52	
	24/11 Min.	00	<b>0</b> 6	83	
	19 Miu."	00	08	60	
	20 Min.	00	06	83	
	22 Min.	00	05	57	
	23 Min.	00	11	13	
	25/2 Min.	00	00	25	
	3 Min.	00	13	41	
	4 Min.	00	02	78	
	6 Min.	00	05	06	
	7 Min.	00	11	13	
	15 Min.	00	09	35	
	30/3 Min.	00	03	54	
	4 Min.	00	12	65	
	5 Min.	00	00	00	
	6 Min.	00	13	66	
	7 Min.	00	01	77	
	15 Min.	00	00	76	
	31/10 Min.	00	00	76	
	11 Min.	00	06	07	
	48 Min.	00	00	51	
					<u> </u>
	46 Min.	00	04	30	Pb. Gov
	47 Min.	00	00	51	P.W.
					Dept*

काल्काल 3679.—यतः पेट्रोलियम ग्रीर खिनिज पाईपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के मधिकार का प्रजैन) प्रिधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की बारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के मधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम, रसायन ग्रीर उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की श्रीधमूचना काल भारतं । 1781 तारीक 22-4-82 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस प्रिध-सूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्विष्ट भूभियों के उपयोग के ग्रीधकार को पाइप लाईनों को विद्यान के प्रयोगन के लिए ग्राजित करने का प्रपत्ना ग्राग्य धोषित कर विथा था।

भीर यत. समक्ष प्राधिकारी ने उक्त प्रजितियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के भ्रधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दें दी है।

भीर भागे, यक्षः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पत्थात् इस प्रधिसूचना से संलग्न धनुसूची में विनिधिब्द सूमियों में उपयोग का मधिकार भजित करने का विनिध्चय किया है।

थव, प्रतः उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 6 की उरधारा (1) हारा प्रयक्त प्रक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय संस्कार एतवृहारा घोषित करती है कि इस प्रधिसूचना में संसन्त प्रनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त मूमियों में उपयोग का प्रक्षिकार पाइपलाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतदुद्वारा प्रजित किया जाता है।

भौर आगे जस धारा की जपकारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत गांकतयों का प्रयोग करते द्वुप केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश वेती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का प्रविकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहिन होने के बजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी वाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, बोबणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुसूक्षी

[सं॰ 12016/2/82--प्रो॰]

S.O. 3679.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 1781 dated 22-4-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of the declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from South Santhal GGS to NK GGS I

State : Gujarat District & Taluka : Mehsana

Village	Block No.	Hec- tare	ARE	Cen- tiare
Ijpura	Cart Tract	0	00	25
	617	0	02	50
	618	0	00	10
	624	0	10	20
	Cart Track	0	00	60
	621	0	02	90
	622	0	04	25
	623	0	13	70
	<i>577</i>	0	00	30
	<i>5</i> 78	0	06	00
	571	0	04	00
	570	0	05	20
	Cart Track	0	00	15
	645	0	04	30
	Cart Track	0	00	80
	647	0	04	60
	652	0	04	25
	651	0	04	75
	655	0	19	25
	656	0	06	00

[No. 12016/2/82-Prod.]

नई दिल्ली, 13 अक्तूबर, 1982

का॰ आ॰ 3680.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनयम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपयारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की अधिस्वना का॰ मा॰ संकार वे उर्वरक मंत्रालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की अधिस्वना का॰ मा॰ संकार वे उर्वाधिमूचना से संलग्न अनसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों के उपयोग के अधिकार की पाइप लाईमों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए अजित करने का अपना आया योपित कर दिया था।

भीर यतः समक्ष प्राधिक। री ने उक्त भ्रविनियम की धार। 6 की उपघारा (1) के भ्राधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

ग्रौर ग्रामे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चाते इस ग्रिधिसूचना से संलग्न ग्रनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का ग्रिष्ठिकार ग्रीमित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

ग्रम, प्रतः उक्त अधिनियम की धार। ६ की उपधारी (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त प्रक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एनवृद्धार। चोवित करती है कि इस प्रधिसूचन, में संलग्न प्रनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग क, प्रधिकार पाइपलाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतब्हारा प्रजित किया जाता है।

श्रीर भागे उस धारा की उपभारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिवतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्वेश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का ग्रीकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहिन हीने के बजाय तेल भीर प्राकृतिक गैम भायोग में. सभी बाधायों से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहिन होगा।

ग्रनुयूची विकास संग्रास्त क्रीक्टीक्स स्टाप्ट के उन्हर्स करी। नीक्सीक

दक्षिण संयास जी०जी०एम० से उत्तर कड़ी जी०जी०एम० 1 राज्य : गुजरात जिला : श्रहमदाबाद त्रीलुका : विरमगाम

गांब	1	सर्वे नं०	हेक्टेयर	एमारई	सेग्टीयर
भटारीया		78	0	0.5	30
		77/1	C	0.2	30
		76	0	11	60
		कार्ट ट्रेक	0	00	25
		75/5/A	0	04	45
		74/4	0	0.0	70
		74/6	0	02	90
		73/2	0	0.1	85
		72/2	0	00	85
		72/1	0	0.5	20

[सं॰ 12016/3/82-प्रोड॰] एल॰ एम॰ गोयल, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 13th October, 1982

S.O. 3680.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 1782 dated 22-4-82 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government:

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by subsection (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the sald lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Goernment vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

#### SCHEDULE

Pipeline from South Sauthal GGS to NK GGS I State: Gujarat Dis rict: Ahmodabad Taluka: Virangam

Village	Survey No.	H.c.	Are Cen-	
<b>B</b> hatariya	78	0	05	30
-	<b>77/</b> 1	0	02	30
	76	0	11	60
	Cart track	0	00	25
	74/5/A	0	04	45
	74/4	0	00	70
	74/6	0	02	90
	73/2	0	01	85
	72/2	0	00	85
	72/1	0	05	20

[No. 12016/3/82-Prod.] L. M. Goyal, Director.

## नागर विमानन मंत्रालय

नई विरुली, 14 धमतुबर, 1982

का॰ बा॰ 3681.—बायु निगम प्रक्रिनियम, 1953 (1953 का 27) की घारा 4 क्षारा प्रदक्त प्रक्रिनयों का प्रयोग करने हुए नथा इस मंत्रालय की प्रधिमूचना सं॰ ए॰ धी॰ 18013/2/82-ए॰ सी॰ दिनांक 17 जुलाई, 1982 के धनुक्रम में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री जै॰ धार॰ की॰ टाटा की तस्काल एयर इंडिया के निवेशक मंडल में गैर-सरकारी निवेशक के रूप में नामित करती है।

[सं० ए०वी० 18013/2/82-ए०सी०] चन्द्रमणि चतुर्वेदी, संयुक्त सचिष

## MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

8.0. 3681.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) and in continuation of this Ministry's Notification No. AV. 18013/2/82-AC dated the 17th July, 1982, the Central Government hereby nominates with immediate effect Shai J. R. D. Tata as a non-official Director on the Board of Directors of Air India.

[No. AV. 18013/2/82-AC] C. M. CHATURVEDI, Jt. Secy.

## खेल विभाग

नई दिल्ली, 27 भितम्बर, 1982

का० आ० 3682.— खिलाड़ियों के लिए राष्ट्रीय कल्याण निधि के संस्थन्ध में भारत के राजपत्र (अमाधारण) भाग II खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (ii) में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, शिक्षा और संस्कृति मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग) के एस० ग्री० सं० 166 (ई) दिलार 22 मार्च, 1382 की श्रीधसूचना में निम्तलिखित संगोधन किए अन्।

- (i) प्रधिसूचना में जहां कही शब्द "शिक्षा घोर संस्कृति मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग)" शाए उनके स्थान पर "खेन विभाग" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाए।
- (ii) पैरा 3 (ऋद्रोक 1) में "जिक्षा और संस्कृति राज्य मंद्री" के स्थान पर "ग्रापृति एवं खेल राज्य मंत्री" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाए।

[मं० 13-1/81 डेस्स [-(खेन)] एस० के० चतुर्वेदी संगुक्त सचिव

# DEPARTMENT OF SPORTS

New Delhi, the 27th September, 1982

- S.O. 3682.—In the Notification of Government of India, Ministry of Education and Culture (Department of I du ation) S.O. No. 166(E) dated the 22nd March, 1982 regarding National Welfare Fund for Sportsmen published in the Gazette of India (Extraordinary) Part II Section 3 Sub-Section (ii), the following modifications may be made:—
  - (i) The words "Ministry of Education and Culture (Department of Education)" wherever they occur in the Notification may be substituted by the words "Department of Sports".
  - (ii) In para 3 (Serial No. 1) "Minister of State for Education and Culture" may be substituted by "Minister of State for Supply and Sports".

[No. F. 13-1/\$1-Desk-I (Sports)] S. K. CHATURVEDI, Jt. Secy.

# संचार मंत्रालय

# (डाफ तार बोर्ड)

नई विल्ली, 15 अक्तूबर 1982

का॰ आ॰ 3683.—स्यायी प्रावेश संख्या 627, विनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के घनुसार बाक-तार महानिवेशक ने कुरकोरम टेलीफोन केन्द्र में विनांक 1-11-82 से प्रमाणित वर प्रणाली लागू करने का निष्धय किया है।

[मंख्या 5-9/82-पीएचबी]

# MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (P&T Board)

New Delhi, the 15th October, 1982

S.O. 3683.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 1st November, 1982 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Curchorem Telephone Exchange, Maharashtra Circle.

[No. 5-9/82-PHB]

# नई दिल्लों, 20 अक्तुबर, 1982

काः जाः 3684. —स्थायी वादेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लाग किए गए भारभीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के हंड 3 के पैरा (क) के अनसार डाक-भार महानिदेशक ने राग्नी राग्नी इडामन/वेचण्डिन टेलि-फोर केन्द्र से दि कि 1-11-19:2 में प्रमाणिन दर प्रणानी लागू करने दा निरुच्य किया है।

[मंख्या 5-10/82-पीएधबी] आर. सी. कटारिया, सहायक महानिदेशक (पी.एच.ए.)

New Delhi, the 20th October, 1982

S.O. 3684.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules. 1951 as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March. 1960, the Director General. Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 1st November, 1982 as the date on which the Meisured Rate System will be introduced in RANNI/RANNI-EDAMAN/VECHOOCHIRA telephone Fxchanges, Kerala Circle.

[No. 5-10/82-PHB]

R. C. KATARIA, Asstt. Director Genl. (PHB)

# श्रम ग्रोर युनर्जात संत्रालय

# (पुतर्जास विनाग)

# मई दिन्ती, 21 सिरम्बर 1982

ना० आ० 3685.-- निम्थापित नानिन (प्रतिका तथा पुरावीन) श्रधिनियम 1951 (1951 के 41) के धारा 34 के उनवा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियो या प्रयोग याते हुए कुक बद्याण प्राप्ता इतने द्वारा 21 लिएबर 1992 हा नगाया स्थापन हा । स्थापन स्थापन के रूप में निरुष्टा किए पूर्णी तनग र तर पतित्र थी एन केंग कन्तन का उका की तारा ए ग्रा 23 मीर 21 है। जनार्गर साीला श्रीर संस्थान कि पुना है जाता है। जाता के संस्था के हैंग भ्रपनी शहिया सामते हैं।

 इसमे दिनाक 6/10 नई, 1932 क नामकृति गई। 1(7) विमेष सैल/82-एन० एन०-(II ज) हः अधे माग्रोहा नाना है।

[मब्बा 1(18)/विशेष सैत/82 एम० एम०-II(ख)]

एव० के० बनु, मुख्य बन्दोत्रस्त प्रायुक्त

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND REHABLITATION

(Department of Rehabilitation)

New Delhi, the 21st September, 1982

S.O. 3685 -In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of Section 34 of the Dis laced Persons (Compeneation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (No. 44 of 1954). the Chief Settlement Commi sioner hereby de egates to Shri K Kansel Under Secretary in the Department of Rehabilitation, appointed as Settlement Commissioner vide Notification of even number sp<sup>1</sup> date his power, under Sections 23 and 24 of the said Act for the purpose of hearing appeals and revisions under these Sections.

2 This supersedes Notification No. 1(7) Srl. Cell 82 SS. II(B), dated the 6|10th May, 1982.

> [No. 1(18)/Spell Cell/82 SS II/BY] S. K. BASU, Chief Settlement Commissio er

#### (श्रम विशाग)

# नई दिन्ती, 15 अक्तवर, 1982

काः आ० 3686.-- चना-पत्थर और डोलोमाइट खान श्रम फन्य ण निधि नियम 1973 के नियम 3 के उप नित्रम (2) के गाथ गाँउ। चता-सन्थर भ्रीर डोलोमाइट खान श्रम कल्याण नित्रि पीनियम 1972 (1972 का 62) की धारा 6 हारा प्रदन शिवनतों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार गजरान राज्य के लिए सनाद्वार समिति गठित करनी है: जिसमें निम्न निखित सदस्य होगे प्रयान् --

- 1. श्रम मनी ग्जरत सन्कार
- उपाध्यक्ष-पदेन 2 कच्चण अध्युक्त, श्रम क्ल्य ग निधि संगठन
- केन्द्रीय सरकार का प्रतिनिध-पदेन 3 सहायक श्रमाय्कत (केन्द्रीय) **भ्रहमदाबाद**
- 4 श्री शशिकाना लवानी, सदस्य, सदस्य त्रिज्ञान सभा विद्यान समा, सत्य नवीन गुजरात मन्दिर रोड **पोरवन्दर** गुजरात

850 GI/12-4

5 थीं एवं एत० रही, प्रवान, र्श्वः दि(यज्ञत्र सीमेट कम्बनी िं0, डा० दिगियान ग्रान वरास्ता, जामनगर-361140 च्या-परवर और डोलोनाइट खान

6 श्री बी॰ ए। यह इने रिसी प्रभावक, उटा के निष्ठत विक. मीठारु-३६1315

मानिकों के प्रतिधि

7 श्री १९ सिंहु ४० चडनहर्ने, उपप्रवान, इ, उपन नेमनत ट्रैंड खान अ रिको हैं प्रतिविव यूनिया कांग्रेय, भूजरा झार, छता चगी पास्ट क निक्तट, पोरवदर

न्म तथा तै डोतोमाइट,

8 थीं वापुरेत्र भाई पांड्या, मती सेवा लया सीमेंट वर्कन यानेयन सेवालिया, जिला खेरा

9 कुमारी द्वाबेन भट्ट एस० ई० डब्ल्यू ए० द्वारा टैक्सटाइल लेबर एनर्सन एशन, गाँवी मजदूर सेवालय, भाइ, पन पेटी संख्या 110, श्रहमदानाद

महिला प्रतिनिधि

2. चूना-पत्थर और होतोपाइड खान श्रम हान, म (निधि संगठन के यल्याण प्रणामक मलाहकार सामित के सचिव होगे।

3 चुना-पत्थर भ्रौर डोनामाइट खान श्रमकन्याण निधि नियम, 1973 के नियम 18 के प्रमुख्य केन्द्रीय नरहार समिति का मुख्यालय पाटन निवीरित करती है।

[फाइन सं० यू० 23018/17/80 एम-5]

ठाकूर दास, अवर सचिव

# (Department of Labour)

New Delhi, the 15th October, 1982

S.O. 3536.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Limestone and Dolomite Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act 1972 (62 of 1972) read with sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Lime tone and Dolomite Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules 1973 the Cent al Government hereby constitutes an Advisory Committee for the state of Gujarat consisting of the following rienbos namely:--

1. Minister of State for Labour Chairman

2. Walfa e Commissioner, Labour, Wolfe e Fund O ganisation, Bhilwara. Vice-Chairman-ex-Officio

3. Assistant Labour Commissione (Central), Ahmadahad

Central Government representative-ex-officio

4. Sh i Shashikant Lokeni, Member of the Legislative Assembly, Satya Navin Mandir Road, Porbanda: (Gujarat).

Member of the Legislative Assembly of the State of Gujarat.

5 Shri M.L Rethi. President, Sh ee Digvijay Cement Co. Ltd., P.O. Digvijaygram, Via: Jam 13 gar-361 140

Representative of the Limestone and Dolomite Mine Owners.

- Shi B.M. Saha, Engineering Manager, Tata Chemicals Limited, Mithapur-361345
- 7. Shri Bharatsinhji C.
  Chudasmmo, Vice-President
  Indian National Trade
  Union Congress,
  Gujarat Branch,
  Near Chhaya Octroi Post,
  Porbandar.

Ropiosontatives of Limetone and Dolomite Mine Workers.

- Shri Vasudevbhai Pandya, Secretary,
   Sevalia Cement Workers' Union,
   Sevalia, District Khaira.
- Miss Ilaben Bhatt SEWA

Women Representative

C/O. Textile]labourAssociation, Gaundhi majoor sevalaya, Bhadra Post Box No. 110, Ahmodabad.

- 2. The Welfare Administrator of the Limestone and Dolemite Mines Labour Welfare Fund Organisation, shall be the Socretary of the Advisory Committee.
- 3. In terms of rule 18 of the Limestone and Dolomite Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules 1973, the Central Government hereby fixes Patan to be the headquarters of the said Advisory Committee.

[F. No. U-23018/17/80-M.V.] T.D. SALHOTRA, Under Secy.

#### ग्रादेश

## नई दिल्ली, 5 ग्रन्तूबर, 1982

का अा ं 3687—यतः इससे संबद्ध प्रत्सूची में वर्णित प्रौद्यौतिक विवाद श्री मुल चन्द भारद्वाज, शीठासीन प्रधिकारी, भौद्योगिक प्रधिकरण, फरीदाबाद के समक्ष लंबित है;

भीर यत. किन्ही तकनीकि कारणों से श्री मृत जन्द भारदाज की सेवाभ्रों का उपयोग एक ऐसे विवाद के न्याय निर्णयन के लिए जो कि केन्द्रीय क्षेत्र में श्रासा है नहीं किया जा सकता;

भतः श्रीशीगिक विवाद श्रिवित्यम 1947 की भारा 33वी की बपधारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदल्प शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार कश्चित श्री मान जरव भागता पिठामीन श्रिकारी, श्रीशोगिक श्रिकरण, फरीदाबाद के गान लावन उपर्यक्त विवाद से मंबंधित मामले को वापम लेनी है पान उसकी इस निर्देश के साथ, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीहोगिक श्रिषकरण एवं श्रम न्यायालय, मई-दिल्ली को स्थानान्तरित वापी है कि उका श्रीषकरण मामले की मुनवाई भरेगा श्रीर भागून के श्रमुसार उसका निष्पादन करेगा।

## धनुसूची

"क्या डालमिया दादरी लिमेंट लिमिटेड, चरखी दादरी की सिमेंट फैक्टरी से लगी खदानों का 18-3-1980 से बंद हो जाना स्थायौचित है? यदि नहीं तो, कामगार फिस धन्तोष के हकदार हैं ?"

[सं० एल० 29024/1/81-की 3 की०] भार० पी० तस्ला, उप-संचिव

#### ORDER

New Delhi, the 5th October, 1982

S.O. 3687.—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri Mool Chand Bhardwaj, the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad:

And whereas the services of Shri Mool Chand Bhardwaj cannot be utilised for adjudication of a dispute falling in the Central Sphere for technical reasons;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute pending before the said Shri Mool Chand Bhardwaj, Presiding Officer. Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad and transfers the same to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi with the direction that the latter Tribunal shall proceed with the proceedings and dispose of the same according to law.

#### **SCHEDULE**

"Whether the closure of the quarries attached to the Cement Factory of Dalmia Dadri Cement Ltd., Charkhi Dadri with effect from 18-3-1980 is justified If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?" Dadri with effect from 18-3-1980 is justified. If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

[No. L-29024/1/81-D. JII. B]
R. P. NARULA, Dy. Secy.

#### भादेश

# नर्ष दिल्ली, 14, अक्तूबर 1982

का० आ० 3688.---भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय के प्रधि-सुधना संख्या का० ग्रा० 1697 तारीख 22 मई, 1965 द्वारा गठित श्रम न्यायालय तं० 1, धनबाद के पीठासीन भविकारी का पद रिक्त हुआ है।

धतः भव भौधोगिक विवाद मधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 8 के उपबंधों के धनुसरण में, केव्हीय सरकार की मनोरंजन प्रसाद की 4-10-1982 से उक्त श्रम व्यामालय के पीठासीन मधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[मंक्या एस- 11020/3/82/-की a आई. ए-(i)]

## **ORDERS**

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

S.O. 3688.—Whereas a vacancy has accured in the Office of the Presiding Officer of the Labour Court No. 1 at Dhanbad constituted by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 1697 dated the 22nd May, 1965.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of section 8 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Manoranjan Prasad, as the Presiding Officer of the said Labour Court with effect from the 4th October, 1982.

[No. S-11020(3)/82-D.I.(A)(i)]

का० आ० 3689 ---भारत सरकार के श्रम महालय की ग्रीध-सूचना संख्या का० भा० 103 तारीख 11 जनवरी, 1960 द्वारा गठित भौद्योगिक ग्रीधकरण स० 1, धनवाद के पीठासीन ग्रीधकारी का पद रिक्स द्वारा है।

धतः धव धौद्यौगिक विवाद प्रधितियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 8 के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री मसोरंजन प्रसाद को 4-10-1982 से उक्त भौद्योगिक प्रधिकरण के पीठासीन श्रीक्षकारी के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

> [संस्था एस०--11020(3)/82--शी-1--ए (ji)] एस० के० नारायणन, ध्रवर समिव

S.O. 3689.—Whereas a vacancy has occurred in the Office of the Presiding Officer of the Industrial Tribunal No. 1 at Dhanbad constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 103 dated the 11th January, 1960;

Now therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of section 8 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Manoranjan Prasad, as the Presiding Officer of the Industrial Tribunal, with effect from the 4th October, 1982.

[No. S-11020(3)/82-D.I(A)(ii)] L. K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

#### New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

S.O. 3690.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 3, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers to the management of North Tisra Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Khas Jeenagora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 11th October, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT No. 3, DHANBAD

Reference No. 4/80

PRESENT:

Shri J. N. Singh, Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of North Tisra Colliery of M|s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P. O. Khas Jeenagora, Dist. Dhanbad.

#### AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers-Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workman-Shri S. Bose, Secretary, R.C.M.S.

INDUSTRY: Coal STATE: Bihar

Dated, the 4th October, 1982

#### AWARD

The Govt. of India in the Ministry of I abour in exercise of the powers conferred on them U/s 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No. L-20012| 153|79-D.III(A) dated 15th January' 80.

## SCHEDULE

"Keeping in view the functions performed by Shri Mahesh Tiwary, Attendance Clerk of North Tisra Colliery of M<sub>I</sub>s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P. O. Khas Jeenagora, Dist. Dhanbad whether the demand of the workmen that he should be placed in Grade-I (Clerical) is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workmen entitled?

- 2. According to the workman he is a permanent employee of the colliery since 1-1-1946 and was initially working as an Attendance Clerk but subsequently he was working as Surface-in-Charge which post he is holding since the time of the take over of the management by the Govt. It is stated that prior to take over he was being paid wages of Clerk Grade II by the private owners but after nationalisation inspite of the fact that he is performing the duties of Clerical Grade I, he is being paid the wages of Clerk Grade II.
- 3. It is alleged that the concerned workman represented his case before the management and he was also assurred rectification of his defective fitment in pay scale but nothing was done. It is alleged that the Collery Manager in April, 1977 assigned as many as nine additional duties to the concerned workman which he has been performing and he is doing the duties of Surface-in-Charge and not of an Atten dance Clerk. It is submitted that the concerned Workman has been denied his proper grade of scale of pay and is suffering serious financial loss. The concerned workman having failed to receive justice in the hands of the management raised an industrial dispute and after failure of conciliation the present reference was made. It is submitted that the concerned workman should be paid the scale of pay in Clerical Grade I in view of his performance of duty as Surface-in-Charge since the date of take over and his demand is justified.
- 4. According to the management, however, the concerned workman Sri Mahesh Tiwary was as Attendance Clerk prior to take over and he had been correctly fixed in clerical grade II and his demand to be fixed in clerical grade I is not justified. It is stated that considering the output of the colliery and the miscellanceous surface works connected with and incidental to loading and despatch of coal and coke, one R.P. Singh has been posted as Supervisor to perform all the supervisory jobs and he has been placed in Technical Supervisory Grade 'A' of the Coal Wage Board recommendation. It is submitted that the concerned workman does not supervise or control or direct the mannual or clerical workers engaged on surface jobs and so his assertion that he is a Surfacein-Charge has no merit at all. The main function of the concerned workman according to the management is the clerical jobs of maintenance of records and it is stated that the concerned workman is performing the various clerical lobs as a general clerk and that his case for promotion to clerical grade I will be considered when there will be a sanction for such a post on the surface of the colliery. It is further stated that there does not exist any post of Surface-in-Charge under the Wage Board recommendation and the colliery in general do not have any person known as Sarface-in-Charge.
- 5 On the above grounds it is prayed that the Reference be decided in favour of the management.
- 6. The point for consideration is as to whether keeping in view the function performed by Sri Mahesh Tewary, Attendance Clerk whether his demand that he should be placed in Grade I (Clerical) is justified. If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled.
- 7. From the reference itself it will appear that the grade of the concerned workman is to be decided keeping in view the function performed by him. It is admitted by the management that the concerned workman was an attendance clerk prior to take over and the was placed in Clerk Grade II It is not denied that the concerned workman is under employment of the colliery since 1946 and since then he is getting the pay scale of Clerk Grade II The management has also asserted that there is no post of Surface-in-Charge under the Coal Wage Agreement and as of the claim of the concerned workman to be placed in Grade I is unjustified. This contration of the management is however not tenable. It might be that there is no such post under the Coal Wage Board recommendation known as

Surface-in-Charge but the documents of the management would themselves so that the concerned clerk was designated as Surface in-Charge. Ext. W-1 is the identity card issu d to the concerned workman by the present management Their his designation has been shown as Surface-in-Charge. The date of appointment is 1-1-1946, Ext. W-2 is a latter dated 19th/21st April, 1977 issued by the Superintendent, North Tisia Colliery to the concerned workman. This letter also shows the designation of the concerned workman as Surface-in-Charge. By this letter the concerned workman was directed to supervise the jobs as mentioned in the letter. They are as follows:

- 1. Loading of TISCO Truck.
- 2. Local scale of S|Coke if any
- Supervision of manufacturing soft coke in Quality and quantity.
- General Supervision of Magazine and maintaining the main records of the same.
- 5 Accounting and receipt of Timber.
- 6. Maintenance of records of bricks.
- 7. Supervision of the work of casuals.
- 8. Maintenance of all records as required by ACM.
- 9. All other jobs as advised by ACM Incharge.

It is not demed on behalf of the management that the concerned workman is not performing all the above jobs. From a persual of the jobs assigned to the workman it will appear that he was to make supervision of several jobs such as supervision of manufacturing of soft coal in quality and quantity, general supervision of magazine and maintainnee of main records of the same, supervision of the works of casuals in addition to the other jobs as mentioned in this letter including local sale of soft coal, loading of TISCO Trucks etc.

- 8. The main duty of attendance clerk, however, is to take attendance only. No attendance register has been filed to show that in fact the concerned clerk was in charge of the attendance. The cooperned workman has examined himself as WW-1 and he has stated that he is Surface-in-Charge even till today and that he does not do the work of Attendance Clerk.
- 9. Ext. W.3 is a letter by the management dated 20-3-1979 to the A.L.C., Dhanbad and from this letter also it will appear that certain other jobs are also performed by the concerned workman though the management has said that these jobs are like that of general Assistant, I do not think a General Assistant can be called upon to perform the jobs of supervisory nature as is mentioned in Ext W-2. No doubt the management has examined MW-1 an Assit. Colliery Manager who has stated that the main duty of the concerned workman was prepare the raising report on the basis of report of Munshi and that he was also looking after the job of soft coke manufacturing and looking after the loading of local sale but he has not denied that the jobs assigned to the concerned workman in Ext. W-2 are not performed by hm. MW-1 has however stated that one Sri R P. Singh is working as Loading Supervisor but this Sri R. P. Singh is the son of the Ex-owner of the colliery. It is not deried that the conceined workman is doing many jobs of supervisory nature. Further it will appear that though the concerned workman is in Grade II since 1946 but till today he has not been given promotion. Even according to the cadre scheme of the management the concerned workman should by now have been placed in Grade I, more so in face of several supervisory jobs being performed by him.
- 10 The learned Advocate of the management has drawn my attention to the Coal Wage Board recommendation Vol II made 54 Appendix VI in which the grading of clerical staff and their nomenclatures have been mentioned and it is submitted that under Grade I only the departmental heids have been mentioned and there is no name of Surface-in-Charge. This Appendix, however, do not indicate that only

heads of department have been given Grade I. Finisher there may not be any post of surface-in-Charge under the Coal Wage Board but from the documents of the management it is clear that the concerned workman was designated as Surface-in-Charge and he was asked to perform several supervisory duties in such creamstances the action of the management in not giving the pay of Grade I clerk to the concerned workman camot be held to be justified In my opinion, and on the cvi dence on record and keeping in view the functions performed by the concerned workman he is entitled to be placed in Grade I Clerical.

- 11. The next question is as to from which date he should be put in Grade 1. Though the concerned workman has stated that he is doing the job of Surface-in-Charge since prior to take over but the claim was made by him in the year 19/8 or 19/9 that is after a lapse of several years. In such circumstances, I think the ends of justice will be met if the concerned workman is put in Grade I (Clerical) from the date of reference i.e. 15th January, 1980 from which date he will be entitled to get the pay scale and other benefits of Grade I Clerk.
  - 12. The award is given accordingly,

#### Sd7-

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer. [No. L-20012(153)/79.D.III.(A)]

SO. 3691.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 3, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Mugma Area of Messrs Eastern Coalfields Limited, Post Office Mugma, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was teccived by the Central Government on the 11th October 1982.

BI FORF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COURT NO. 3, DHANBAD

### Reference No. 25/80

PRESENT:

Shri J. N Singh, Presiding Officer.

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Mugma Area of M/s Eastern Coalfields Itd. P.O. Magma, Dist. Dhanbad.

## AND

### Their workmen

APPEARANCES .

For the Employers—Shri R. S. Murthy, Advocate For the Workmen—None.

INDUSTRY: Coal,

STATE : Bibar

#### Dated, the 4th October, 1982

# AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them u/s. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No. I 20012/219/79-D.III.A, dated the 17th April '80.

# **SCHEDULE**

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Mugma Area of M/s Eastern Coalfields Ltd.. P.O Mugma, Dist Dhanbad that the workmen mentioned in Annexure A should be regularised as permanent employers of the Colliery and that they should be given wages in accordance with the National Coal Wage Agreement with retrospective effect is justified? If no, to what relief are the said workmen entitled?"

	ANNEXUREA			
Namo	Dolignation	Qualification	Date of Apptt,	Rate pay
1. S i Sheo Shanka. Pando/ 2. "Uma Shanka. Pando/ 3. "Ranashankai Pandoy 4. "Ghenshya i Mish a 5. "Nawal Kishoto Singh 6. "Baliniki Ojha 7. "NKP Ve ma 8. "Subaas Ch Goswami 9. "Su o idia Singh 10. "Ranahandia Mish a	Typist Gua d Gua d Guard Guard Guard Guard Guard Guard Clo kAcct. Dptt Work Mistry -dodo-	Matric Non-Matric Matric Non-Mat. B.A. Non-Mat. B.A. H S.S Non-Mat. B.A.	7-4-1976 -do- 20-8-1976 17-8-1976 7-4-1970 21-8-1976 2-5-1976 3-6-1976 3-11-1976 1-12-1976	9.63 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 7.70 9.63 9.63 9.63 9.63

- 2. On 20-9-1982 both the parties have filed a joint petition of compromise duly signed on their behalf and they pray that an award be passed in terms of the settlement.
- 3. I have gone through the settlement which is beneficial for the workmen.
- 4. In the circumstances the award is passed in terms of the settlement which shall form part of the award. Euc.: Settlement.

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 3, DEANBAD

In the matter of reference No. 25 of 1980

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Management of Mugma Area of Eastern Coalfields Ltd.

### AND

#### Their Workmen

The above mentioned employers and the workmen jointly beg to submit as follows:—

- (1) That both the parties have jointly negotiated this matter with a view to coming to a mutually agreed over-all settlement.
- (2) That as a result of the said joint negotiations, both the parties have come to over-all agreement for the settlement of his matter on the following terms and conditions:—

#### Terms & Conditions

- (a) Agreed that since one of the 10 workmen concerned in this dispute, namely Sri Surendia Singh, has since expired, the workmen will drop his case and there will be no claim whatsoever in respect of the said Sri Surendia Singh.
- (b) Agreed that the remaining nine workmen have already been regularised by the Management with effect from 1-1-1980 as per details mentioned in the chart given below and that they are being paid wages etc. as per NCWA-II as from that date:—

Sl Nam) N ,.	 r1 - + +	 	 		Since working & designation	Rogularisod w.o f 1-1-1580 in the post of
1. Sri S S. Palacoy					7-4-76 Typist	Steonographer Claucal Gr-I
2. 3 1 N K.P. Votata					3-5-76 Accits. Clork	Clerks G ade-II
3, 5 1 N K 31h					7-4-76 Gua d	Gua d-Monthly Gredo-G
4. Sa Subna Gowan					2-6-76 Wo.k Supvi.	Wook Mistry-Monthly Gi-I
5. Sri R.C. Mish (				-	1-12-76 -do-	-dodo-
6. Տո G 3. Mi Ֆ					17-8-76 Gua d	Gua d-Monthly Grade G
7. Sri & S. Palmoy					20-8-76 Gua d	-do- Grado-G
8. 5 i U.J. Pamoy.					7-4-76 Gua. a	-do- Grado-G
y متری الکسلند و عدد					21-8-76 Gua.d	-do- Grado-G

- (c) Agreed that the nine workmen referred to above are not critical to any further bettent for the period on and after 1-1-1980 as they are gatting from that date the benefits laid down in IsCWA II.
- (d) Agreed that the inne working referred to above will be paid the difference of wages between what they actually have already been paid and what is admissible to Cat-I workers under NCWA-I for the period between their date of appendment and 31-12-1978 and between what they have already been paid and what is admissible to Cat I workers under NCWA-II for the period 1-1-1979 to 21-8-1979 irrespective of the jub/jobs performed by them.
- (e) Agreed that since the nine workmen concerned have been paid wages as per NCWA-II for the period 22-8-1979 & 31-12-1979 according to the jobs periorned by them as costal workmen, nothing more will be payable to them for this period.
- (f) Agreed that the nine workmen referred to above will be entitled to earned leave wages as ner the provisions of the Mines Act and Mines Rules and the rules of Eastern Coalfields I imited applicable to casual employees.
- (g) Agreed that the dates of appointment of all the nine workmen concerned shall be reckoned from the date they are actually working as indicated under clause (b) above for the purpose of senior to

- in service and also for the purpose of gratuity.
- (h) Agreed that the P.W. Case No. 2 of 1980 which has been fired by the Labour Enforcement Officer (Central), Chirkunda before the Bokaro Labour Court and which is pending before that Court, shall be deemed to have been withdrawn and a copy of this compromise petition will be forwarded jointly by the parties to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad, the Conciliation Officer A.L.C.(C), Dhanbad and also the Labour Enforcementment Officer (C), Chirkunda.
  - (i) Agreed that capy of this settlement shall also be filed jointly by both the parties before the Labour Court, Bokaro Steel City, praying for disposal of the case pending before him in terms of this settlement.
- (3) That since the parties consider that this settlement is fair and reasonable, the same may kindly be accepted and an award may be given in terms thereof.

Both the parties jointly pray accordingly.

Y. R. MANDLOI, Personnel Manager. Nirsa Area

> Eastern Coalfields Limited. authorised representative of employers

for & on behalf of employers.

**S**d /-

For & on behalf of workmen

- (1) Sri Balmiki Ojha
- (2) Sri Rama Shankar Pandey
- (3) Sri Ram Chandra Mishra
- (4) Sri Ghanshyam Mishra
- (5) Sri Nawal Kishore Singh
- (6) Sri Nawal Kishore Prasad Verma
- (7) Sri Subhas Goswami
- (8) Sri Uma Shankar Panday
- (9) Sri Sheo Shankar Pandey

Dated: 20th September, 1982.

[No. L-20012/219/79-ID.III(A)]

A. V. S. SARMA, Desk Officer.

## नई विल्ली, 16 प्रक्तूबर, 1982

का॰ आ॰ 3692---ण्यूनतम मजदूरी भिविन्यम, 1948 (1948 का 11) की घारा 26 की उप-घारा (2) द्वारा प्रवत्त गर्वितर्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार जो भ्रविस्वना वेने की प्रस्थापना करती है, उसका निम्नलिखित प्रारूप उन सभी व्यक्तियों की जानकारी के लिए, जिनका उनसे प्रभावित होना संभाव्य है, प्रकाशित किया जाता है और स्वित किया जाता है कि उक्त प्रारूप पर इस मिध्सुचना के सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से वो मास की समाप्ति पर या उसके प्रकात विचार किया जाएगा।

जपरोक्त थी मास की समान्ति के पूर्व, उक्त प्रारूप के बारे में, जो भी बाक्षेप या मुझाव किसी व्यक्ति से प्राप्त होंगे, केन्द्रीय सरकार उन पर विचार करेगी।

### अधिमुखना का प्राच्य

न्यूनतम मजदूरी प्रधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 11) की धारा 26 की उप-धारा (2) द्वाराप्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार निवेश देती है कि सरकारी राजपन्न में इस श्रिधसूचना की प्रकाशन की तारीख से पांच वर्ष की प्रविध के लिए उनन प्रधिनियम की धारा 12,13,14 भौर 18 के उपबन्ध उन रेलवे कर्मचारियों की लागू महीं होंगे, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा प्रनुभोदित समय-मान में हैं और मारतीय रेल प्रधिनियम, 1890 (1890 का 9) के प्रध्याय V1-क के उपबन्धों तथा भारतीय रेल प्रतिष्ठान सहिता द्वारा विनियमित होते हैं और रेलवे में किसी श्रनुस्चित नियोजन में काम कर रहे हैं।

[सं॰ एस-32014/2/82-जब्स्यू॰सी॰(एम॰ जब्स्यू॰)]

एम०एल० मेहता, भ्रवर सचिव

### New Delhi, the 16th October, 1982

S.O. 3692.—The following draft of a notification which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (2) of Section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) is hereby published for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the expiry of two months from the date of publication of this notification in the official Gazette.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person in respect of the said draft, before the expiry of the said period of two months, will be considered by the Central Government.

### DRAFT NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (2) of Section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) the Central Government hereby directs that for a

period of five years from the date of publication of this Notification in the official Gazette, the provisions of Sections 12, 13, 14 and 18 of the said Act shall not apply to railway servants who are on time scales of pay approved by the Central Government and governed by the provisions of Chapter VIA of the Indian Railways Act, 1890 (9 of 1890) and the Indian Railways Establishment Code and who are employed in any scheduled employment in Railways.

[No. S. 32014/2/82-WC(MW)]

M. L. MEHTA, Under Secy.

#### New Delhi, the 18th October, 1982

S.O. 3693.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of New India Assurance Co. Ltd., Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th October, 1982.

# CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

#### Reference No. 95 of 1980

#### PARTIES:

The Management of New India Assurance Company Limited, Bombay in relation to their Division Office at Calcutta.

#### AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice M. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

# APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Management-Mr. T. N. Mullick, Advocate,

On behalf of Workmen -Mr. Anil Das Choudhury, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal. INDUSTRY: Insurance.

#### **AWARD**

By its Order No. L-17012(11)/80-D.IV(A) dated 29th November, 1980 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of New India Assurance Company Limited, Mahaima Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay in terminating the services of Sri Ashim Kumar Ghosh, Development Inspector, Calcutta Unit, with effect from the 27th March, 1976 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

- 2. The management has raised a preliminary objection that Sri Ashim Kumar Ghosh was not a workman and honce there being no industrial dispute this reference is not maintainable. In my opinion the contention is sound.
- 3. Sri Ghosh was employed as a Development Inspector with function to procure insurance business through Agents. It is well settled that an employee in an industry to be a workman' under the definition of workman under Sec. 2(s) of the Industrial Dispute Act must be employed to do work of one of the four jobs, (i) skilled or unskilled manual, (ii) supervisory, (iii) technical, and (iv) clerical. It is also a well settled principle of law that for the purpose of finding out as to in which classification a person would fall, we have to see that is the main or substantial work which that person is employed to do and if it is supervisory work it would be held that the person was employed to do supervisory work even though he may be doing some technical, clerical or manual work: See the case of Burmah Shell Oil Storage and Distribution Company of India Itd, and The Burmah Shell Management Staff Association and Others, 1970 II LLJ 590.

4. Admittedly in the present case Sri Ghosh does not claim to fall in the classification of technical or manual work. In the written statement of the workman in paragraph 6 it is claimed by the workman that the nature of work done by Sri Ghosh was mainly supervisor cum-clerical, aone by Sri Gnosh was mainly supervisor-cum-eletical, namely, operation of telephone, despatch and receiving letters. Before this Tribunal, however, Counsel appearing for the workman conceded that the main duty of Sri Ghosh was not eletical. The only question, therefore, is whether the principal and main duty of Sri Ghosh was supervisory. The scope of the expression "supervisor" came in for consideration before the Supervisor that the supervi of the expression "supervisor" came in for consideration before the Supreme Court several times. In the Burmah Shell & Oil Stor ge and Distribution Company of India Ltd., Madras vs. Their employees, 1954 I LLJ 21, it was held that the employee must occupy a position of command and direction and should be authorised to act without the sanction of the Manager of other superiors. This view was upheld in Lloyds Bank vs. Pannalal Gupta, 1961 I LLJ Is in which the Supreme Court observed, "In considering the later aspect of the public mindustrial adjudication generally later aspect of the problem industrial adjudication generally took the view that the supervisor or officer should occupy a position of of command or decision and should be authorised to act in certain matters within the limits of his authority without the sanction of the manager or other supervisors". The same view was taken in South Indian Bank v. Chacko. 1964 I LLJ 80 and also in All India Reserve Bank Employees Association and Another vs. Reserve Bank of India and Another, 1965 II LLJ 175, In Government of India and another and C. A. Balakrishnan and another, 1975 II LLJ 301 it was held by the Supreme Court that essence of the supervisory nature of work is the supervision by one person over the work of others. Supervision contemplates direction and control. Ordinary supervision is not 'supervisory' within the meaning of S. 2(s). If this test as laid down by the Supreme the meaning of S. 2(s), if this test as laid down by the supreme Court decision is to be applied in relation to the Development Inspector it will be found that the supervision which he will have over the Agents is not supervisory in the manner in which workmen in an industry are supervised by the Supervisors. The management has filed several documents (Annexures A to D to their written statement) in supervision have that the main or substantial work of Sri Ghosh order to how that the main or substantial work of Sri Ghosh as an Inspector was procurement of insurance business through Agents. Sri Ghosh has examined himself as WW-1. He has stated in his evidence in chief, "As an Inspector my main works were (i) to look after the works of the agents and see that they progress in work, (ii) to receive letters regularly and sometimes I used to do the duty of telephone Inspector. I never went to the customers for can-vassing for policy." He has further said that he had no power to take any action against the agents. In his crossexamination he has said that there was no agent under him and no agent worked under him Apply the test laid down by the Supreme Court decision above said, it must be held that the main work of Sti Ghosh was to procure insurance business through agents and this cannot be called supervisory. According to his evidence he did not occupy a position of command and direction and was not authorised to take independent decision. In this view of the matter the fact that Srl Ghosh was getting less than Rs. 500 as his salary is immaterial.

- 5. Counsel appearing for the management has filed a copy of the decision dated 3rd February, 1978 in the case of J. P. Mehta & 26 others vs. M/s. New India Assurance Company, Bombay which was decided by the Presiding Officer, Central Government Labour Court, Bombay-1 in order to thow that a Development Inspector of this management was held not to be a workman and that his function was held not to be a workman and that his function was held not to be decision in the case of S. K. Verma vs. Zonal Manager Life Insurance Corporation of India decided by the Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi on 23rd October, 1979. It is not necessary to discuss these two decisions because I have already held on merits that the main or substantial function of Sri Ghosh was not supervisory. It follows that Sri Ghosh must be held not to be a workman.
- 6. Apropos my decision is that Srl Ashim Kumar Ghosh is not a workman within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, there is, therefore, no industrial

dispute and accordingly this reference is not maintainable. Dated, Calcutta,

The 25th September, 1982.

M. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer [No. L-17012/11/80-D.IV(A)]

S.O. 3694.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Shri Conceicae Pereira, Onwer of Launch 'Joseph Anselmo', Goa and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 11th October, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT:

Shri M. A. Deshpande, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2|29 of 1982

PARTIES:

Employer in relation to Shrl Conceicae Pereira, Owner of Launch "Joseph Anselmo".

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers-No appearance.

For the workmen-No appearance.

INDUSTRY: Ports and Docks, STATE: Goa, Daman & Diu.

Bombay, the 4th October, 1982

#### AWARD

By their order No. L-36012(1)/82 D.IV(A) dated 21-5-1982 the Central Government referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of Shri Conceicao Pererira, Owner of launch "Joseph Anselmo" in terminating the services of Shri Chudge Krishna Gaonkar, launch crew, is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workmen entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference notices were issued to both the workman Shri Chudge Krishna Gaonkar, whose services were alleged to have been wrongfully terminated and the employer Shri Conceicao Pereira, Owner of lanch "Joseph Anselmo". However that repeated notices were issued and though the workman was told that he need not come to Bombay for filing of statement of claim, on receipt of which the matter will be fixed at Goa as requested, the workman never cared to file the statement of claim, as a result which the employer has also not filed his written statement in reply The net result is that in the absence of the statement of claim supporting the reference, despite repeated chances given, the matter cannot be proceeded with and has to be disposed of.

Award accordingly.

No order as to costs.

M. A. DESHPANDE, Presiding Officer. Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay. [No. L-36012|1|82-D. IV (A)] T. B. SITARAMAN, Desk Officer

# New Delh, the 11th October, 1982

S.O. 3695.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Union Bank of India, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 29-9-82.

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

Reference Nos. CGIT-2/10, 11, 12 and 28 of 1981

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Union Bank of India.

#### AND

Their Workmen.

#### APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri F. D. Damania, Advocate. For the workmen—Shri M. S. Udeshi, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Banking.

STATE: Maharashtra.

Bombay, the 7th September, 1982

#### AWARD

#### (Dictated in the Open Court)

All these references though pertaining to different employees involve similar facts regarding the termination of the service, against which termination, disputes have been raised and ultimately referred to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by crd in No. I-12012/221/80-D II.A dated 30-7-1981, No. L-12012/219/80-D.II.A dated 30-7-1981 No. L-12012/215/80-D II.A dated 30-7-1981 and No. L-12012/214/80-D.II.A dated 26th October, 1981.

2. The matter was initially contested on behalf of the Respondent namely the Union Bank of India, However now the parties have arrived at settlement whereby all these employees have been reinstated and in fact they joined the duties. I am told, since yesterday and secondly the Union Bank of India was good enough to grant continuity of service without any break and only restriction was that these employees are to get back wages not from the date of termination but from 1-4-1981. On going through the terms of settlement and also on going through the benefits received by the workmen I am convinced that the condition regarding the back wages is also fair and since the terms of settlement are fair, just and proper, the award in terms of settlement in all the references shall be passed.

No order as to costs.

M. A. DESHPANDE, Presiding Officer.

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL

# TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

Reference CGIT No. 2/10 of 1981

Shri G. S. Nhavi-Workman.

V/s.

Union Bank of India---Employer.

## MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL

The workman and the Employer have arrived at a settlement in respect of the dispute referred to this Honourable Tribunal for adjudication.

The said settlement is fair and proper and is acceptable to both the parties.

The parties, therefore, pray that this Honourable Tribunal be pleased to take the said Settlement on record and be pleased to make an Award in terms thereof and dispute off the Reference accordingly.

Dated this 7th day of September, 1982.

(M. S. Udeshi),

(Shri G. S. Nhavi),

Advocate for Workman.

Workman above named.

(Firoze Darasha Damania),

Advocate for Union Bank of India.

For Union Bank of India Sdl-

Superintendent.

# BEFORF THE CETRAL GOVFRNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

Reference C.G.LT. No. 2/10 of 1981

Shri G. S. Nhavi---Workman.

#### V /s.

Union Bank of India-Employer.

#### TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

With a view to resolve the dispute amicably and as a gesture of goodwill Union Bank of India (herein Her reterred to as 'the Bank') agice to remstate Shii G. S. Nhavi (hereinafter referred to as the 'workman') in the Bank's employment with continuity of service from 10th April, 1974. He will report to the Superintendent, Department of Personnel, Metrolopitan Bombay Zone at Central Office, Bombay for allotment of duty on 6th September, 1982.

- 2. By way of all amounts payable to him for the period from 10th April, 1974 to 5th September, 1982 either by way of wages/leave wages/bonus/ex-gratia payment or other allowance, perquisites and privilages, the Bank agrees to pay the workman and the said workman agrees to receive in full and final settlement thereof the sum of Rs. 13,475 05 being backwages payable from 1st April, 1981 till 5th Sept., 1982 after deducting income tax, if any, and Provident Fund on the said amount of backwages.
- 3. The workman agrees that the Provident Fund loan outstanding of Rs 342.00 be deducte 1 from the amount of Rs. 13,475.05 arrived at in Clause 2 above.
- 4. The workman does hereby give up his claim for backwages from 10th April, 1974 to 31st March, 1981.
- 5. The employer agrees that although the workman will not be entitled to backwages from 10th April, 1974 to 31st March, 1981 the aforesaid period from 10th April, 1974 till 5th September, 1982 shall be computed to be considered for the purpose of gratuity, seniority, promotion, fitment with the usual annual increments subject to the rules and regulations prevalent in that behalf.
- 6. As desired by the workman, the employer has paid to the workman at the time of filink the present consent terms, the undermentioned sum payable as arrived at in Clause 3 above, by pay order favouring the workman's advocate on record in the above matter viz Shil M. S. Udeshi, bearing No. 043225 dated 2nd Sentember, 1982 for Rs. 13,133 05 drawn on Bombay Main Office (Natiman Point).
- 7. Though for the purpose of making payment, the Bank has agreed to pay and the workman has agreed to receive woges from 1st April, 1981 to 5th September, 1982 as aforesaid and though it is further agreed that the workman will report on 6th September, 1982 but for the purpose of deduction of income-tax, if any, and the workman's contribution towards Provident Fund and also for credit of privilege leave, casual leave and sick leave the Pank agree to treat the workman on duty from 1st April 1981 and deductions will be made and leave credited as if the workman was in employment from the said date. It is further clerified that the workman is deemed to have enjoyed and exhausted all leave upto 31st March, 1981

# The parties agree to bear their own cost of the Reference Proceedings.

Dated, at Bombay this 4th day of September, 1982.

(M. S. Udeshi),

(Shri G. S. Nhavi),

Advocate for Workman

Workman abovenamed.

For Union Bank of Ind a M K. Umamaheswaran, Supermendent

Fireze Darsha Damania, Advocate for the Union Bank of India.

# BEJORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

Reference CGFT No. 2/11 of 1981

Shri J. S. Waghela-Workman.

V/s

Union Bank of India- Employer

# MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL

The workman and the Employer have arrived at a settlein nt in respect of the dispute referred to this Honoural la Fibural for adjudication.

The raid settlement is fair and proper and is accountable to both the parties.

The parties, therefore, playe that this Honourable Tribunal be pleased to take the said Settlement on record and be pleased to make an Award in terms thereof and diping off the Reference also dingly

Dried this 7th day of Sentember 1982

(Shri J. S. Waghela),

Workman abovenamed

(M & Udeshi),

'I lyocate fo, Workman.

For Union Bank of India.

Superintendent.

(I i oze Darasha Damania),

Advocate for Union Bank of India

# BLFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

Ref. rence. C.G.I.T. No. 2 1981

Shu J S Waghela-Workman

V/s

Union B. nk of India-Employer

Terms of Settlement

With a view to resolve the dispute amicably and its a gesture of goodwal Upon Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as 'the Bank'), less to remaine Ship J. S. Way ear (hereinafter referred to as 'the workman') in the Bank', employment with continuity of service from 5th Newtonber 1976. He will report to the Superintendent, Department of Pe sonnel, M. B. Zone at Central Office, Bombay for allot-ment of duty on 6th September, 1982.

2. By way of all amounts payable to him for the period from 5th November, 1976 to 5th September, 1982 either by way of wages/leave wages/bonus/ ex-gratia payment or other allowances, prequisites and privileges, the Bank agrees to pay the workman and the said workman agrees to receive in full and final settlement thereof, the sum of Rs 1567180 being backwars payable from 1st April, 1981 till 5th September, 1962 of or diducting income-tax and provident fund contribution on the said amount of backwages.

- 3. The workman agrees that the provident fund amount of Rs. 4408.20 vide cheque No. 159053 dated 25th February, 1977 collected by him be deducted from the amount of Rs 15671.80 arrived at in clause 2 above.
- 4. The workman does hereby give up his claim for backwages from 5th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981.
- 5. The employer agrees that although the workman will not be entitled to backwages from 5th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981 the aforesaid period from 5th November, 1976 till 5th September, 1982 shall be considered for the purpose of grainity, seniority, promotion, fitment with the usual annual increments subject to the rules and regulations prevalent in that behalf.
- 6 As desired by the workman, the employer has paid to the workman at the time of filing the present consent terms, the under-mentioned sum pavable as arrived at in clause 3 above, by pay order tavouring the workman's advocate on tecord, in the above matter viz. Shi M. S. Udeshi, bearing 140 043226 cated 2-9-82 for Rs 11263.60 drawn on Bomaby Nam Office (Nauman Point).
- 7. Though for the purpose of making payment, the Bank has agreed to pay and the workman has agreed to receive wages from April, 1981 to 5th September, 1982 as aforesaid and though it is further agreen that the workman will report on 5th September, 1982 but for the purpose of deduction of procome-tax if any, and the workman's contribution toward's privident fund an dalso for credit of privilege leave, casual leave and sick leave, the Bank agrees to treat the workman on duly from 1st April, 1981 and deductions will be made in I leave credited as if the workman was in employment from the said date. It is further clarified that the workman is defined to have enjoyed and exhausted all leave upto 31st March, 1981.
- 8 The parties agree to bear their own cost of Reference Proceedings.

D ted, at Bombay this 4th day of September, 1982.

M S. Udeshi,

Shri J. S. Waghela,

Advocate for Workman

(Workman abovenamed)

For Union Bank of India, M. K. UMAMAHESHWARAN, Superintendent.

Firoze Darsha Damania,

A lvocate for the Union Bank of India

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL

TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

Reference CGIT No. 2/12 of 1981

Shri S D Vichare-Workman.

V /4.

Union Bank of India-Fmployer.

#### MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL

The workman and the Employer have arrived at a settlement in respect of the dispute referred to this Hon'ble Tribunal for adjudication.

The said settlement is fair and proper and is acceptable to both the parties.

he parties, therefore, praye that this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to take the said Settlement on record and be pleased to make an award in terms thereof and dispose off the Reference accordingly.

Dated this 7th d y of September, 1982.

(M. S. Udeshi),

Advocate for Workman.

(Firoze Darasha Damania),

Advocate for Union Bank of India.

(Sinri S. D. Vichare), workman abovenamed. For Union Bank of India Sdj-Superintendent.

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

### (Reference: C.G.I.T. No. 2|12 of 1981)

Shri S. D. Vichare.-Workman.

Vs.

Union Bank of India.--Employer.

#### (TERMS OF SETTLEMENT)

With a view to resolve the dispute amicably and as a gesture of goodwill Union Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as 'the Bank') agrees to reinstate Shii S. D. Vichare (hereinafter referred to as the workman) in the Bank's employmen' with continuity of service from 8th November, 1976. He will report to the Superintendent, Department of Personnel, Metropolitan Bombay Zone, Central Office, Bombay for allotment of duty on 6th September, 1982.

- 2. By way of all amounts payable to him for the period from 8th November, 1976 to 5th September, 1982 either by way of wages/leave wages/bonus/ex-gratia payment or other allowances, rerquisites and privileges, the Bank agrees to pay the workman and the said workman agrees to receive in full and final settlement, the sum of Rs. 13,039.90 being backwages payable from 1st April, 1981 till 5th September, 1982 after d-ducting income-tax, if any, and Provident Fund Contribution on the said amount of backwages.
- 3. The workman agrees that the Provident Fund amount of Rs. 848 03 vide Cheque No 159017 dated 10th January, 1977 collected by him be deducted from the amount of Rs. 13,039.90 arrived at in Clause 2 above.
- 4. The workman does hereby give up his claim tor backwages from 8th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981.
- 5. The employer agrees that although the workman will not be entitled to backwage; from 8th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981 the aforesaid period from 8th November, 1976 till 5th September, 1982 shall be computed to be considered for the purpose of gratuity, seniority, promotion, fitment with the usual annual increments subject to the rules and regulations prevalent in that behalf.
- 6. As desired by the wokman, the employer has paid to the workman at the time of filing the present consent terms, the undermentioned sum payable as arrived at in Clause 3 above, by Pay Order favouring the workman's advocate on record in the above matter viz. Shir M. S. Udeshi, bearing No. 043224 dated 2-9-82 for Rs. 12,191.87 drawn on Bombay Main Office (Nariman Point).
- 7. Though for the purpose of making payment, the Bank has agreed to pay and the workman has agreed to receive wages from 1st April, 1981 to 5th September, 1982 as aforesaid and though it is further agreed that the workman will report on 6th September, 1982 but for the purpose of deduction of income-tax, if any, and the workman's contribution towards Provident Fund and also for credit of privilege leave, casual leave and sick leave, the Bank agrees to treat the workman on duty from 1st April 1981 and deductions will be made and leave credited as if the workman was in employment from the said date. It is further clarified that the workman is deemed to have enjoyed and exhausted all leave upto 31st March, 1981.

The parties agree to bear their own cost of the Reference Proceedings

Dated at Bombay, the 4th day of September, 1982

M. S. Udeshi S. D. Vichare
Advocate for workman. (Workman above named)
Firoz Darasha Damania For Union Bank of India
Advocate for the Union Bank of India. Superintendent.

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

(Reference: C.G.I.T. No. 2/28 of 1981)

Shri S. K. Kunder .-- Workman,

V/s.

Union Bank of India.-Employer.

#### MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL

The workman and the Employer have arrived at a settlement in respect of the dispute referred to this Honourable Tribunal for adjudication.

The said settlement is fair and proper and is acceptable to both the parties.

The parties, therefore, pray that this Honourable Tribunal be pleased to take the said Settlement on record and be pleased to make an Award in terms thereof and dispose off the Reference accordingly.

Dated this 7th day of September, 1982

M. S. Udeshi
Advocate for Workman,
Firoze Darasha Damania
Advocate for Union Bank of India.

Shri S. K. Kunder Workman above named For Union Bank of India Sd/- Illegible Superintendent

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVFRNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

# Reference CGIT No. 2/28 of 1981

Shri S. K. Kunder

..., Workman

V/s.

Union Bank of India

... Employer

# TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

With a view to resolve the dispute amicably and as a gesture of goodwill Union Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as 'the Bank') agrees to reinstate Shri S. K. Kunder (hereinafter referred to as 'the workman') in the Bank's employment with continuity of service from 27th November, 1976. He will report to the Superintendent, Department of Personnel, M. B. Zone at Central Office, Bombay for allotment of duty on 6th September, 1982.

- 2. By way of all amounts payable to him for the period from 27th November, 1976 to 5th September, 1982 citler by way of wages/leave wages/bonus/ex-gratin pryment or other allowances, prequisites and privileges, the Bank agrees to pay the workman and the said workman agrees to receive in full and final settlement, the sum of Rs. 15.716 90 being back wages payable from 1st April, 1981 till 5th September, 1982 after deducting income tax, if any and provident fund on the said amount of backwages.
- 3. The workman agrees that the provident fund amount of Rs 3907.25 vide cheque No. 150004 dated the 27th December, 1976 collected by him be deducted from the amount of Rs. 15,716.90 arrived at in clause 2 above.
- 4. The workman does hereby give un claim for back wages from 27th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981.
- 5. The employer agrees that although the workman will not be entitled to back wages from 27th November, 1976 to 31st March, 1981 the aforesaid period from 27th November, 1976 till 5th September, 1982 shall be computed to be considered for the purpose of gratuity seniority, promotion fitment with the usual annual increments subject to the rules and regulations prevalent in the behalf.
- 6. As desired by the workman, the employer has paid to the workman at the time of filing the present consent terms, the undermentioned sum payable as arrived at in clause 3

above, by pay order favouring the workman's advocate on record in the above matter viz. Shri M. S. Udeshi, bearing No. 043223 dated 2nd August, 1982 for Rs. 11809.65 drawn on Bombay Main Office (Nariman Point).

7. Though the purpose of making payment, the Bank has agreed to pay and the workman has agreed to receive wages from April, 1981 to 5th September, 1982 is aforesaid and though it is further agreed that the workman will report on 6th September, 1982 for the purpose of credit of privilege leave, casual leave and sick leave, the Bank agrees to treat the workman on duty from 1st April, 1981 and deductions will be made and leave credited as if the workman was in employment from the said date. It is further clarified that the workman is deemed to have enjoyed and exhausted all leave upto 31st March, 1981.

The parties agree to bear their own cost of the Reference Proceedings.

Dated at Bombay this 4th day of September, 1982.

(M. S. UDESHI)
Advo ate for Workman
(FIROZE DARASHA DAMANILA)
Advocate for the Union Bank of India.

SHRI S. L. KUNDER (Workman abovenamed)

[No. L-12012(215)/80-D.H(A)]

## New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982

S.O. 3696.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of Maharashtra Pune, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-10-82.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY CAMP: PUNE

#### PRESENT:

Shri M. A. Deshpande, Presiding Officer.

# Reference No. CGIT-2|14 of 1982

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Management of Bank of Maharashtra.

#### AND

#### Their Workmen

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Employers-Shri D. J. Bhanage, Bank Officer.

For the workmen—Shri R. D. log, President, Bank Karmachari Sangh, Pune.

INDUSTRY : Banking

STATE: Maharashtru

Pune, dated the 17th September, 1982

#### AWARD

#### (Dictated in the open Court)

By this dispute between the Bank and the employees and by order No. L-12012(129)/81-D.II(A) dated 19-2-1982 under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the schedule referred for adjudication is as follows:—

"Whether the action of the management of the Bank of Maharashtra, Pune, in removing Shri S. D. Wagh from the post of telex Operator with effect from 12-9-80 to accommodate Shri Y. R. Kolhatkar in the post, is justified? If not, to what relief is Shri Wagh enutled?"

- 2. Since all the facts are almost admitted and there is no fact which is in dispute, I shall be reterring to the various events in chronological order so as to throw light on the tear point in controversy. So far as the Bank of Maharasht.a is concerned there are two Bank offices in Pune namely Divisional Office City Division, and Divisional Office flux Division. The record speaks that Shir Komarkar was appointed as a Clerk in the Divisional Office Kural Division on 19-1-19/0 while Shri Wagh was appointed as a cieck in the Divisional Office City Division on 22-7-1971. Nothing tuin out on the question of appointment in a particular civisional office. So far as the entry in the Bank services concerned evidently it was Snit Kothatkar who joined the Bank service first and then came Shir Wagh. Subsequently on 1-3-19/6 a Zonai office was created to which office shif wagh was transferred on the same day on the creation of the office i.e. on 1-3-1976 as a clerk. Shii Kothatkar however, joined the Zonal office on 3-5-1976. These two dates would be material because while inter-se seniontly so far as the Zonal office was concerned there are some guidelines priscribed on the strength of which we shall have to determine whether in the Zonal office it was Shri Wagh or it was Shri Kolhatkar who could claim seniority amongst the two. The fact is admitted that these transfers to Zonal office from the Divisional Office, City Division as well as the Divisional Office, Rural Divisional Of on their own request but purely on administrative ground.
- 3. In the month of May, 1978, test for the post of typist was held from amongst the members of clarical staff in the Zonal office when it is alleged that Shri Wagh was found to be having typing speed of 49 words per minute and Shri Ko.hatkar's speed in typing was 39 words per minute. Since there was only one post of typist, on 15-5-1978 Shri Wagh was posted as a typist in the Zonal office in the regular scale with special pay of Rs. 19 plus Dearness Allowance on Rs. 19. In other words on 15-5-1978 Shri Wagh staited getting something more than a Clerk Since there was only one post of typist that too held by Shri Wagh he was getting something more than Shri Kolhatkar was carrying home at the end of every month.
- 4. Subsequently in the month of August, 1979 test was held for the post of Telex Operator. Any member of cleri. al staff was eligible to appear for the test but he must be atta.hed to the Zonal office. It was therefore not restricted to typisa but was open to the entire clerical cadre of the Zonal office. Now the post of Telex Operator carries with it normal scale of Clerical cadre plus Rs. 25 as Special Allowance and Dearness Allowance on the said amount which subsequently has been consolidated at Rs. 78. In other words now a Telex Operator is getting his normal clerical scale plus consolidated special allowance of Rs. 78.
- 5. It is an admitted fact that in the past Shri Wagh as well as Shri Kolhatkar were declared successful. However Shri Wagh was found to be more proticient as his speed was 78 words per minute and that of Shri Kolhatkar was 40 words per minute. Naturally Shri Wagh was found to be more proficient and he could secure the post and accordingly he was appointed as a Telex Operator carrying the special allowance for the post on 20-8-1979, in which post he worked upto 11-9-1980.
- 6. All of a sudden by notice stated to be notice under Section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act dated 20-8-1980 and also by an office order of even date, placing reliance on circular dated 5-8-1974 Shrl Wagh was told that his appointment was irregularly made and that it was Shri Kolhatkar who was really entitled to be appointed as Telex Operator and therefore from 11-9-1980 which was the date Shri Wagh was asked to hand over charge of Telex Operator to Shri Kolhatkar, is adorning that post.
- 7. I was given to understand that the majority Union was dis-satisfied with the appointment of Shri Wagh and therefore had made representation which it seems weighed, with the Bank and therefore Shri Wagh one fine morning was deprived of his special allowance.
- 8. On the strength of these facts and pleadings the following issues arise for determination and the findings on these uses depended upon the question as to who was the segior

amongst the two who was eligible or better qualified to be appointed as Telex Operator.—

Issues

Findings

1. Wether the present dispute is not tenable because it is not an industrial dispute but an individual dispute as contended by the Bank?

Tenable

- 2. Whether the sensority in joining
  the Bank affords the test of whether
  the sensority at the branch or
  regional level would be criteria for
  determining the rights of the parties?

  Ioining
  a particular Branca
- 3. Whether the selection of Telex Operator is depending upon the seniority alone or it also is depending upon the passing the test?

On passing of the test

4. If passing of the test is the criteria, whether the Union establishes that Shri Wagh secured more number of marks than Shri Kalhatkar a, the time of test?

Yes

5. Whether Shri Wagh is senior or Shri Kolhatkar according to the prevailing agreement, regulations etc.?

Shri Wagh

6. Whether service of notice under Section 9A of the I.D. Act enables the Bank to take away the special allowance which was being paid as Telex Operator to Shri Wagh?

7. Whether the action of Bank in removing Shri Wagh from the post of Telex Operator was justified?

No

No

8. If not, whether he is entitled to any relief?

Yes is awarded.

- 9. If the terms of employment read with various circular would recognize the seniority of Shri Wagh and further by conduct it was given to understand that the result of the test would govern the passing, then all of a sudden there cannot be any departure therefrom. The Bank would be bound by its own circular and the conduct which might have created an impression in the mind of the employee.
- 10. As the facts stand the solution of the dispute depended upon the interpretation of the Circular dated 5-8-1974 which is at serial number 10 produced by the Union. Paragraph 1 of the said circular reads as follows:—
  - "1. Normally, all allowance posts go by tentority unless there is something adverse against (such as moral turpitude of misconduct of any sort for which action is taken and punishment awarded) or wrong with (that is, inherent inefficiency or physical disability) the person concerned, of he is mislling to take the post. For this purpost, seniority to be considered is seniority at the branch divisional office central office and any allowance post pertaining to a cadre is to be allotted to the senior most person in that cadre, unless, as stated above there is something adverse against wrong with such person or he is unwilling to take the post. Seniority at the branch Divisional Office Central Office is to be decided on the basis of the date of ioining at the branch Divisional Office Central Office, subject to the following qualifications."

There are subsequent paragraphs which speak of transfer on request etc; but since in the present case admittedly the transfer of Shri Wagh and Kolhatkar were on admiristrative ground, not the subsequent paragraphs but paragraph number 1 which would govern the entire case. The very opening word of the paragraph 1 "Normally" indicates that unless

there was any exception created by the purigraphs following the normal rule would be that the emority would be depended upon the seniority in the Branch, Pivisional Office of Central Office at the concepts, by both he puries that Zenal Office shall be occurded as Central Offices and if this rule is applied there should not be any type of exception created at its Shri Wash who was than for ed to the Zenal Office on 1-3-1976 who shall be detend to be senior to Shri Kolhatkar who was than for ed to Zonal Office on 3-5-1976. It is the circular of the Pank its If which as laid down, the said rule and as already indicated the seniority of Shri Wegh in the Zonal Office would be beyond any dispute.

- 11. In the letter of 20-8-1980 Sair Work has told that since the circular dated 5-8-1974 did not cover the cares of administrative tran fer and in putsuance of the policy decision in remoting for sugarant of allowance currying fost in the event of two contestants administratively transferred at the fill reach hierarch continue a perform the work as a Telex Operator. I have already referred to caragraph 1 of the Bank's circular in extenso requiring no second ofference. A plain reading of the circular which is Bonk's circular itself no where supports the interpretation of the Bank that this circular in not to govern the century of the clerks transferred on administrative ground. On the century the rules of seniority laid down speak that these who step in to a particular office first shall be senior to other in umbers who is not the office with country. Therefoe though there is a reference to the policy decision it is not to be the policy decision of the Bank but it is the circular which is not to be the policy decision of the Bank but it is the circular which is the circular which is found that Shri Wagh and not Shri Kelhatkar so for as the Zonel Office is a concerned who can be treated as senior.
- 12. The post of Telex Operator, oren to all clerks not merely depended on the question of senicrity but by calling for amplication for the test, the Batk represented to the employees that those who would pars the test would become cligible to be appointed at Telex Operator and further it implicitly suggested, since the test was laid down, that who amongst the candidates would be more monorised to the said post which as already indicated carries with it special allowance which allowance being in terms of non-configuration for the residence of property. After creating such impression, the test was held and a particular person with more proficiency was appointed. Here again the Bank would not be allowed to depart from the rules impliedly laid down and diprice a reson of the benefits going with the post. However, it is not the proficiency alone which has allowed Shii Wagh to ret the post but even if the rule of senicrity is applied ever then it in Shri Wagh who must get the Post of Telex Operator and not Shii Kolhatkar
- 13. The circular itself says that if a particular person is found not fit for the nort then alone he should be deprived of the said post. By depriving the post of Telex Operator, an impression is likely to be created though the order does not sueak as such that because of inefficiency and incompetency he lost the said post.

The Bank has fited to induct Section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in support of the change contemplated. Section 9A of the Act never would be attracted. The said section would be applicable when any change is to be effected in the terms of employment and not for any change to be effected in the mode of determination of seniority, as stated in the circular. By resorting to Section 9A the Bank would not be allowed all of a sudden to make changes in the case of one person to nost somehody else and deprive a paison of the legitimate tight which the Bank can never be allowed. The clerk therefore must be he'l to be entitled to continue to hold that post one from 11-9-1920 If the Bank is not a position to give him that post because the not a altered held by somebody clee, the only reliefs which Shri Wagh would be entitled is to get the allowance which sherwise he would have been entitled to as a Telex Operator whether he worked in that post or not It was not his

fault but is was a consequence of the action of the management. Hence my findings on the issues are :---

- (i) Initially there was an attempt on the part of the Bank to attack the dispute as individual dispute but since the dispute has been espoused by the Union registered having significant following, which fact is not disputed, the individual dispute is converted into an industrial dispute. Hence the reference is tenable and legal. Finding on Point No. 1 is accordingly.
- (ji) Issue No. 2.—It is the seniority in joining the particular branch in the present case the Zonal Office would be the criterion of seniority-inter-se between various individuals.
- (iii) Point No. 3.—As the facts indicated that it was not the seniority alone which was the baris for selection of Telex Operator but it was also depended on passing of the test and therefore depended upon the proficiency displayed by a particular candidate.
- (iv) Issue No. 4.—It is an admitted fact that in the test held for the post of Telex Operator it was Shii Wagh who succeeded in the test. My finding on issue No. 4 is accordingly.
- (v) If the relevant circular of 1974 is the criteria, it is Shii Wagh who is senior in the Zonal Office. Finding on issue v is accordingly made.
- (vi) As I have already indicated by resorting to Section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act the Bank could not have deprived Shri Wagh of the post of Telex Operator. It was not because any change is brought in but an exception has been created in the case of Shri Wagh. The Bank is not entitled to give notice under Section 9A to take away the special allowance of Telex Operator. My finding on issue vi is accordingly made.
- (vii) In the light of the above discussions the action of the Bank in removing Shu Wagh from the post of Telex Operator cannot be said to be justified. The Bank therefore, shall re-post Shu Wagh as a Telex Operator or for one reason or other the Bank is not in a resition to do so, right from 11-9-1980 the Bank shall continue to pay the special allowance paid to that post at the rate declared till the time he is legally transferred from the said office.
- 15. Payment of special Plowance from 11-3-1980 is not dependent upon the re-posting of Shri Wagh as Telex Operator. Whether he is reposted or not Shri Wagh shall continue to draw the special allowance. On reposting he shall have to work in the post of Telex Operator to convert the said post.

Award is made accordingly.

No order as to costs.

M A. DESHPANDE, Presiding Officer,

Central Govt. Industrial

Tribunal No. 2, Bombay.

[No. L-12012(129)]81-D. H(A)]

SO.—In notstance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tributal Madres in the industrial dispute between the employes in relation to the management of State Bank of In its, Madres and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-10-82.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L. Presiding Officer,

Industrial Iribunal, Tamil Nadu, Madras

(Constituted by the Government of India)

Wednesday, the 29th day of September, 1982

# Industrial Dispute No. 43 of 1974

[In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the workman and the Management of State Bank of India, Madras.)

#### **BETWLEN**

Third P. Muthukrishn'n, No. 4, Seshachala Mudaly Street, Saidapot, Madras-15.

#### AND

The Secretary and Treasurer, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, First Line Beach, Madras.

#### REFERENCE:

Order No. 1/12012/156/73-LR.III, dated 15th November, 1974 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India, New Delhi.

This dispute after having been remanded by the High Court in W.A. Nos. 524 of 1979 and 680 of 1979, coming on for final hearing on Monday, the 27th day of September, 1982 upon porusing the reference, claim and counter statement and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru A. Ramachandran for Thiruvalargal Row and Reddy, Advocates appearing for the worker and of Thiru Sanjay Mohan for King and Partridge, Advocates appearing for the Management and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following.

## $\Lambda$ W $\Lambda$ R $\bar{D}$

This is an Industrial Dispute between the workman and Management of State Bank of India, Madras referred to me unler Section 7A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of India in Order No. L-12012/156/73-I R.III, dated 15-11-1974 of the Ministry of Labour, in respect of the following schedule:

- 'Whether the action of the management of the State Bank of India, Madras in discharging Shri P. Muthukrishnan from the services of the bank with effect from the 14th April, 1969 is justified? If not, to what relief is the employee entitled?"
- (2) Facts leading upto the present dispute are as follows: The Management is the State Bank of India, Madras represented by the Secretary and Treasurer, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, First Line Beach, Madras. The reference made by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour relates to the action of the Management-Bank in discharging Thiru P. Muthukrishnan from the services of the Bank with effect from 14-4-1969. Thiru P. Muthukrishnan was appointed as Official-in-charge at Nungambakkam Pay Office of the Management-Bank, viz, State Bank of India on 1-10-1966. On 23-8-1967, a show cause notice was issued to him containing four instances of misconduct (Ex. M-1), to which he had submitted his explanation on 8-9-1967, (Ex. M-2). Apparently the Management-Bank was not satisfied with the explanation officed and therefore four charges were framed against the workman Thiru P. Muthukrishnan on 27-9-1967 (Ex. M-3). These had been extrated even in the judgement of the Division Bench of our High Court dated 16-7-1982. It runs as follows:
  - "The first charge related to purchasing of cheques for large amounts from one V. J. Bhaskar Rao by the deliquent in his personal capacity while acting as the official in-charge of the Nungambakkam Pay Office. There were twenty such purchases during

the years 1966 and 1967, and they were acts of gross misconduct falling under Patagr ph 521(4)(j) and (l) of the Shestri Award read in conjunction with pai graph 1828 of the Desai Award. The Second charge was that Muthukri hnan, in collasion and conspitacy with V J Biskar Rao, permitted and enabled Biskar Rao, unauthorisedly to an ash cheques drawn by him on his account with the Madras local head-office without sufficient balance in his account to recover the drawings at the time of such cheques with remitance schedules for periods ranging from two to six days with a view to afford the to V. J Baskir Rao to replen he his account with sufficient fund to meet the cheques later when received at the local head office. The third charge related to the encashment of a choice for Rs 200 on 9-2-1967 drawn by another on his personal on account. The fourth charge was that he encished on his personal account eight cheques for a tot I sum of Rs 6000 drawn by one CP Rodh kirshnen and delayed despath thereof for periods extending from two to four days with intention to afford unauthor used personal favour to the sait Rodh krishnan and thus caused wrongful to s to the Bisk.

An enquiry was held and eventually the Workman was discharged from service by order dated 14.4.1969 holding that he was guilty of charges (1), (2) and (4) and on appeal, it was confirmed. Aggreed by this order, the workman raised the Industrial Dispute which has resulted in the reference being made by the Government of India Ministry of Labour for adjudication. The reference was taken on the file of this Tribunal as J.D. No. 43 of 1974 and my learned predecessor. The u.T. Palaniappin who after considering various claims and materials priced rendered in Award on 20.12.1975, whereby the action of the Man gement of the State Bank of India, Madras in aischarging Thiru. P. Michikushin n. was held to be justified. It was lso held that the workman is not entitled to any relief and the parties were directed to bear their respective costs.

(3) Aggreed by the aforestid Award, the workman Thriu P Muthukushnan filed Writ Petition in W.P. No. 2384 of 1976 to quash the Award WiP. No. 2384 of 1976 was disposed of by the Honourable Mi. Justice Sathiadev en. 30th M.y., 1979. The High Court held that the charges framed against the workman would not come within the ambit of paragraph 521 (4) (j) of the Sastry Award and therefore remanded the matter to this Tribunal for the purpose of finding out as to under which category of "minor misconduct" the a to committed by Thru. P. Muthukushnan would fall, and on that basis to impose appropriate punishment. Dissitisfied with the order of the High Court in W.P. No. 2384 of 1976, the Management-Bank picterred Writ Appeal in W.A. No. 524 of 1979, while the workman also preferred Writ Appeal No. 680 of 1979 to quash the Award rased by this Tribunal altogether. The Division Bench of our High Court comprising of the Honourable Mi. Instice Patham have eventually disposed of these Writ Appeals on 16.7-1982. The Division Bench has held that they do not find any merit in both the Writ Appeals and accordingly both the Writ Appeals were dismissed But the Division Bench has given the following specific direction to this Tribunal. The Tribunal is directed to expedite the matte, as speedily as possible, since the show cause notice issued by the Minagement to the worker Muthukrishnan dates back as 23.8 1967 and the Tribunal is 15-11-1974."

(4) In the light of the express direction of the Division Bench, as soon as the older was received by this Tubunal, the worker has also made Miscellaneous Applic from No. 121 of 1982 dited 31.8-1982 to hear and dispose of the matter at an early date. While the Industrial Dispute was taken up on 4-9-1982 the application filed by the workman, nucly Miscellaneous Application No. 121 of 1987 was dismissed as unnecessity in view of the Petition filed by the Management on that divisioning that this Tribunal may be pleased to adjourn the above dispute by atleast two weeks and render tustice. Accordingly that Petition was allowed by this Tribunal and as desired by the Management two weeks time

h d been gianted by this Tribunal. While so on 18-9-1982, the Management remained absent and there was no representation for the Management while the counsel for workman sined that he is ready and wanted the matter to be token nloweve, in the absence of the Management I pointed out to the learned counsel for the workman to apprise finally the Munigement so that the matter can be disposed of at least on the adjourned date. Accordingly, the matter stood adjourned to 25-9-1982. On behalf of the workman an uffectivit of service of the factum of the hearing on the Management has also been filed on 25-9 1982. On the sine day, the Management filed another petition supported by the affidavit to the effect that the heating must be adj arned by another fortnight. As this application was stoutly resisted by the workman the application in Miscellaneous Application No. 133 of 1982 filed by the Man gement was dismissed and learned counsel for the workman Thiru A. kun i chandian commenced his arguments but in order to complete his arguments as well as to hear the arguments of the Vinagement, the matter was again finally adjourned to 27 9-1982 at 2 30 P.M as desired. While so after the arguments of workman were concluded, again Management wainted to tile another application Miscellaneous Application No. ted to the another application Miscellaneous Application No. 134 of 1982 supported by an affidavit to adjourn the hearing by another fortnight. As the arguments of workman were concluded the orders on this application were also reserved after hearing the parties. The facts so far set out would speak for themselves. This Iribunal has been specifically asked to dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible in view of the fact that the show cause notice issued to the workman is dated 23-8 1967 and the reference made by the Government of India is 15-11-1974. In the face of the direction of the Division Bench there is little for me to accede to the request of the Management to grant any further time. It is not as though the Management had not ther time It is not as though the Management had not sufficient time to move the Supreme Court Moreover, even if any Awaid is passed by this Tribunal after remand and the same will be subject to any orders of the Supreme Court chillenging the order of the Division Bench dated 16-7-1982. therefore no prejudice whatsoever is caused to the Manage-Admitted y, the workman has been discharged from service by order dated 14 4 1969 and he was earlier placed on suspension even from 27 9 1967—vide Ex M-27. Therefore it is obvious that the concerned workman is out of job for 15 years. The finding of the Single Judge of the High Court in W. P. No. 2384 of 1976 which has been duly confirmed by the Division Bench of our High Court in W A. Nos 524 and 680 of 1979 is that the workman has committed only 'minor misconduct,' under page aph 521(7) of the Sastri Award, "an employee found guilty of minor misconduct may (a) be waited or censured; or (b) have an adverse remark onto ed ag inst him, or (c) have his increment stopped for a period not longer than six months." As a matter of full even the learned counsel for the Management Thiru Sinjay Moh in fairly concedes that if eventually the order of the Single Judge of the High Court as confirmed by the Division Bench is to prevail the Management cannot discharge the workman from service. Further more, in the affidavit in support of Miscell incous Application No. 134 of offidavit in support of Miscell meous Application No. 134 of 1982, it is stated that in application has been taken out before the Division Bench whi h disposed off W. A. No. 524 of 1979 seeking extension of time granted for disposal of the Irdustrial Dispute. Significantly, even the copy of the application, said to have been taken but before the Division Bench praying for extension of time has not been placed. before this Tribunal for finding out the circumstances under which the said application has in fact been made. Further more, from the Division Bench Order of the High Court, there is no time limit whatsoever has been fixed to dispose of the matter by this Tribinal. The learned Judge only say that regard being had to the facts of the case namely that show cluse notice has been issued to the workman even as curly as 23 8-1967 (the order of suspension of the workman from 27 9 1967—vide I'x M-27) had only directed this Tribunal to expedite the matter as speedly as possible fo e there is no question of extension of time to be granted by the Division Bench Therefore there is no merit in Mis-cellaneous Application No. 134 of 1982 and deserves to be dismissed and is hereby dismissed but without costs. Learned counsel for Management Thun Sanjay Mohan stated that he is submitting his arguments on merits without prejudice to talling up the order of the Division Bench of Madras High Court in appeal to Supreme Court of India

(5) Coming to the facts of the case, the only duty cast on this Tribunal as per the order of remand by the decision

of our High Court is to find out under what category of misconduct the charge framed would come and on that basis misconduct the charge framed would come and on that basis to impose appropriate punishment. I have earlier extracted from the Division Bench order the charges that have been substantiated against the workman. Therefore it is unnecessary for me to reiterate them. On the facts placed and proved it is perfectly clear that the charges framed against the workman would come only under paragraph 521(6)(c) "neglect of work, negligence in performing duties". Therefore it must be held that the workman concerned is guitary of "minor misconduct" as envisaged under paragraph 521(6) (c) of the Sastry Award read in conjunction with ratag aph (c) of the Sastry Award read in conjunction with raing aph 18.28 of the Desai Award. At this stage, learned counsel for Management Thiru Sanjay Mohan points out that in as much as now only a "minor misconduct" is levelled against the workmen, the Tribunal cannot go into the question, but has to send it back to the disciplinary authority to issue the revised charge sheet and follow the procedure before arriving at the punishment to be imposed on the workman. for "minor misconduct". I am unable to a cept this resi-tion for two reasons. It is well established that when a delinquent is charged with a major offence but eventually on the facts only minor offence is made out, certainly any lawfully facts only minor offence is made out, certainly any lawfully constituted forum would have the right to impose that pen he ment for the "minor misconduct". Probably, there may be some force in the submission of the learned counsel for Management Thiru Sanjey Mohan, if on the facts disclosed a gross misconduct is made out where as the charge sheet mentioned only "minor misconduct" then certainly this Tributal may not have investigation to an art the discussion but bunal may not have jurisdiction to go into the question but on the facts before me I have little hesitation to find that this Tribunal have jurisdiction to consider the nature of the offence committed by the workman in the light of the specific order of Single Bench decision of our High Court as confirmed by the Division Bench decision of our High Court. Incidentally I may also point out that at page 26 of the Order of the Single Ben'h in W. P. No. 2384 of 1976 dated 30-5-1979, the learned Judge has pointed out "Since the charges framed would not come within the amb't of 521(4)(j) and (1), the matter has to be remanded to the Tilbunol, which on a consideration of the materials available, and which are now on record, shall find out under what category of 'minor misconduct' the acts committed by the Petitioner would fall and actordingly dispose of the matter." Probably an argument now put forward before me by the learned counsel for Management Thiru Sanjay Mohan was already made before the Single Judge of our High Court as the same can be inferred from the order of the learned Judge "Since the acts committed by him would come under a lesser category of misconduct than what had been already charged, there is no need for the Management-Bank to reopen the proceedings and frame fresh charges and conduct the proceedings and frame fresh charges. in this contention of learned counsel for Management Thiru Sanjay Mohan.

(6) Finally learned counsel for Management Thiru Saniay Mohan also submitted that Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 would not come into play in this case. Section 11-A reads as follows:

"11A. Powers of Labour Courts, Tribunals and National Tribunal to give appropriate relief in case of discharge or dismissal of workmen.—Where an industrial dispute relating to the discharge or dismissal of a workman has been referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal for adjudication and, in the course of the adjudication proceedings the Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal, as the case may be, is satisfied that the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified if it may, by its award, set aside the order of discharge or dismissal and direct reinstatement of the workman on such terms and conditions, if any, as it thinks fit, or give such other relief to the workman including the award of any lesser punishment in lien of discharge or dismissal as the circumstances of the case may require;

Provided that in any proceeding under this section the Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal, as the case may be, shall rely only on the materials on record and shall not take any fieth evidence in relation to the matter."

The reference is about the discharge of workman by Man gement-Bank. Moreover from the order of the Single Judge of the High Court as also the Division Bench, there is absolutely no doubt that right through reference to Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has been anverted to by the workman and also by a 1 the Judges. In particul r, I may refer to the Order of the High Court at page (23) the Honourable Mr. Justice Sathiadev has cierly stated "As for applying Section 11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, now that it has been held that the charges framed would not come within the scope of paragraph 521(4), J) and (I) of the Award, the Labour Court (Industrial Tribunal) to take up the matter and to find out under what category the misconduct would come, and on that basis, it may impose appropriate punishment." The Division Bench has a conceively dealt with Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. At page 20, this is what they say, "We have already noticed that the Tribunal and the High Court have ample power to being the offence committed by Muthukrishnan under the proper provision of law, even though he had been charged for a serious offence. This power is derived both from Section 11-A of the Industrial Disputes. Act and also under the law as it existed prior to the intoduction of Section 11-A into the Act." Taking all the facts which constituted misconduct on the part of the workman Thiru P. Muthukrishnan and the finding that he has committed only "miror misconduct" as contemplated under paragraph 521(5)(2) of Sesri Award. Paragraph 521(7) of the Sostry Award and as "An employee found guilty under paragraph 521(5)(2) of Sesri Award. Paragraph 521(7) of the Sostry Award and as "An employee found guilty of minor misconduct may: (a) be warned or censured; or (b) have an adverse manual concered against him, or (c) have his increment stopped for a period of six months under paragraph 521(7)(3) of Sastry Award. It follows that the workman Thiru P. M. thukrishn n must be deemed to be in service

(7) Fre I conclude, I would like to mention that after the order of remand made by the Single Rench, all the documents exhibited before this Tribunal except Exs. M-1 to M-13 were received book from the High Court, and this Tribunal has been informing the High Court to send the remaining documents, viz., Fvs. M-1 to M-13. Meanwhile the Writ Appeals were also filed and when the documents were sent from this Tribunal it was also notinted out that Exs. M-1 to M-13 sent by this Tribunal to the High Court had not been received by 1 by this Tribunal. The High Court in the communication deted 22-7-1980 has also informed this Tribunal that 1 F. M-1 to M-13 in I.D. No 43|1974 on your file, submitted for reference in W.P. 2384|76, on the file of the High Court, Medrus, are being searched for and the same will be transmitted as soon as they are traced in this Registry. After the Writ Appeals were disposed of and order of the Dickson Banch was received by this Tribunal, this Tribunal has also written to the High Court that Fxs. M-1 to M-13 to not received back. However, the absence of Exs. M-1 to M-13 these not in any way stand in the way of heaving of the dispute because learned counsel for workman Third A. Remachardian had placed before this Tribunal a typed set of all documents inclusive of Exs. M-1 to M-13 which had been supply J by the Management represented by Mls. King & Partridge, Advocates.

(3) In the result on Award is passed holding that the action of the State Pank of India. Modras in discharging Thirn P. Muthukrishnan from the services of the Bank with effect from 14-4-1969 is uninstifled and that in as much as the workman is found guilty of "minor misconduct" under paragraph 521(6)'c) of the Sastry Award and the punishment

imposed for such minor misconduct under paragraph 521(7) (c) stopping his increment for a period of six months, the Management is directed to reinstate him forthwith. The workman Thiru P. Muthukishnan would be entitled to full back wages with attendant benefits if any from 27-9-1967 subject to the penalty imposed under paragraph 521(7)(c) stopping his increment for a period of six months. I also direct the Management to pay the cost of Rs. 300 to workman.

Dated, this 29th day of September, 1982

## PRESIDING OFFICER

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Industrial Tribunal

#### WITNESS EXAMINED

For both sides (Before and after remand): Nil.

#### DOCUMENTS MARKED (Before remand)

#### For Worker:

- Ex. W-1—Circula No. 7 of 22-1-1951—G-83 giving a clear picture of responsibility of the Agent of a Bran h Bank. (copy).
- Ex. W-2/22-7-70—Order of the Bank to Thiru K. P. Ramachandran stopping increment for two days. (copy)
- Ex. W-3—Enquiry Proceedings dated 18-10-1969, explanation dated 18-10-1969 and findings relating to Thiru K. P. Ramachandran.
- Ex. W-4/19-11-75-Staff Circular No. 65 issued by the Bank.

#### For Management:

- Ex. M-1/23-8-67—Show cause notice issued to Thiru P. Muthukrishnan. (copy)
- Ex. M-2/8-9-67—Explanation of Thiru P. Muthukrishnan to Ex. M-1. (copy)
- Ex. M-3—Charge sheet issued to Thiru P. Muthukrishnan. (copy)
- Ex. M-4/9-11-67—Letter from Thiru P. Muthukrishnan to the Bank submitting that ne has not committed any acts of misconduct (copy)
- $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ . M-5—Statement by the prosecution against Thiru P. Muthukrishnan. (copy)
- Ex. M-6—Statement on behalf of the delinquent in defence. (copy)
- Ex. M-7-Enquiry Proceedings. (copy)
- Ex M-8/27-12-68—Letter from the Bank to Thiru P. Muthukiishnan proposing the punishment of discharge. (copy)
- Ex. M-9/7-3-69—Reply letter from Thiru P. Muthukrishnan to the Discipl'nary authority, in reply to Ex. M-8. (copy)
- Ex. M-10/14-4-69—Order of discharge is ued to Thiru P. Muthukrishnan. (copy)
- Fx. M-11/28-5-69—Appeal submitted by Thiru P. Muthukrishnan to the Deputy Searchary and T easurer/Appellate Authority. (copy)
- Ex. M-12|26-8-69—Order on the appeal filed by Thiru P. Muthukrishnan, dismissing the appeal. (cony)
- Fx. M-13/30-1-70—Letter from Thiru P. Muthukishnan to the Se retary and Treasurer of the Bank on the decision of the Appellate Authority (copy)
- Ex. M-14—Demand Draft purchase register for the period from 28-3-64 to 29-6-66. (Pregister)
- Ex. M-15—Demend Draft purchase register for the period from 1-7-66 to 17-5-67. (Register)

- Ex. M-16—Letters despatched register for the period from 28-3-64 to 20-9-67. (Register)
- Ex. M-17—Shioff's Cash book for the period from 13-6-66 to 3-12-66. (Register)
- Ex. M-18—Scroll Payment Book for the period from 5-12-66 to 31-7-67. (Register)
- Ex. M-19—Certified statements of accounts (Savings Bank) relating to Thiru V. J. Baskar Pao for the period from 23-10-62 to 1-1-68.
- Ex. M-20-Rules of conduct.
- Ex. M-21—Attendance Register for the period from 2-1-67 to 30-6-67. (Register)
- Ex. M-22—Cheques and challans of Third V. J. Bhaskar Rao.
- Ex. M-23-Demand Draft purchased Cebit slips relating to Thiru V. J. Bhaskar Rao.
- Fx. M-24—Depend Draft purchased debit slips relating to Third V. J. Bhashar Rao.
- Fx. M-25—Sub-Office booklet—Accounting procedure and Gene instructions."
- Ex M-26—Or single of Ex. M-7. (Register)
- Ex. M-27/27-9 57—Suspers'on order issue! to Thiru P. Muthukrichnan. (opy)
- Ex. M-28/9-3-74—Circular letter issued about Thiru V. S. Sompath, Head Clerk, Neyveli Branch. (copy)
- Ex. M-29/12-1-73—Circular letter issued about Thiru K Vaidyanathan, Messenger at Local Head Office, Madras. (copy)
- Ex. M-30/22-8-67—Circular letter issued about Thiru Valaigal P. Muthukrishnan and V. J. Bhakar Rao (copy)
- Ex. M-31/22-8-67—Letter sent to the Chief Accountant about Thiruvalargal P. Muthukrishnan and V. J. Bhaskar Rao. (copy)
- Ex. M-32/18-8-67—Letter from the Deputy Secretary & Treasurer of the Bank to the Agent of State Bank of India, Madras-2 asking to all upon Thiru Muthukrishnan to submit his written explanation in respect of irregularities. (copy)
- Ex. M-33/19-9-67—Letter from the Deputy Sec etary & Treasurer of the Bank to the Agent of State Bank of India, Madras-2 asking to serve charge-sheet on Thiru P. Muthukrishnan. (copy)

After remand : Nil.

# T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal

[No. L-12012/156/73-D. II(A)] A. K. SAHA MANDAL, Desk Officer

New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982

S.O. 3698.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government he by publishes the following award of the Central Gove nment India at Tribural Jaba'pur in the industrial disputabilities the emrloyers in relation, to the management of Firteshwar Limestone Mine of Bokaro Steel Limited, and their workers represent d through the Choma Mizdoor Congress, Shram Dhom, Kymore, District Jabalpur (M.P.) and their workers which was received by this Ministry on (th October, 1982).

BFFORE JUSTICE SHRI S. R. VYAS (RETD.) PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

# Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(24)/1981

# PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Kuteshwar Limestone Mine of Bokaro Steel Limited

#### AND

Their workers represented through the Choona Mazdoor Congress, Shram Dham, Kymore, District Jabalpur (M.P.).

# APPEARANCES:

For Workmen's Union-Shri J. P. Tiwari.

For Bokaro Steel Plant-Shri Y. S. Tiwari.

For Contractors-Shri Rajendra Menon, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Limestone DISTRICT; Jabalpur (M.P.)

#### AWARD

## Dated, 25th September, 1982

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-29011/12/78-D. III. (B) dated 16th July, 1981 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal, for adjudication:—

- "Whether the rates of wages paid to different categories of workers of Kuteshwar Lime Stone Mine of Bokaro Steel Limited, P.O. Gairtalai need any revision and if so what should be the rates of wages, dearness allowance and Variable Dearness Allowance and fall back wages."
- 2. After notices of the order of reference were served on the management of the Limestone Mine, hereinafter referred to as the management and the Choona Mazdoor Congress (Workmen's Union) as also on the con erned contractors, statements of demand were filed by the management of the Bokaro Steel Limited and the workmen but no statement was filed on behalf of the contractors. Rejoinder was filed by one of the parties. On the statements and the rejoinder the following issues were framed:—

#### ISSUES:

- 1. What are the different categories of workmen working in the Kuteshwar Lime Stone Mine of the Bokaro Steel Ltd.?
- 2. (a) What are the rates of which the workmen of the mine are being paid their wages, D.A. V.D.A. and fall back wages?
- (b) Whether the rates at which the workmen are paid their wages, D.A., V.D.A. and fall back wages need to be revised. If so, to what extent?
- 3. To what relief are the parties entitled to?
- 3. Before the case could reach the stage of evidence it was represented that the dispute has been settled between the parties and time was sought to file the written settlement. Finally on 24th September, 1982 a settlement along with an application was filed. Shri Rajendra Menon, Adyocate, for the management of the Bokaro Steel Ltd., as also for the Contractors M/s. Jaiswal Bauxite Mines, M/s. Swastik Mineral Trading Company and M/s. Indian Stone Suppliers & Construction Company and Shri Y. S. Tiwari, Junior Manager (Personnel) of the Bokaro Steel Plant were present and heard on the application of settlement. No one for the workmen was present. It was prayed that the settlement has been duly signed by the management's representative, the Contractors and the workers Union Representative and that the dispute referred to for adjudication to this Tribunal has been settled on the terms and conditions mentioned in the settlement,
- 4. I have gone through the settlement arrived at between the parties and find that it is fair and reasonable. It settles the dispute between the parties for which reference was made to this Tribunal. Accordingly, as prayed by the parties the following award is given in terms of the settlement:—
  - 1. That the Sizers (Semi-skilled) workers of Contractors (i) M/s. Indian Stone Supply (Construction)
    Company, (ii) M/s. Singhal Enterprise and (iii) M/s.
    Power United will be paid on piece rate @ Rs. 10.75
    850 GI/82—6

per Cu. M. of the desired size lime stone, for a period of one year. This rate will be applicable from 22nd August, 1982. The minimum wages prescribed by Central Government for Limestone mines will be paid to all other workers.

- That the management of the above establishments shall pay bonus for the year 1981-82 to all the workmen concerned in lumpsum of Rs. 150 for the period ending June, 1982.
- The parties to the dispute will have no claim regarding the dispute referred to this Tribunal in future. This settlement will be implemented by 30th September, 1982 as agreed to between the parties.

The settlement along with the application shall form part of this award. In view of the fact that both the parties have settled the dispute mutually there will be no order as to costs.

S. R. VYAS, Presiding Officer.

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

## Case No. CGIT/LC/R/24/1981

Employers in relation to Kuteshwar Limestone Quarry of Bokaro Steel Lted.

Vs.

Their workmen represented by Choona Mazdoor Congress, Kymore.

The parties beg to submit as under :---

- 1. That on the basis of a demand raised by the Union, the Central Government by their letter, dated 16th July, 1981 was pleased to make the following reference to this Hon'ble Tribunal:—
  - "Whether the rates of wages paid to different categories of Workers of Kuteshwar Lime Stone Mine of Bokaro Steel Ltd. P.O. Gairtalai need any revision and if so what should be the rates of wages, Dearness Allowance, Variable Dearness Allowance and fall back wages".
- 2. During the pendency of the dispute before this Hon'ble Tribunal, issue referred to this Hon'ble Tribunal along with various other demands made by the Union, were the subject matter of repeated discussions with the Union and the employers represented by the contractors. After prolonged discussion, a mutual settlement was arrived at on 21st August, 1982.
- 3. It is submitted that the settlement arrived at on 21st August, 1982 fully and finally settles the dispute that is pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 4. The demand raised in the dispute pending before this Hon'ble Court was settled on the following terms:—
  - "(1) The Union had demanded to revise the wages of workers of contractors establishment i.e. Establishment of (i) M/s. Indian Stone Supply (Construction) Co. (ii) Ms. Singhal Enterprise and (iii) M/s. Power United. After detailed discussions it was agreed between the parties that sizers (semi-skilled) of these establishments will be paid on piece rate @ Rs. 10.75 per Cu. M. of the desired size lime stone, for a period of one year. This will be applicable from 22nd August, 1982. The minimum wages preacribed by Central Government for Lime Stone mines will be paid to all other workers."
  - "It was pointed out during the discussion that a case regarding revision of wages, wage-scales etc. of workmen of Ms. I.S.S., Ms. S.E. and Ms. IEM, is pending before the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur. It was agreed by the workmen's representatives and Managements of Establishment concerned, who are parties before

the Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur, that a copy of this settlement will be filed before the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court jointly alongwith a compromise petition on which their principal employer will also be requested to sign and same would be filed in the above court with a request to give an award in terms of the settlement. This settlement settles all the outstanding disputes between the managements of above establishments and their workmen and workmen will have no claim regarding the same in future. This settlement will be implemented by 30th September, 1982."

- 5. The parties have agreed to file a copy of the above settlement dated 21st August, 1982.
- 6. It is submitted that the settlement arrived at on 21st August, 1982 fully and finally settles the dispute that is pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 7. The said settlement is fair and reasonable and is in the interest of justice, industrial peace and welfare of the workers.
- 8. It is in the interest of justice that the Av 11 be given in accordance with the above settlement.

#### PRAYER

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to give the award in terms of the settlement settling the dispute.

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT ARRIVED AT BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF 1. MIS. SINGHAL ENTERPRISES, 2. POWER UNITED, 3. ISS & CO., 4. J.B.M. 5. SMTC 6. SCC. 7. SKM RAISING CONTRACTORS IN KUTESHWAR LIME STONE MINES OF SAIT, BOKARO STEEL PLANT AND THEIR WORKMEN REPRESENTED BY CHOONA MAZDUR CONGRESS, NOW BOKARO STEEL LIME STONE MINING WORKERS UNION ON 21-8-1982 AT KATNI

#### PRESENT:

## Representing Management:

- 1. Shri Purshotam Agarwal of M/s. S. E.
- 2. Shri Ramesh, Bhuwalka of M/s, P.U.
- Shri Samitmal, of M/s. ISS Constn. Co.
- 4. M/s. Jaiswal Bauxite
- 5. Shri D. H. Godha, SMTC
- 6. Shri Ramesh, S.C.C.
- 7. Shri Krishna Mehrotta, (SKM).

# Representing the workmen,

Sarva Shri

- 1. R. K. Sharma, President,
- 2. J. P. Tiwari, Vice President.
- Vishnu Dutt Dwivedi, Secretary of the Chuna Mazdur Congress, now Bokaro Steel Lime Stone Mining Workers Union.

The above said union had raised some disputes with the managements of above establishments. The issues were discussed on 20th August, 1982 and 21st August, 1982 at Katni in details and following settlements were arrived at:

(1) The union had demanded to acvise the wages of workmen of contractors establishments i.e. estab-

- lishments of 1. M/s. ISS. 2. M/s. S.N., 3. M/s. P.U. After detailed discussions, it was agreed between the parties that sizels (semi-skilled) of these establishments will be paid on piece rate, @ Rs. 10.75 per Cu. M. of the desired size lime stone, for a period of one year. This will be applicable from 22nd August, 1982. The minimum wages prescribed by Central Government for Lime Stone mines will be paid to all other workmen.
- (2) The issues relating to Bonus, Retrenchment Compensation, Leave Salary and Provident Fund had been raised by the Union and they were discussed in detail. During the discussion, both the parties stated that bonus till the year 1980-81 has been paid to all the workmen concerned & thus bonus only for the year 1981-82 is to be paid to the workmen. Regarding above issues of Retrenchment Compensation etc., it was stated by both the partie: that the workman working with M/s. Swastik Mineral Trading Corporation (SMTC), M/s. Jai.wal Bauxite Mines (JBM) and M/s. Shi Krishna Mehrotra, M/s. SCC who have not been awarded any contract, have been re-employed by the present contractors i.e.,
  - (i) M/s ISS Indian Stones Supplies (Construction) Co
  - (ii) M/s. Powers United (PU); and
- (iii) M/s. Singhal Enterprises.

It was also stated by the Union that these workers have not been paid retrenchment compensation by the out-going contractors and so, the present contractors, by whom these workmen have been employed, should pay their retrenchment compensation, leave salary, bonus and other dues if any to them.

It was pointed out by the representatives of the managements of the above establishments that majority of these workers have not worked required No. of days in a year with their previous employer to entitle them for retrenchment compensation. But those workers, who worked for required No. of days with their previous employer and are entitled for retrenchment compensation would be paid by tespective contractors with whom they were employed till 30th June, 1982. However, it was not agreed by the Union. After discussion on these issues in detail, it was felt difficult to arrive at correct figure. It was also felt that taking into consideration the labour psychology, it would be proper to devise some acceptable basis. Therefore, taking into consideration, all the pios and cons, it was agreed between both the parties that a lump sum of Rs. 150 will be paid to each and every workman we king with them on account of Bonus upto June, 1982. It was also agreed that each of the following contractors will pay Rs. 35 on account of retrenchment compensation, leave salary & other dues and claims per worker for each year the contractors concerned have worked the contracts as mentioned in Annex, I.

(3) The Union also pointed out that there is no proper method of keeping basic records of attendance by the contractors and so difficulty arises and have arisen in the past and therefore, a proper method should be evolved for this purpose. It was agreed between the parties that in future, connectors' man deputed to record attendance of workmen will give a token to each workman employed by them at the time of marking their attendance which the workman concerned will deposit in a box kept for the purpose, and only after depositing the token in the box, the workman will go to the work-sit to report for duty. The man taking attendance of the workmen and giving tokens to them will mark their attendance on a sheet in triplicate on the basis of numbers of tokens deposited in the box and send two copies i.e., 2nd and 3rd copies to the site-in-charge. The site-in-charge in his turn will cross check the attendance on the basis of actual attendance who are not found at the work-site, in both the sheets

and send the same back to the person who took the attendance at the first instance. The attendance recording man will retain the second copy with himself for record of the establishment and send the third copy to the office of principal employerite. Management of Kuteshwar Lime Stone Mines of SAIL Bokaro Steel Plant, which will be final presentee/absence statement regarding workmen and will serve the purpose of basic document for the purpose of Bonus, Retrenchment Compensation etc where attendance figures of workmen may be required in future.

It was discussed between the Union and the Management of these establishments that loading workers will be given alternative jobs in the category on un-skilled workmen if there is no placement of wagons on the dity of their being present at work

It was pointed out during the discussion that a case regarding revision of wages, wage-scales etc. of workmen of M/s. ISS, M/s SMTC & M/s JEM is pending before the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur. It was agreed by the workmen's representatives and managements of establishments concerned, who are parties before the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court Jabalpur, that a copy of this estilement will be filed before the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court jointly along with a compromise petition on which they principal employer will also be requested to sign and same would be filed in the above court with a request to give an award in terms of the settlement. This settlement settles all the outstanding disputes between the managements of above establishments and their workmen and workmen will have no claim regarding the same in future. This settlement will be implemented by 30th September, 1982.

## SIGNATURE

Representing Management: Representing the Workmen.

1. Shir Purshotiam Agarwal

 Shii R. L. Shaima (President)

of M/s. S.E. Sd./~

Sd. /-

2. Sri Ramesh Bhuwalka (P.U.)

2. Shri J. P. Tiwaii (Vice President)

Sd/-

Sd/-

3. Sri Samitmal, I.S.S.

3 Shri Vishnu Dutt Dwivedi (Secretary)

Sd/-

Sd/-

4, J.B M.

Sd/-

5. Sti D. H. Ghoda, SMTC

Sd/-

6. Sri Ramesh, S.C.C.

Sd/-

7. Sri Krishna Mehiotia, SKM

Sd/-

### WITNESS:

- 1. Sd /·
- 2. Sd/-

#### ANNEXURE-1

Name of contractors	Avoiage No of No. of worke years Engaged worked		
(1) M/s S C C.	216	3 years	
(2) M/s S M.T C	207	6 years	
(3) M/s. J B M.	145	6 years	
(4) M/s. S.K.M.	140	1 year	
(5) M/s. I.S.S *	235	5 years	

"Though this party is a continuing contractor still as no complete jecord regarding work is not available with them, they will also pay the above amount of Rs. 35 on account of retrenchment compensation etc. to their workmen till June 1982. It will not be out of place to mention here that M/s SE. P.U. and I.S.S. who have employed the workmen of out-going contractors will not be liable to pay amount to such worker on account of Retrenchment, leave salary and other dues, and claims which are payable to such worker by out-going contractors.

S. R. VYAS, Presiding Officer

[L-29011/12/78-D.HI(B)]

S.O. 3699.—The pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Bhubaneshwar in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Sundergarh Mining Labour Contract Co-operative Society Limited, Contractors at Purnapani Limestone & Dolomite Quarry and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on 6th October, 1982.

# INDUSTRIAI TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

PRESENT:

Shri J. M. Mahapatra, M.Com., LL.B, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 3 OF 1980 (CENTRAL)

Dated Bhubaneswar, the 27th September, 1982.

# BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the management of Sundergarh Mining Labour Contract Co-operative Society Ltd., Contractors at Purnapani Limestone & Delomite Quarry of Rouckela Steel Plant of Steel Authority of India Ltd. Purnapani ——First-party

AND

Their workmen

---Second-party

## APPEARANCES:

Shri B. Raman,
Secretary,
Sundergath Mining Labour
Contract Co-operative
Society Ltd.

For the first-party

Shri B. S. Pati, General Secretary North Orissa Workers' Union, Rourkela.

For the second-party

# AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7-A, and Clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, had referred the following dispute to my predecessor in office for adjudication as her their Order No. L-29011(28)/78-D.III(B) dated 15-5-1980, which has subsequently been transferred to me for disposal according to law vide Government Order No. S-11025(6)/81.D.IV(B) dated 6-11-1981:

	THE GIREFIE OF THE				[[AKI II—3EC. 5(II]]]
	Whether the suspension/refusal of kers as mentioned below of Sunda		31.	Sri Jemes S/o Megho	Mine
	tract Co-operative Society Limited			• •	
			32.	Sri Sukra	-do-
	istence allowance with effect from 8			S/o Lurku	
uot,	what relief they are entitled to ?	•	33	Smt. Mangri	do
	List of workers			D/o Lu ku	<b>d</b> 0
1.	S i Benudhar Dash	Qry. Supervisor.		•	
	S/o Ma·dgali Dash		34.	Sri Junash	do
2.	Sri Charwa Oram	Minor		S/o Paulush	
	S/o Champa Oram	2,2,0-	35.	Smt. Agnesh	-d <b>o</b> –
_	, .			D/o Chambra	40
3.	Sri Martin Barla	Jack-H Operator		,	
	S/o Bicrbas Barla		36.	Smt. Jauri	-do <del>-</del>
4,	Sri Clement Xess	Qry, Sapervisor		D/o Sukra	
	S/o Paulus Xess		37.	Smt. Salmi	do
	Sri Ambnus Lugun	Miner		D/o Ilarash	40
Э.	<del>-</del>	Miniat		,	_
	S/o Martin Lugus		38.	Smt. Susani	-do
6.	Sri Bhagirathi Goala	Jack H. Helper.		D/o Rephel.	
	S/o Budhu Coala		39.	Smt. Salmi	-do
7.	Sri Sombra Tigga	Jack H. Operator		D/o Barna	•
	S/o Berge Tigga	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
	· ·	Qıy. Supa visor	40.	Smt. Rahil	-do-
8.	Sri Gajendia Singh	Qiy. Supe visor		D/o Soma	
	S/o Basti Singh		41	Smt. Julias	do-
9,	Sri Phidus Kujur	Chief Supervisor	***	D/o Sagu	uo-
	S/o Siril Kujur			, -	
10.	Smt. Phulta Dash	Minor	42.	Smt. Rahil	-do-
	W/o Boudhar Dash			D/o Danial	
11	Smt. Sombari	Miner	43	Smt. Johani	-do~
11.		Milier	45.		-40-
	W/o Gajendra Singh			D/o Nondo	
12.	Sri K.R. Haldar	Time Keeper	44.	Juakim Barla	Jack. H. Ope ato:
	S/o K. Haldar.			S/o Kushal	•
13.	Sri Mansasi Kujui	Blasting Supervisor	4.6	•	<b>7</b> _
	S/o Suloman Kujur	<del>-</del>	45.	Sri Løjhar	-do-
1.4	Sri Joseph Munda	Jack H. Operator		S/o Antoni	
17.	-	buok II. Operato.	46.	Sri Junash	Minor
	S/o Soma Munda	C		S/o Paulush	
15.	Sri K. Thankapan	Store-Keeper	4-7	•	77 TO 173
,	S/o Kelan		47.	Sri Suratmashi	T.R.W.
16.	Smt. Somari	T.R.W.		S/o Paulush	
	D/o Dokhia Oram		48.	Smt. Dulari	T.R.W.
17	Sri Surondra	-do-		S/o Budhu	
17.		40		_,	
	S/o Madan	-do-	49.	Smt. Silbia	Minor
18.	Sri Promsukh	- <b>u</b> 0-		D/o Julius	
	S/o Mangal	_	50	Smt. Salmi	-do-
19.	Smt. Marriyam	-do-	50.	S/o Agapit	40
	W/o Joeseph			,	
20.	Sri Suklal	Miner	51.	Smt. Fulmani	-do-
	S/o Sundersan			D/o Masides	
21	Sri Jemes Minz	Comp Operator	52	Sıi Topal	<b>-</b> ao-
41.		Comb obstaces	J	S/o Mashi	
	S/o Masidas	Minar			<b>m</b> n
22.	Smt. Balmoti	Minor	53.	Sri Bhimsont	T.R.W.
	D/o Chirnath			S/o Amrus	
23.	Smt. Sambari	-do-	54.	Smt. Panlina	-ćo-
	D/o Gandra			D/o Karlush	
2.4	Smt. Bol amdina	-do-	5-5	Smt. Santi	-do-
44.		<b>4</b> 0-	J.J.		
	D/o Bhagirathi Gowala			D/o Mogho	4
25.	Sri Budhu	-do-	56.	Sri Temba	-do-
	S/o Gandra			S/o Kinu	
	•	-do-	57.	Sri Soma	-do-
<i>4</i> 6.	Sri Modan Lujhar	-10-		S/o Bhokro	
	S/o Bhagirathi Gowala			•	Duman ()
27.	Sri Sukra	-do-	58.	Sri Kerma	Pump Operator
	S/o Bhagirathi Gowala			S/o Mitu	
•-		TDW	59	Sci Bukan Lall	Qry. Supo.visor
28.	Smt. Nanki	T.R.W.	-,,	S/o Ghasiram	* * *
	W/o Bhagirathi Gowala			·	men da Maj
20	Smt. Somari	T.R.W.	60.	Sri Dhaniram	T.R.W.
	D/o Sukhu			S/o Sodashib	
	•	Minn	61	Srl Ninush	Minor
30.	Sri Phulsingh	Miner	V.	S/o Patras	
	S/o Soma			2/2 24-1-0	

62.	Srimati Marriyam D/o Silash	Minor	95.	Smt. Jawani D/o Bhutku	Mine	эΓ
63.	Smt. Bo jenia D/o Nuas	-do	96.	Smt. Sukro	-do-	
64.	Smt. Bariyam D/o Jabris	do	97.	<b>D</b> /o Sukra Smt. Phulmani	-do-	-
65,	Smt. Telani D/o Budhur	-do-	98.	D/o Charo Smt. S lmi	-do-	
66.	Smt. Alisor D/o Thomas	-do-	99.	D/o Mesidas Smt. Salmi	-do	-
67.	Smt. Beronikar	do	100.	D/o Gabriel Smt. Jhario	-do-	
68.	D/o Patras Smt. Alfas	Hand Role Driller	101.	<b>D</b> /o Etwa Sri Bisnath	- <b>10</b>	
69.	Nicodin Sri Thuru	Miner	102.	S/o H+bil Sri S⊃m \	-do-	
70.	S/o Ganesh Sri Patia	_do-	103.	S/o Chemp i Smt. Birshi	<b>d</b> o	
71.	S/o Somra Tigga Smt, Fulla	-do-	104.	D/o Lakna Smt. Jauri	10-	_
72.	D/o Sukra Smt. Etwari	<b>-</b> do-	105.	D'o Birsha Sm <sup>t</sup> . Phullo	0-	
73.	D/o Samu Smt. Budhuni	-do-		S/o Jitu Sci Tihiku	do	
74.	D/o Soma Smt. Philomina	<b>d</b> o		S/o Dhani	do	
75.	D/o Johan Smt. Somari	do-		Sri S mika S/o Dhani		
76.	D/o Lodha Smt. Budhan	-do-		Smt. Sukro D/o Budhua	-o <i>t</i> -	
77.	D/o Kandra Smt. Chani	-do-		Smt. Meerry D/o Benedik	-do-	
78.	D/o Lodha Smt. Libdhi	-do-		Smt. Birshi D/o Somra	-do-	
79.	D/o Lodha Sri Mangra	Jack H. Helper		Smt. Sirmati D/o Dubraj	-do-	
80.	S/o Langa Sri Dowa	Miner		Smt. Semari D/o Etwa	-do <b>-</b>	
81.	S/o Etwa Smt. Phulia	-do-		Smt. Sirlmati D/o Gopal	do-	
82.	W/o Bhosa Sri Sukra	Jack. H. Helper	_	Smt. Parbati D/o Katisao	do-	
83.	S/o Birsha Smt. Chari	Miner		Smt. Jaumi D/o Mangru	do	
84.	D/o Bhtku Smt. Birshi	-do		Smt. Silmuni D/o Kandru	-do-	
85.	D/o Ratia Smt. Jauni	-do-		Sm <sup>1</sup> . Mangri <b>D</b> /o Bandhu	-do-	
86.	D/o Dheme Kanhu	T.R.W.		Sri Ramdeo D/o Dhadı	-do-	
87.	S/o Ratia Smt. Chari	Miner	119.	Smt. Somiri D/o Lohari	do	
88.	D/o Langa Sri Butu	-do−	120.	Smt. Muni D/o Muna	-do-	
89.	S/o Langa Jagdoo	T.R.W.	121.	Smt. Budhuni W/o Birsha	-do-	
90.	S/o Deonath Sri Panna S/o Phthu	Hand Holer	122.	Sm*, Elisobha W/o Sodrek	-do-	
91.	S/o Bhtku Sri Etwa S/o Kaila	T.R.W.	123.	Sri Somra S/o Chrwa	-do-	
92.	Srimati Mangri	Miner	124	Sri Dhanmashi	-do-	-
93.	D/o Jema Sri Rupnath S/o Belga	Jack H. Operator	125.	S/o Santosh Smt. Flora	-do-	
94.	Smt, Chari	Miner	126.	D/o Dhanmashi Sri Labo	do	
	D/o Paulush			S/o Muru		

==	= <u></u>	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	
127	Sri Punna	Hand Holer	j <b>6</b> 4.	Sri Johan	н.н.
	S/o Raghu		-	S/o Barnadas	11
128.	Sri duhkun	-do-	165	Sri Semra	T.R.W.,
	S/o Raghu		.03	S/o Budhan	1,10,14,7
129.	Smt. Gurbari	T.R.W.	166	. Sri Anandması	C/P
	<b>D</b> /o <b>R</b> aghu			S/o Nuas	<i>□</i> /2
130.	. Sri Jhirga	Miner	167	Smt. Sithang	P.R.W.
	s/o Chamra		.0,	W/o Anandmasi	1,14, 17,
J31.	. Sri V. Balan	Bill Clerk	168	Smt. Dayanidhi	<b>-</b> ქ0−
	S/o G. Veleydhen		103	D/o Lodha	<b>¬</b> up−
132.	. Ajamil⊬Badhi	Helper	169	Sri Bandhana	-do-
	S/o Jhagudu Badı		10 7.	S/o Madho	-((0-
133.	Sardar Bhaj in Singh	Driver -cum-	170	Sri Bhorpo	1 .
		Machanic	170.	S/o Puttuka	-do-
134.	Sri Gaddhar Sethi	Masan		•	,
	S/o Hari Sethi		171.	Smt. Jhiram	-do-
135.	Sri Bir B)hadoor	Watchm in		W/o Joito	
	Sri R.C. Pillai	Office Asstt.	172.	Sir Jagdev	-do <del>-</del>
	Sri K. Nigynath	Electrician		S/o D win th	
	Sri Sarat Ch. Dash	L D.C.	J73.	Purush	Hand Holer
150.	S/o Gineswar Dis	- <del>-</del> -		S/o Benadik	
120	Sri Alexis Kujur		174.	Smt. Sabina	Miner
		Supervisor		S/o Silash	
	Jakries Jojo	Miner	175.	Smt. Jarti	-10-
	S-(Nind Lal	<u>-</u>		W/o Luchhamun	
	Matties Jojo	Com. Operator	176	Sm <sup>r</sup> , Birshi	-do-
	M. Thank chead	Accountant		W/o Sama	
144	Leoph Burla	Elect, Helper	17 <b>7.</b>	Sri Janku	W/L
	S/o Johan Burla			S/o L mia i	·· ( =
145.	Kirtik Goud	-do-	178	Sri Mihadev	Miner
	S/o Sridhar Goud		170.	S/o Sila	Miliei
146.	Sri Sinkir Birik	Khalasi	170	•	-40-
	Sri Bhubani Acharya	${f M}$ unshi	179.	Set Raighun (th	- 10-
	S/o Hari Acharyo		1412	S/o Bidu	1 -
148	Smt. Belem i Muada	T R.W.	180.	Smt. Pul Singh	70-
140-	Bijoy Munda			D/o Mohana	Men
149	Sri Lal Singh	-40-	101.	Smt Jira	Minei
1 12.	S/o Bhekro		193	D/o Rupnath	٠. د
140	Dhuk i	-do-	184.	Sri Mohan	do-
150.	S/o B isu			S/o Dandla	
1 # 1	Sri Piues	-do-		Smt. Sulo	do -
151,	S/o Ishak	***	184.	Smt, Budhuni	-do-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-10-		W/o Sukro	,
152.	Smt. Balo	-40-	185.	Smt. Sulo	do-
	W/o Magho	Trus 3 Trul		W/o R itiram	
153.	Sri Makhana	H ind Holer	186.	Smt. Gandci	<b>-d</b> o-
	S/o Mangu	T = 111		W/o Guundia	
154.	Sri Lukash	T.R.W.	187.	Smt. Ramdulari	-do-
	S/o Joseph	_		W/o Gobinda	
155.	Sri Doniel	-do-		Sri Albish Kerkatta	Pump Operator
	S/o Somi	1	189.	Sri Srinus	Miner
156.	Sri Hari	⊷do-			
	S/o Ledhra				AWARD
157.	Sri Albish	H in l Holer			
	S/o Birsha				ond-party worknien may be brie
158.	Sri Sukhdev	T.R.W.	stated	l thus :—	
	S/o Karadayal		ТЬ	s second-norty member	ers weie peimanent workmen und
159	Smt. R thil	Hand Holder			, which was a contractor at Purur
10,	S/o D miel		pani	Lime Stone and Do	fomite Quarry of Routkele Ste
160	Sri Et ıw	$T_{i}R_{j}W_{i}$	Plant	of the Steel Author	ity of India Limited. It is aveir
	S/o Balo	K14K1 ** 4			the Society placed the workm
161	Sri Banı	Hund Holer			ct from 8-1-1972 in his letter dat ler was passed for vacation of t
101.	Pti Pall i	II and Hole			chings frame nor any domes

S/o Lachhaman

S/o Karamday J

W/o Karamdayal

162. Sri Dasrath

163. Smt. Grindi

T.R.W.

-do-

nder unaitecl red men 23-3-72. Thereafter no order was passed for vacation of the 23-3-72. Thereafter no order was passed for vacation of the suspension order, nor any charges frame nor any domestic enquiry conducted against the workmen. The management also did not pay any subsistence allowance to the workmen suspended. It is contended that the action of the first-party management is motivated and illegal. It is prayed that the workmen are entitled to reinstatement in service with full back wages. 3. The case of the first-party management may be briefly stated thus:—.

-----

The first-party Society was formed and registered as a Co-operative Society in the year 1967. Due to the mismanagement in the affairs of the Society including serious financial irregular ties committed by the then President and President of the Suciety, an enquiry under Section 65 of the Orissa Co-operative Societies Act, 1962 was conducted. Ine Orissa Co-operative Societies Act, 1962 was conducted. As per statutory audit report there were charges of defalcation and fulsification of accounts, against the aforesaid office bearers and as such disputes under Section 68 were filed against them, decree obtained and execution proceedings under Section 103 of the Act were pending against them. The aforesaid two office-bearers of the Society now claiming to be leaders and office-bearers of the North Orissa Workers Union have sponsored the case on behalf of the warkners. to be leaders and office-bearers of the North Orissa Workers Union have sponsored the case on behalf of the workmen. On account of the mismanagement and other causes, the management of the Society was superseded under Section 32 of the aforesaid Act and Shri H. M. Padhi, Sub-Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, was appointed as a Administrator to run the administration of the Society, and Shri Padhi assumed charge on 8-1-1972. On assumption of charge, the Administrator issued a general notice intimating all workers assumed charge on 8-1-1972. On assumption of charge, the Administrator issued a general notice intimating all workers to join work which was stooped since long. About 600 workers joined their work at Purunapani in response to the aforesaid notice. The Administrator never refused any worker to join work. The former President and Vice-President of the Society who had command over some of the labourers instincted them not to join the work under the administration instigated them not to join the work under the administration of Shri Padhi. It is averred that no suspension order was issued to any workman who joined work in response to the notice issued by the Administrator on 8-1-1972. It only appears from the Despatch Register of the Society that orders of suspension were issued to as many as 23 person. only 13 persons out of them received the notices of suspension. These 23 persons joined had with the Fx-President and Vice-President and deliberately absented from joining their work. They never presented themselves in the Society, nor wanted to join work. The whereabouts of these persons were never known to the Society after issue of the aforesaid orders of suspension. It is also averred that they never worked as labourers and/or workers of the Society. No action whatso-ever was taken against the labourers/workers, ministerial and other staff who voluntarily joined on 8-1-1972 or subsequently in response to the notice issued by the then Administrator. It is contended that the list of workers furnished in the order of reference appears to be fictitious and fake.

- 4. It is further averred that the Society was constituted by individuals who only contributed their labour to the Society. Unless an individual becomes a member and share-holder of the Society, he is not entitled to employment in the Society in any capacity whatsoever. With this object the Society was constituted and named as the Sundergarh Mining Labour Contract Co-operative Society 11d, Purunanani Once a person is removed from membership of the Society by its general body, the matter is final and the neuron removed cannot claim employment in the Society. It is averred that the persons against whom orders of suspension were passed had been removed by the general body of the Society in their meeting held on 15-6-1972 and as such they censed to be members of the Society and so, they were not entitled to any relief under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act. The further contention is that it is not also a case of suspension/refusal of employment as referred to in the schedule of reference
- It is further contended that the dispute in question is a dispute between the past members of the Society and the Society within the meaning of Section 68 of the Orissa Co-oppositive Societies Act touching the hustings of the Society and as such, the dispute referred under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes. Act is incompetent and without jurisdiction.
- In the additional written-statement filed by the management it is contended that the suspension (refusal of employment basing taken place on 8-1-1972 and finally on 15-6-72 the period of limitation for the dispute in question commonces from 8-1 1972 or at the latest on 15-6-1972. The period of limitation under Section 69 of the Orisa Co-operative Societies Act is six years. As such the dispute in this case is clearly time-barred and as such, the present reference dated 15-5-1980 is not maintainable being barred by limitation.

- 5. Considering the pleadings of the parties contained in their written statements the following points arise for consideration:—
  - (1) Whether the reference is incomperent and not maintainable in view of the provisions of Section 68 of the Orissa Co-operative Societies Act, 1962?
  - (2) Whether the persons named in the list of workers appended to the reference were, in fact, wo kmen or not under the first-party?
  - (3) Whether suspension/refusal of employment had actually taken place with effect from 8-1-1972 and if so, whether it is justified?
  - (4) How many and which of the workmen have been affected by the order of suspension/refusal?
  - (5) To what relief, if any, are the second-party workmen entitled?

I would take up each of the points in seriatim.

#### Point No. 1

## Point No. 2

- 7. According to the terms of the reference the workman are 189 in number. The first witness for the workmen second party, who is an Inspector (Time), Purinapani Lime Store Quarry of the Rourkala Steel Plant has stated in his evider of that they are maintaining registers (Form 'B' Register) concerning labourers working in the quarries, including the quarry run by the first-party. He has further stated that after the list of workers was given to them by the Assistant Labour Commissioner, they checked up with reference to Form 'B' Register and found out only 81 names occurring therein. He has prepared a list of these workmen as per Ext. 2. He has also proved Form 'B' Registers, Exts. 3, 4 and 5. Apart from the evidence given by W.W.I. no other evidence has been adduced on behalf of the workmen as to whether the alleged workmen named in the list of reference were really the workmen at the material time.
- 8. As to the actual number of workmen, both the parties also appear to have relied on the evidence of W.W.1, the Inspector (Time), Purunapani Lime Stone Quarry of the Rourkela Steel Plant. The only conclusion, therefore, that can be drawn is that only 81 workmen as per Ext 2 were the workmen from amongst 189 workmen who we working at the material time.

## Point No. 3

9. As to the question of suspension, the case of the first-party is that after the Administrator (M.W.2) took over the management, there was disturbance in the working of the mines and as such it necessitated him to take effective measures to start work in the mines. He therefore is used a general notice intimating all workers to join the work which was stopped for some time, whereafter about 600 workers initiated their work, but a few bent upon to create disturbance did not join. As administrative measures and with a view to inclivate them to join the work suspension orders were issued only to 23 persons but as ner desnatch register only 13 negsons had received such orders. It is sought to be contrict that the first-party never intended to throw these pe sons out

of employment, but it wanted that these persons should come forward and ask the management to be given work. forward and ask the management to be given work. No disciplinary action was taken against any of these suspended workmen. The second-party do not, however, state in their written-statement as to how many workmen were suspended. They, however, contend that suspending the workmen without paying any subsistence allowance is illegal. It is also contended that in the absence of any disciplinary action having been taken against them, and without taking them tack into service, the persons/workmen who were suspended are entitled to be reinstated with back wages. So far as refusal of employment is concerned, the second-party workmen have not breathed a word in their written-statement that any workman has been refused employment by the first-party management. The case of the management with regard to the refusal of The case of the management with regard to the refusal of employment is that the management has not refused employment to a single workman who has come forward to join work. Now I shall take up whether the action of the management in suspending some of its workmen was justified. The management contends that the suspension orders issued to 23 workmen were in the nature of threat so as to enable the Administrator to restart the work. It also contends that the orders of suspension were not really in the nature disciplinary proceeding against the so-called suspended workers As the suspended workers never joined their work, nor relaimed subsistence allowance or reinstatement, the management cannot be said to be at fault. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case and the principles of natural justice I am however of the view that the orders of suspension cannot be said to be justified as not only no subsistence allowance has been allowed to the workmen but also no suspension has taken place in contemplation of any disciplinary action against the workmen. Moreover, no disciplinary action had, in fact been taken against any of the workmen. If the suspension orders were passed only with a view to pressurize the workmen to join work, as contended by the first-party. I am of the view that after the normal situa-tion prevailed, the management should have cancelled the suspension orders and asked the workmen informing them to join work. There should have been some order in show that the suspension orders were recalled or that they were not meant to be acted upon. I would, therefore, hold that the action of the management in passing the suspension orders against some of the workmen is not at all justified.

As there is absolutely no averment on the side of the second-parte workmen about the refusal of employment to any of the workmen, the question of adjudication on the justifiability or otherwise does not arise. The contention of the management, on the other hand, should be accepted that in response to the general notice after the take over by the Administrator quite a large number of workmen, say about 90 per cent, joined work and none of them was refused employment.

#### Point No. 4

10. I shall now take up as to how many individual workmen and who of them were actually suspended by the order of the management. According to the management 23 suspension orders were issued, but the orders could be served only on 13 workmen. The workmen did not aver anything in their written statement as to how many workmen or who of them were suspended. They also did not lead any evidence on the point except through one writness (W.W.3) who has proved his own suspension order, Ext. 6, to say that he was suspended from work. In such state of affairs it is not possible to come to a definite finding as to who were the workmen suspended in this case except W.W.3. Even the workmen have not stated in their writtenstatement or by way of additional written-statement as to who were the persons suspended in this case. The management also does not name the 13 workmen who had received the suspension order. In such state of affairs relief can be given only to W.W. 3 Gaiendra Singh (Sl. No. 8 of the list of workers given in the reference).

W.W.s. 2, 4, 5 and 6 have given evidence to show that though demanded, they were not given any employment by the management. There is no documentary evidence like Ext. 6 to prove that they were actually suspended by the management. It is, therefore, difficult to come to any conclusion whether they were actually suspended or refused employment by the management.

11. Both the parties have filed written notes of Arguments in support of their respective contentions. The management first-party in paragraph 5 at page 2 of the written note of arguments has admitted that 9 workmen as per Sl. Nos. 2, 3, 6, 11, 35, 58, 61, 62 and 64 of Ext. 2 were amongst the workmen who were suspended by the management (obviously by its order dated 23-3-1972). On their own showing, therefore, the management has admitted that these 9 workmen, viz., Martin Baria, Clement Xess, Manmasi Kujur Ismes Minz, Bhukan Lall, V. Balen, Alexis Kujur, Jakrias Jajo and Matias Jojo were suspended. In the light of my discussions that the suspension orders cannot be sustained in the eye of law, the aforesaid nine workmen are also entitled to rein-tatement in service.

#### Point No. 5

12. In conclusion, I would hold that W.W.3 Sri Gajendra Singh and the none workmen Matin, Barle, Clement Xess Manmasi Kujur, James Minz Bhukan Lall. V. Balen, Alexis Kujur, Jakrias Jojo and Matias Jojo (Sl. Nos. 8, 3, 4, 13, 21, 59, 131, 139, 140 and 142 of the list of workers in the schedule of reference) are entitled to the relief of reinstatement in service. As. W.W.3 Gajendra Singh according to his statement, is working under the contractor R. C. Sahu, Purnapani since 1973, he is entitled to full back wages from the date of suspension till his re-employment in the firm of Shri R. C. Sahu. With regard to the remaining nine workment, viz., Martin Barla, Clement Xess, Manmasi Kujur, James Minz. Bhuken Lall, V. Balen, Alexis Kujur, Jakrias Jajo and Matias Jojo there is no evidence before the Tribunal that they are still unemployed. They are, therefore, not entitled to any back wages.

13. The Award is passed accordingly.

J. M. MOHAPATRA, Presiding Officer. Industrial Tribunal Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

[L.29011|28|78-D.HIB]

## मारेक

# मई दिल्ली, 11 धनतुबर, 1982

का॰ भा॰ 3700---कैन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध धनसूची में विनिविष्ट विषय के बारे में हुट्टी गोल्य माईनस कम्पनी: लिमिटेड, हुट्टी के प्रबंधतंत्र से सम्बद्ध एक मौद्योगिक विवाद नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विम्ह्यान है:

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को स्वायनिर्णयन के लिए निदेशित करना बांछनीय समझती है;

भत, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भौधौगिक विवाद मंत्रिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7क भीर धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (व) द्वारा प्रवक्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करन हुए, एक भौद्योगिक मंत्रिकरण गठित करनी है जिसके पीठासीन मंत्रिकारी भी वी॰ एव॰ उपाध्याय होंगे, जिनका मृक्यालय अंगलीर में होगा भीर उक्त विवाद को उक्त मंद्रिकरण को न्यायांनर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

#### भनुसूची

"क्या मैसर्स हट्टी गोरुड माईनस कम्पनी लिमिटेड, हट्टी की बी भारणप्पा, बाजमैन, सेक्यूरिटी डिपार्टमेन्ट की सेवाएं 1 जनवरी, 1981 से समाप्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोजित हैं? यदि नहीं, तो कामगार किस अनुतोध का हकदार हैं?

[एल-43012/5/81-की 3 मी]

# ORDER

## New Delhi, the 11th October, 1982

S.O. 3700.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Hutti Gold Mines Company Limited, Hutti and their workman in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power, conferred by Section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri V. H Upadhyay shall be the Picsiding Officer, with headquarters at Bangalore and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal

#### **SCHEDULE**

"Whether the termination of the services of Shri Sharanappa, Watchman Security Department by the management of Hutti Gold Mines Company Limited Hutti with effect from 1st January, 1981 is justified If not, to what relief is the concerned workmen entitled?"

[L-43012/5/81-D.III(B)]

#### धावेश

# मई विल्ली, 12 अक्तूबर, 1982

का॰ आ॰ 3701 — केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपा-बढ़ अनुसूची में विनिद्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में भारत गोल्ड माईनस लिमि-टेड, कोलार गोल्ड फील्डस के प्रवधनन्त्र से सम्बद्ध एक भौडोगिक विवाद नियोजको और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है,

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निवेशित करना वांछनीय समझती है,

भत, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भौधोगिक विवाद प्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7क भौर धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ध) धारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एक धौधोगिक प्रधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन धिकारी श्री दी॰ एच॰ उपाध्याय होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय बंगलीर में होगा धौर उक्त विवाद को उक्त धिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

# अनुसूची

क्या भारत गोल्ड माईनस कोलार गोल्ड फील्डस के धनियमित मजदूरी की (1) स्थायी काडर में समाववण की (11) मजदूरी बढ़ाने की मांगे क्यायोजित है? यदि नहीं तो कामगार किम धनुनोब के हकदार हुँ?

[स॰ एस-43011(3)/82-ছী॰ H.I (ৰী)]

कंबर राजिन्द्र सिंह, धवर सचिव

# **ORDER**

New Delhi, the 12th October, 1982

S.O 3701—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Bharat Gold Mines Limited, Kolar Gold Field and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed,

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri V. H. Upadhyay shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Bangalore and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

## **SCHEDULE**

"Whether the demand of the casual labour employed by the management of Bharat Gold Mines, Kolar Gold 850 GI/82-7 Fields (1) for absorption in permanent cadre and (11) for enhancement of wages if justified. If so, to what reliefs are workmen entitled?

[No. L-43011(3)/82 D.III(B)]

KANWAR RAJINDER SINGH, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

SO 3702.—In pursuance of the section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No 3, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Benjemehari Colliery of Eastern Coalfields Limited, Post Office Salanpur, District Burdwan and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 11-10-82

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO 3, DHANBAD

Reference No. 17/81

#### PRESENT:

Shri J N. Singh, Presiding Officer,

# PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bonjemchari Colhery of Eastern Coalfields Ltd, PO Silanpur, Dist. Burdwan.

#### AND

#### Their workman

## APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri A. N Chatterjee, Dy Personnel Manager

For the Workman-None

INDUSTRY: Coal.

STATE · West Bengal

Dated the 4th October, 1982

## AWARD

The Govt of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them U/s 10(1)'d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No L-19012(72)/80-D IV(B) dated the 2nd May, 1981.

#### SCHEDULE

- "Whether the action of the management of Pastern Co I fields Ltd, in relation to Bonjemehari Colliery P O Seetalour. Dist Burdwan in reducing the wages of Shrimati Sukoda Mahatin from Group V to Pategory I with effect from 18-4-1977 was justified? If not to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?
- 2 On 27-9 82 both the parties have filed a joint netition of compromise duly stened on their behalf and they pray that an award be passed in terms of the settlement
- 3 I have gone through the settlement which is beneficial for the workman
- 4 In the circumstances the award is massed in terms of the settlement which shall form part of the award

Enc : Settlement

J N SINGH, Presiding Officer

BEFORF THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL PRIBUNAL NO 3 DHANBAD

# Reference No. 17 of 1981

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Management of Benjemihari Colliery, Eastern Coalfields Itd., P.O. Salanpur, Dist. Burdwan.

## AND

# Their workmen

Joint petition of compromise:

Both the Parties horein concerned beg most respectfully to mibmit as under:

- 1. That the above matter is fixed for hearing on 27-9-82
- 2. That the matter is pending and has not yet been heard by the Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 3. That in the meantime the Parties negotiated the matter in dispute mutually and have come to an amicable settlement on the following terms:
  - (a) That the concerned workman Smt. Sukhada Mcha tin will be placed in Cat. II of the National Coal Wage Agreement-II on the basic rate of Rs, 19.48 (Rupees nineteen and forty eight paise only) per day as from 31-3-82.
  - (b) That the concerned workman will be paid a consolidated sum of Rs. 1000 (Rupees one thousand only) in full and final settlement of all her claim for arrear wages.
  - (c) That the payment of Rs. 1000 as said in the fore-going paragraph will be made within three months from the date this settlement is accepted by the Hon'ble Tribunal.
  - (d) That by this settlement the instant dispute is fully and finally resolved and the workman shall have no claim, whatsoever, arising out of the instant matter.
  - (e) That the Parties shall hear their respective costs of the present proceedings.
- 4. That both the Parties pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to accept this settlement as fair and reasonable and may be further pleaded to pass an award in terms of the aforesaid settlement.

And for this act of kindness the Parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Dated this the 27th September, 1982.

For and on behalf of the workman, Sd./-

Sd./For and on behalf of
the Employers.

N. SINGH, Presiding Officer.
 [No. L-19012-(72)/80.IV(B)]

S.O. 3703.—In pursuance of the section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 3, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Benjemehari Colliery of Eastern Coalfields Limited, Post Office Salaupur, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 2-10-82.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO 3, DITANBAD

Reference No. 72/80

PRESENT:

Shri J. N. Singh, Presiding Officer,

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bonjemehari Colliery under Bonjemehari Sub-Area of Fastern Coalfields Ltd., P.O. Salanpur, Dt. Burdwan.

#### AND

#### Their workman

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers-Shri B. N. Lala, Advocate.

For the Workman-Shri S. K. Achatyya.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

STATE West Bengal.

Dated, the 4th October, 1982

#### **AWARD**

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them U/s. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No. L-19012(47)-79-D.IV(B) dated 27th November, 1980

# SCHEDULE

- "Whether the action of the management of Bonjemehari Colliery under Bonjemehari Sub-Area of Eastern Coalfields Ltd., P.O. Salanpur, Dist. Burdwan in not regularising the employment with effect from 7th April, 1975 of Spri Sachhidanand Singh was justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workmen entitled?
- 2. On 27-9-82 both the parties have filed a joint petition of compromise duly signed on their behalf and they pray that an award be passed in terms of the settlement.
- 3. I have gone through the settlement which is beneficial for the workman.
- 4. In the circumstances the award is passed in terms of the settlement which shall form part of the award

Enc : Settlement

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer

BEFORE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 3. DHANBAD

Reference No. 72 of 1980

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Management of Bonjemihari Colliery of Eastern Coalfields Limited.

## AND

## Their workmen

Joint petition of compromise.

Both the Parties herein-concerned beg to submit most respectfully as under:

- 1. That the above matter is fixed for hearing on 27-9 82.
- 2. That the Hon'ble Fribunal has not yet heard the present matter and the matter is pending.
- 3. That in the meantime, both the parties mutually negotiated the instant dispute and the Parties have come to an amicable settlement the following terms:

- (a) That Shri Sachidananda Singh, the concerned work-man will be employed as a Driver in Cat. V on the basic rate of Rs. 19.50 per day as per National Coal Wage Agreement-II within a month from date when he reports for duty before the General Manager, Salanpur Area and his period of service will be counted from the date of his employment as said above.
  - (b) That the concerned workman when he reports for duty in terms of the foregoing paragraph will be posted in any of the collecties under the jurisdiction of Salanpur Area.
  - (c) That the concerned workman shall product his motor vehicles driving licence (Heavy) in original to the Employers at the time he reports for duty as said above.
  - (d) That the workman shall have no claim whatsoever for any back wages for the period from 7th April, 1975 to the date when he reports for duty as stated in Paragraph a & 'b' above.
  - (e) That by this acttlement the instant dispute is fully and finally resolved and the workman shall have no claim whatsoever arising out of the instant matter.
  - (f) The Parties shall bear their respective costs of the present proceedings.
- 4. Both the Parties pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be please to accept this settlement as fair and reasonable and may be further pleased to pass an award in terms of the aforesaid settlement.

And for this act of kindness the Parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

For and on behalf of the workman.

Sd./For and on behalf of the Employer.

Sd./-

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-19012(47)/79-D.IV(B)]

S.O. 3704.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Rampur Colliery of Ranipur Sub-Area of Eastern Coalfields Limited, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th October, 1982.

# CENTRAL GOVFRNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL : CALCUTTA

## Reference No. 17 of 1980

# PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Ranipur Colliery of Ranipur Sub-Area under the Eastern Coalfields Limited.

## AND

# Their Workmen

# PRESENT::

Mr. Justice M. P. Singh-Presiding Officer

#### APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers-Mr. B. N. Lala, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen-Mr. S. K. Mazumdar, Advocate

STATE . West Bangal

INDUSTRY · Coal

#### AWARD

By its Order No. L-19012(40)/79-D.W(B) dated 21 February 1980 the Government of India Ministry of Labour,

referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Rampur Colliery of Rampur Sub-Area under the Eastern Coalfields Limited P.O. Dishergarb, Distr. Burdwan in superannuating the workmen (Names given in the annexure) with effect from 1st July, 1979 is justified? If not, to what relief are the concerned workmen entitled?

## ANNEXURE

- Shri Ram Chabila Ruy
- 2. Shri Indradeo Singh
- 3. Shri Nagina Roy. .
- 4. Shii Ramdoo Yaday
- 5. Shii Barsati Harijan
- 2. It is necessary to point out that though five persons were superannuated with effect from 1st July, 1979, as will; ppear from the above terms of reference itself, none except Røm chabila Roy raises any dispute before this Tribunal. All the parties concerned in this case have made statement to this Tribunal when the case was called out for hearing that the four workmen named in the reference, i.e., Indradco Singh, Nagina Roy, Ramdeo Yadav and Barsati Hatijan have withdrawn themselves from the dispute possibly having been re-employed by the management. Hence a "no dispute' award is passed so far as those four workmen are concerned
- 3. Now, in the present case we are concerned only with Ram Chabila Roy. His date of birth is to be ascertained. The union raised the dispute regarding his age by letter dated 26th April 1979 (Ext. M-1) addressed to the management and demanded his age to be assessed by the Area Medical Board. That request was accepted by the management and Ram Chabila Roy was examined by the Area Medical Board also called the 'Age Determination Committee'. He was found to be aged 60 years old on 28th June, 1979 vide [Ext. M-17. Ram Chabila Roy did not appeal against the decision of the Area Medical Board and hence he did not get himself examined by the Appellate Medical Board of the Headquatters at Sanctoria Hospital. In form B registers [Exts. M-4 and M-5) which are maintained under the Mines Act, Ram Chabila Roy is shown to be born in 1919. So the opinion of the Area Medical Board tallys with that of Form B registers, He was also issued photo identity cauds (Exts M-3 and W-2) to be retained in his possession containing particulars, including his age. There also his year of birth is 1919 that is the same as recorded in Form B registers. All these documents clearly show that his year of birth was 1919. The Union examined B. M. Tewari as WW-1 and he had deposed that Ram Chabila Roy and Nagina Roy are brothers and that Ram Chabila Roy and Nagina Roy are brothers and that Ram Chabila Roy is younger by three years to his brother Nagina Roy It appears that in his petition to the management dt. 14/23 Hebruary 1979 Ram Chabila Roy has stated he was younger to his brother by five years. Any way, an argument is built upon this oral evidence that Ram Chabila Roy should be held three years younger to his brother Nagina Roy and if it be so held he shall continue in service till 1984. In my opinion, the argument is built in the air.
- 4. The documentary evidence read with the opinion of the Area Medical Board cannot be thrown out on the basis of this imaginary contention. Ram Chabila Roy himself admitted in cross-examination that his age had been recorded in the register by the company as well as by the Government after nationalisation. He also admitted in his evidence in cross-examination that he had undergone medical examination at the Area office. There is no document in support of the case of the Union that Ram Chabila Roy was born in any other year than 1919. On behalf of the workman it was argued that the same year of birth, i.e. 1919 is recorded in the two registers of B form and also in the sarvice cards in the case of both the brothers and that this country be possible. In my opinion it is not a good ground to throw away all the documentary evidence aforesaid. Ram Chabila Roy entered into contract of service under the company as well as in the management

of Ranipur coiliery on the basis that his year of birth was 1919. It was on that basis and on the basis of the opinion of the Area Medical Board that he was refried with effect from 1st July 1979. In this view of the matter it cannot now be found in his favour that he would continue in service upto 1964. I refly on the documents adduced by the management, accordingly hold that the year of birth of Ram Chabila Roy was 1919 and that he was 60 years old on 28th June 1979 as found by the Age Determination Committee.

5. After considering the evidence on record and the submissions raised by the parties concerned, my Award is that the action of the management of Rampur Colliery in superannualing Kam Chabila Roy with effect from 1st July 1979 is justined and so he is not entitled to any relief.

Dated, Calcutta,

The 27th September, 1982.

M. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer. [No. L-19012(40)/79-D.IV(B)]

S.O. 3705.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of bharat Coking Coal Limited, Calcutta and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th October, 1982.

# CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL :

Reference No. 97 of 1980

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Calcutta.

### AND

### Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice M. P. Singh-Providing Officer.

### APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers-Shri C. L. Ganguly, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen-Shri P. k. Chatterjee, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal INDUSTRY: Coal

## AWARD

By its Order No. L-19012(56)/80-D.IV(B) dated 15th December, 1980 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of the Bharat Coking Coal Limited Calcutta in promoting Shri Manik Chandra Das, Junior Stenographer, Office of the Deputy Chief Sales Manager, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, No. 6, Lyons Range, Calcutta as Semor Stenographer, and in designating him as Personal Assistant, vide Office Orders No. BCC|CAL| ESTB|5|83|7566|7679, dated 21/19-11-1979 and No. BCC|SIM|ESTT|S|83/2406 dated 28/29-4-1979 of the Personnel Manager, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad is legal, proper and justified? If not, to what relief are the other workmen, who were considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee along with Shi Manik Chandra Das, entitled?"

2. Mr Das was a Junior Stenographer in the Bharat Coking Coal Limited (briefly, the BCCL). Calcutta. He was promoted by Order dated 29th November. 1979 (Exts. M-8-W 80 to the post of Senior Stenographer in the Calcutta office of the BCCL and was designated as "P A" by Order dated 20 April 1980

passed by the Personnel Manager, BCCL, Dhapbad. His promotion and designation has been challenged on the ground that the management in doing so violated the principles and guide times contained in its own Promotion Policy/Cad.e Scheme (Ext. W-2) and has also not followed the tripartite settlement dated 23rd April 1975 (Ext. W-1-M.5). It is said that under the said promotion policy seniority-cum-merit was the criterino for promotion of Junior Stenographer to the post of Senior Stenographer, Several contentions have been raised on behalf of the workmen in support of their case.

3. It is first contended that in the Promotion Policy, Ext W-2, there is no provision for holding any trade test for giving promotion to the Junior Stenographer to the post of Senior Stenographer, but the management iltegally arranged a trade test in order to enable Mr. Das to illegany supersede two senior stenographers B. K. Kundu and C. R. Biswas. It is submitted that under the aloresaid promotion policy (Ext. W-2) the criteria for promotion was seniority-cum-merit through Depattmental Promotion Committee (briefly, the DPC) and that all engine jumor stenographers on the basis of their seniority were entitled to be given a chance and were to be considered for promotion through DPC which was to assess the merit of the candidates upon appraisal of their service records in terms of clause 11.1 of the said promotion policy. It is urged that this procedure was not followed by the management and even the C. R, files of the persons concerned were not taken into consideration. It is pointed out that even in the trade test it was Dipak Kumar Sinha who stood first and so he should have been promoted to the post of Senior Stenographer. It is further said that in any event the post of Senior Stenographer should have been filled up by direct recruitment along with outsiders to sit in the trade test. The management has denied the validity of these contentions and in my opinion rightly. Admittedly a trade test in short-hand-stenography was held in the post of in August 1979 on vacancy having occurred in the post of Senior Stenographer towards the middle of July 1979 in the Calcutta office of the BCCL and that on the result thereof three Stenographers D. K. Sinha, M. C. Das and B. K. Kundu became cligible for consideration of the DPC which did consider them and found M. C. Das, the most suitable candidate for filling up the post of Stenographer. The authority concerned accepted the recommendation of the DPC and promoted M. C. Das to the post of Senior Stenographer as aforesaid Mr. Chatterjee, learned Counsel appearing for the workmen vehemently contended that the management illegally held the trade test as it was not provided either in the tripartite settlement of 23rd April 1975 (Ext. W-1) or in the Cadre-Scheme (Ext. W-2). It is true that the words "trade test" are not menfloned in any of these two documents but it cannot be denied that the promotion was to be made on the basis of Seniority-cum-merit. The expression "merit" is of wide import. There are no specific rules in the Cudre-Scheme or anywhere also are no specific rules in the Cadre-Scheme or anywhere also regulating the method of judging the merit of the candidate. Holding of the trade test is not excluded by any provision of the agreement or promotion policy even by implication. It cannot be denied that the holding of trade test had reasonable nexus with the performance of duty of the Senior Stenographer. It cannot, therefore, be characterised as arbitrary or prohibits it. As observed by unfair or malafide when nothing prohibits it. As observed by the Supreme Court in Gurnam Singh vs. State of Rajasthan (1971) SLR 799, "No doubt the term merit' is not capable of any easy definition, but it can be safely said that merit is a sum total of various qualities and attributes of an employee such as his academic qualifications, his distinction in the University, his coaracter, integrity, devotion to duty and the manner in which he discharge his official duties. Allied to this may be various other matters or factors such as his punctuality in work, the quality and outturn of work done by him and the manner of his dealings with his superiors and subordinate officers and the general public and his rank in the service. We are only indicating some of the broad aspects that may be taken into account in some of the broad aspects that may be taken into account in assessing the merits of an officer. In this connection it may be stated that the various particulars in the annual confidential reports of an officer, if carefully and properly noted, will also give a very broad and general indication regarding the merit of an officer." On a perusal of this observation it will appear that 'merit' cannot be confident to one factor. It includes several factors includes confidential rolls, qualification, service record, etc. Although the word 'trade test' has not been used in the observations of the Supreme Court, I think that this also may be a good factor in the selection of I think that this also may be a good factor in the selection of Stenographer. It appears that Annexure B to the promotion policy (Ext. W-2) requires that a Senior Stenographer must have a proficiency in Stenography as follows: Shorthand

speed-100 w.p.m., Type writing speed-50 w.p.m. I am, therefore, of the opinion that the result of the trade test was a factor to be considered along with other factors. It will not be out of place to mention here that the result of the trade test was not the only thing to be considered. Merery because Dipak Kr. Sinha stood first in the trade test cannot be a good ground for promoting him to the post or Senior Stenographer. The promotion was to be done on a consideraof the Trade test. Mr. Chatterjee vehemently argued that the management had illegally and with malafide intention arranged to hold a trade test. This contention has no ment. In the trade test Dipak Kumar Sonha who was juntor to M. C. Das stood first. If the management had any bad intention from the very beginning it could have managed for Mr. M. C. Das to stand first in the trade test but that was not s only indicates that the trade test was done honesdy. However the result of that examination could not be considered in the result of that examination could not be considered in isolation. I therefore see no ment in this contention it is rejected. Another line of argument in this connection is that the trade test was merely eligibility tea and not ment test and that three candidates were found eligible for selection by the DPC. That may be so but there can be no legal impediment in calling it proficement test and also a factor for considering merit for the purpose of determining the minimum sneed too hereal selected as Sonice Stonger under minimum speed for being selected as Senior Stenographic. The argument is rejected. It was also orget that the trade test was notified on 5-7-1979 but the result was published on 29-11-79 when the promotion order of Mr. Dis was issued. It is contended that the delay was significant. In my opinion it is a minor matter.

- 4. M. Chatterjee next contended that there was no DPC for Calculta office in regard to the promotion for the pot of Stenographer. My attention was drawn to clause 6.2 of the promotion policy and also to the oral evidence adduced on behalf of the workmen in support of this contention. In my opinion this argument is without any basis. The written statement of the workmen itself says that promotion to the post of Senior Stenographer was to be made on the basis of seniority-cum-suitability though the Departmental Promotion Committee vide paragraphs 3 and 5. In paragraph 5 of the written statement it is clearly stated, "The union in their letter of protest dated 10 July, 1979 pointed out that the promotion policy and the cadre-scheme framed by them by issuing from junior stenographer to Senior stenographer should be made only on the basis of seniority-cum-merit through DPC in the same way as in the case of promotion from Grade I to Special Grade will be on the basis of seniority-cum-suitability through DPC." It is thus clear that the workmen themselves accepted the postion that the promotion was to be made through DPC. I think that the argument advanced before this Tribunal and the oral evidence adduced by the Union go against their pleadings. In the present cale DPC was in fact constituted by the management (vide Ext. M-6 the circular constituting the DPC and laying down promotion policy dated 20-6-77). In my opinion it was necessary for assessing the merit of the candidates. The point thus has no force.
- 5. The third contention of Sri Chatterjee is that the 1977 Cadre-scheme was meant for Dhonbad orfice and it was not meant for the Calcutta office. He submitted that there was no Cadre-scheme for Calcutta office and that the Cadre-scheme in question (Ext. W-2) was illegal and was not binding on Calcutta office. In my opinion, this contention is devoid of any merit. Nowhere in them written statement the union has said that the Cadre-scheme/Prometion Policy (Ext. W-2) was illegal or that it was not applicable to Calcutta office. On the other hand, the written statement searly proceeds on the basis that there was a Cadre-scheme framed by the management which was violated by it. In paragraph 4 of the written statement the Union says that it is then positive case that the management had violated their promotion policy and the cadre-scheme framed by them by issuing Office Order dated 5th July 1979 (Ext. M-7) inviting applications for appearing in the trade test. In paragraph 3 also of the written statement the Union speaks about the promotion policy/cadre scheme framed by the management and says that it was totally ignored by the management. In paragraph 7 of the written statement the union said that if the management had followed their own promotion Stenographer would have been promoted to the post of Senior

- Stenographer. In paragraph 10 of the written statement the union says that the promotion policy/cadre scheme adopted by the management did not contain any post of PA. It is thus clear that the validity or applicability of the cadre scheme/promotion policy was never challenged by the union in their written statement though they have done so through they oral avidance as well as a suppose to the policy of the containing they have done so through then oral evidence as well as in argument, It is to be noticed that the tripartite settlement Ext. W-1 23-4-19/5 (vide clause 4 of Annexure 1A to the settlement) provides for future promotion to be in terms of cadre scheme tuies The monagement categorically stated in its letter dated 23/26th February 1979 (Ext. W-6) that the present cadic scheme would be applicable to Calcutta based emprovees of BCCL and asked for suggestions from the Union which was to be considered while revising the existing cadre scheme. The union by its letter dated 10-7-79 (Ext. W-7) wanted to discuss the m tter for evolving a norm for promotion but nothing material was done except writing to the RLC or ALC (Central) making complaint against thie actions of the management (vide Exts. W-9, W-10, W-16). Before that the Union had made correspondence with the Management in this regard (vide Exts. W-3, W-4 and W-5) but to no effect. As already pointed out it says in its written state-tion that the cadic scheme was violated by the management in promoting Mi. Das to the post of Senior Stenographer. A reasonable construction of the pleading of the union leads ine to the conclusion that the union accepted the cadie scheme in its written statement for the purpose of promotion but merely contended that it had been violated by the management. Further more, I do not think as to why the management would not be competent to apply the cadre scheme to the Calcutta office of BCCL. This office is a part of the Head office of the BCCL which is at Dhanbad. I am of opinion that the management was competent to apply the same to the Calcutta office. It is not in doubt that the cadre scheme provides in clause 6.2 for promotion of Junior Stenographer to the post of Senior Stenographer on the basis of senioritycum-monit. It also provides for promotion of Senior Steno-grapher to the post of Senior PA. The contention of the Union accordingly fails and is rejected.
- 6. It is next contended on behalf of the workmen that there was no post of Senior Stenographer in the Calcutta office of BCCL. Reference in this connection has been made to Ext. W-1 the Settlement dated 3rd April, 1975 and evidence of WWs-1 and 2. The argument is not correct. Although there is no mention of Senior Stenographer in the Settlement, there is such mention in the Cadre-scheme. If there was no such post Mr. Kundu and other stenographers would not have appeared in the trade test. In their written statement the union does not say that there was no post of Senior Stenographer. The written statement rather proceeds on the basis that there was such a post. The prayer made therein is that Mr. Das may be down-graded to the post of Junior Stenographer and that Sii B. K. Kundu and D. K. Sinha may be promoted/up-graded as Senior Stenographer with effect from the date of original promotion. The contention thus fails.
- 7. It was next urged that there was no post of PA lying vacant in the Calcutta office of BCCL to which Mr. Das could be appointed or posted and that the post of PA which was existing earlier in this office was abolished from 1st Landuy, 1975 Reference in this connection was made to the Tripartite Scttlement dated 3rd April, 1975 (Ext. W-1). Council also points out that the Cadre-scheme/Promotion Policy, Ext. W-2 did not provide for any post of PA. The contention is not valid. The post of PA did exist in the Calcuttin office of BCCL as per agreement dated 23rd April, 1975. It is also admitted by the workmen that there was such a post. The only contention is that it was abolished. There is misconception about it. Only the particular scale of pay and not the post of PA was abolished from 1st January 1975 and another pay scale was substituted in its place. See clause 7 of Annexice 1A of the Settlement (Ext. W-1). The settlement provides the channel of promotion from Junio Stenographer to PA and from PA to Senior PA. Mr. Das was designated (not promoted) as PA on his prayer in terms of the tripartite settlement dated 23rd April, 1975 which was applicable to him and this was done without giving him any monetary benefit or change of scale of pay. It seems that the two posts, namely, that of Senior Stenographer and that of PA were treated as equal or identical for the purpose of channel of promotion from Junior Stenographer and that of PA were treated as equal or identical

grapher to the next higher post which was either Senior Steno, ..., ..., r. A. in terms of the Cadre-Scheme it is post of Senio ..., ..., r. and in terms of the agreement it is the point. ... r. A. W. Chatterjee has not shown to this till bunal that the pay scale of PA is higher than that of Senior Stenographer. The has not shown that there was any monetary benefit or change in the scale of pay of Mr. Das when he was indesignated as PA. Mr. Chatterjee may argued that immediately after promoting Mt. Das as Senior Stenographer his designation was changed into rPA and this showed that the management wanted to promote Mt. Das to the post of PA and this is evider e of mainfield. Suffice to say that I do not find any malafide on the part of the minagement in doing no.

- 8. Next it was contended by Mr. Chatteriee that the cadrescheme should have been settled in consist ton with the Union in view of the terms of settlement dated 23td April, 1975 which provided that the promotion procedure/Cadrescheme will be settled settled settled. It appears to me that the terms of the settlement do not necessarily lead to the conclusion that the cadrescheme must be framed in consettation with the union. The contention is thus disposed of.
- 9. Another contention advanced on bill first the workmen is that Ext. W-6 which is a letter of the management dited 23/25th February, 1979 to the effect that the present cade scheme would be applicable to the Celeuta based employees of BCCL is a departure from the agreement. In my opinion, the contention is not correct. I have already pointed out that the union has taken the saint in their written statement that the cadre scheme was violated by the management and not that the cadre scheme was not applicable to the Calcutta office.
- 10. Lastly it was submitted by Sri Chatterjee that the office notice dited 5th July, 1979 (Ext. M-7) merely mentions that promotion should be made from Junior Stenographer to Senior Stenographer but Mr. Da, was fitted in the post of PA and this was wholly unjustified. In my opinion there is no force in this contention because Mr. Das was promoted to the post of Senior Stenographer and then he was merely designated (not promoted) as PA in terms of the tripartite settlement dated 23rd April, 1975.
- 11. Mr. C. L. Ganguly, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the management argued that promotion was a managerial function and that an employee cannot claim to be promoted as of right and bence there is no scope for any adjudication by this tribunal. The argument is wholy devoid of merit. It is well settled that though promotion/upgradation is the managerial function it must not be on the subjective satisfaction of the management but must be on some objective criteria. The management cannot act arbitrarily. It must act fairly and reasonably even in the matter of promotion. I am of the opinion that the tribunal is fully competent to cancel unjustified promotions whenever it feels that the persons superseded had been so superseded on a count of legal malafide or victimisation or unfair I bour practice. However, in the present case I am not inclined to interfere with the order of promotion for reasons already expressed.
- 12. After having considered the submissions raised on behalf of the parties my award is that the action of the management of the Bharat Coking Coal Limited. Calcurta in promoting Manik Chandra Das, Juvior Stenographer of the office of the Deputy Chief Sales Manager, Bharat Coking Coal Limited as Sen'or Stenographer and in Cesignating him as PA by Orders dated 29th November, 1979 and 29th April, 1980 of the Personnel Manager, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad is legal and justified. The other workmen, therefore, are not entitled to any relief.

M. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer [No. L-19012(56) 80-D.IV(B)]

S. S. MEHT \, Desk Officer Dated : Calcutta, 24th September, 1982.

नई दिल्ली 11 भ्रक्ट्बर, 1982

का० आ० 3706 - केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स बीरवलदास एण्ड कम्पनी. 1998 गली पराठेवाली, चान्दनी चौक, दिल्ली-6, नामक स्थापन से नम्बद्ध निराज्य और कर्नचारियों की बहु-संख्या डम बात पर सहसाँ हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और

प्रकर्षणं उपबंध प्रक्षितियमः , 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागु किए जन्म साहित्

प्रदा बेल्का ( एकार, उबन प्रजिनियन का धारा । की उपधार (1) हारा प्रदान कविनार का प्रवास करते हुं उका अधिनियम के उपबाद एका स्थापन की लाग करती है।

[40 1/F-35019/177/82-7/0 1/9-2)]

## New Delhi, the 11th October, 1982

5.0. 3706.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Birbal Dass and Company, 1998, Gali Paratha Wali, Chandni Chowk, Deshi-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, thatefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(177)/82-PF.II]

### म्डिन्प्र

# नई दिल्दी, 11 ग्रक्टबर 1982

बगर आर 3707 . - आरत् के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खण्ड 3, उप-खण्ड (ii), तारीख 12 पारवरी, 1982 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के श्रम संदालत की श्राधिसूचना संख्या कार शार 792 तारीख 27 फरवरी, 1982 के पुष्ठ 925 की दूसरी पंक्ति में 'सारावतीपुर' के स्थान पर 'सरस्तीपुर' पद, तंसरी पंक्ति में 'सत्तर्भक्का' के स्थान पर 'सात्र्भक्का पदे।

| | 天。 (四-35019 | 177 | 81-年 (1910年 0-2 ]

# CORRIGENDA

# New Delhi, the 11th October, 1982

S.O. 3767.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 792 dated the 12th February, 1982 published at pages 925 and 926 of the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 27th February, 1982, at page 925, line 4, for "Sarawatipur" read "Saraswatipur": line 5, for "Satashankha" read 'Satasankha".

[No. S-35019:177)/81-PF-II]

भार आर 3708 क्लेक्ट्रीय नरकार भी यह १६१० होता होता है कि मैसमें मैडिकल रपूरों, 1445. चोडनी चोक, दिल्ली-६६ नामक स्थापन स सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुगंड्या इस बात पर महमत हा गई हे कि कर्मचार्ग भविष्य निर्धि और प्रकीर्ण उपवंध प्रक्रितियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवंध उनन स्थापन की लागू किए जाने चाहिएं

श्चतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रव्धिनियम की धारः 1 की उपधार (4) वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का अधीग करते हुए उक्त श्रिशिनयम के उपक्षत्र उक्त स्थापन की लागु करनी हैं।

[म्या स्म-३५०।१/( 176)/82-१० एप-2]

S.O. 3708.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Medical Burcau, 1445, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(176)/82-PF.II]

स्रत बन्ध्य रुक्तार का श्रीविनिधा । १० १ का उपारा (4) द्वारा १६न शक्तिया का प्रथाग करते हु उरु। स्रीवित्यम उग्राध उक्त स्थापन वो लंग करती है

[TO THE 1001 11 175 92 flo THE ]

S.O. 3709—Whereas it appears to the Central Covernment that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Multick International (Private) Limited, 205, Depail 92 Nohru Place New Delhi-19, have agreed that the orous is of the Employees' Providert Funds and Miscellancor, Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said as ablishment

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers confured by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No S-35019(178)/82-P F III

काः आ० 3710 बन्द्राय सरकार का यत्र पर्वता हात है कि सैसर्स त्व० डी० पर्वत 1100, मुम्बई टाकीस कपाउन्ड, मल ट (पिन्ना), मुन्बई-61 नामक स्थापन स सम्बद्ध ि गोचव और वस्चारियों की बनुसरया इस बात पर सहसत वा २० है कि कर्मचारी मिचिय निधि और पकीर्ण उपबध्ध अध्यनित्र 1452 (1952 का 19) के उपबध्ध उनत स्थापन को लाग किए जान चाहिए

अन केन्द्रीय गरकार उक्तर मि०नियम र्मा धारा । का उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदन्त णिकता का प्रयाग तस्ते हुए उनन अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लाग कर्न है।

[स॰ एस-35018/59/92 पी० ए**फ**-2]

SO 3710.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known a Messis N D Pa el, 119 Bombay Talkies Compound, Malad (West), Bombay-64, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funcs and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers contented by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S 35018/59/82-PF II]

का० आ० 3711 --कंग्ड्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसन क्ल्मल्टर ग्या, 11 पुराना डाक्यर स्टीट, कावका-1 नासक स्थापन में सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मजारियों की प्रत्याण इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि अमेंचारी भविष्य निध्, ध्रार प्रशीण उपवन्न, ध्रात्तियम, 1952 (1152 का 19) के उपवन्ध उत्त स्थान की लाए जिले चाहिए

अत केन्द्रीय सररार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपप्रास्त (4) इत्तर अदत्त शिवाग का प्रिमेग वर्ग हो। उक्त अधिनियम के उपवन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग करती है।

[#0 [#- 35017/86/82- ずっ ひ年0-2]

3.0 3/11 —Whereas tappea to the Central Geoment that the employer and the majority of the employees in tellition to the establishment known as Messrs Consultant Group 11 Old Post Office Street, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government bereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No 5 35017 /86 82-PF II]

का० आ० 3712 — केन्द्रीय संप्यार या यह प्राप्ति होता है कि मेमर्स ह्विड (इंग्लिया) (पाउनेड) तिमटेड 1/1 तिवर स्टीट कलकला-17 जियके स्तर्गत 7/1- सार्ग्लिय त्यार कत्यान-31- स्थित उसका संप्या ग पौर 67/वा नात्सीन तियी के तिवात 27 कि उसका ति तो है। नात्र स्थान सं सम्बद्धान ते के तिवार प्राप्ति निया की त्यार इस बात पर सहमा ता गई है। के त्यार में भारेष्य निधि है अकीर्ण उपवन्ध स्थितिसन 1 152 (1972 त्या 19) के उपबंध का नायन वा लाग तिर जान चित्रण ,

ात केन्द्रिय सम्बाग उस्त मधितिस्य क धाः । कः उपयारा (४) इत्याप्रदत्त मस्तिया कः प्रशः । हिर्ग उक्त स्राधितिस्य के उपबश्च पत्त स्थापन का लाग करती है।

[स॰ एम-35017/63/82-पा॰ एफ-2]

SO 3712—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Herbid (India) (Private) Limited 1/1, Kimber Street, Calcutta-17 including its Factory at 7/1, Sourin Roy Road, Calcutta-34 and Depot at 67/B, Jaynuddin Mistry Lane, Calcutta 27, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No S-35017/63/82-PF II]

का० आ० 3713 - केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत हो। है कि मैसस गुष्टा चौधरी घोष एड कानी, २-1वी, चौरगी लेंग, करिता-16 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजन और उर्मचारियों की बहु महना इस बान प रहना हो गई है कि कान गर शिवा निर्ध्य निर्धि और प्रकीर्ण उपविध्य प्रिकृति । 1952 (1952 क ) के उपब्रद्ध उका स्थापन को लागु स्थि जान नाहिए

ग्रत केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त ग्रविनियन की धारा 1 का उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रभाग रियों हुए उक्त ग्रविनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन का नागू करनी है।

[सं एम०-35017/61/82-पी एफ-2]

40. 3713.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Gupta Chowdhury Ghosh and Company, 8-1B, Chowringhee Lane, Calcutta-16, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No S-35017/61/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 3714 : -- केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह गतीन हो। है कि मैसमें भित्र काइनेंस एउड प्रार्कीम (प्राइनेंट) विशेष्ट्रेड, 2 इंग्डिया एक्समेंज प्लेस, मानाता-1 नामक स्थानन से सम्बद्ध निप्रोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुनेंघ्या इस बान पर सहमा हो। यह है कि नार्यचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपवस्थ अधिनिधन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उन्ने स्थापन को लोग किए गोर्स वाहिए,

श्रतः केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त श्रश्चितियत को प्राप्त 1 की उपप्रारा (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग कार्त हुए उक्त श्रिधितियम के उपक्षि उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[म॰ एम-35017/62/82-पो॰ एफ-2]

S.O. 3714.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Shiva Finance and Properties (Private) Limited, 2, India Fxchange Place, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Fmployees' Provident Funda and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(62)/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 3715:— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीन होता है कि भैसर्स नीलाम्बर प्लैट फोनर्स ऐसोमिएणन, 28-बी ग्रीक्मिपियर मरणी कलकता-17, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक झौर कर्मवारियों की बहुसंख्या धम बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि धर्मवारी भविष्य निधि छोर प्रकीण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए,

भतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधितियम की बारा 1 की उपवारा (4) धारा प्रवत्त गाविलयों का प्रयोग करने हुए उक्त प्रधितियम के उपवध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं॰ एम-35017/85/82-पी॰ एफ-2]

S.O. 3715.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Neelamber Flat Owners Association, 28-B, Shakespears Sarani, Calcutta-17, have agreed that the provisions of the Finployees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(85)/82 PF.II]

का० आ० 3716: --केब्बीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससे भापटो इक्षिपमेंटस्, 8/4/1, नैशनन लाइब्रेरी एवेन्यू, कलकता-27 जिसके संतर्गत 7, राजा संतोष रोड, फलकता-27 स्थित उसका रिजस्ट्रीकृत कार्यालय भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर महमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भौर प्रकीर्ण उपयन्य प्रधितियन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवध उसन स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए,

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की सर्छ 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने पुर उक्त प्रधिनियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन को लाग करती है।

[सं॰ एन-35017/87/82-पो॰ एक-2]

S.O. 3716.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messre Opto Equipments, 8/4/1 Natural Library Avenue, Calcutta-27 including its Registered Office at 7, Raja Santosh Road, Calcutta-27, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), shoul! be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(87)/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 3717 .--मैसर्स तिमिलताडु स्टील्स, ग्रक्तिम-631004 जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चात् उकत स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कमें वारी भिष्य विधि भीर प्रकीण उपजंभ प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 10) (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चात् उकत भाषिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन कृट दिए जाने के लिए भावेदन किया है;

श्रीर केन्द्रीय यरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मनारी, किसी पृथक श्रीश्वाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किए दिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन जीवन बीमा के क्यां के क्यां के क्यां के कार्य ये कार्य उन फायदों से पश्चिक प्रमुक्त हैं जो कर्मनारी निश्रीप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चास जना स्कीम कहा गया है) के श्रधीन उन्हें अनुकेय हैं,

धनः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त ध्रिधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए और इसमे उपावद अनुसूची में विनिधिष्ट गतों के श्रिधीन रहने हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन धर्ष की श्रिथिध के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छुट वेती है।

# अनुसृची

- 1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, तमिलनाडु को ऐसी विश्वरिपां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखे रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-प्रमय पर निविष्ट करे।
- 2. तिथीजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रमारी का प्रत्येक माम के समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीचर संदाय फरेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उका अधिनियम की छारा 17 की उपधारा (3क) के खंड (क) के प्रजीन समय-समय पर लेकिट करे।
- 3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रणासन में, जिसके प्रकार्यन लेखाओं का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुन किया जाना, बीमा प्रोमियम का सेताय, लेखाओं का प्रंतरण, निरोक्षण प्रमारों का संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहुन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोगका, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुसादित सामृहित बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एत प्रति और जब कभी उनमें मगोधन किया आए, तब उस संगाधन की प्रति तथा कर्मबारियों की बहुमंख्या का भाषा में उमकी मुख्य थातों का अनुबाद, स्थापन के सूचना-पट्ट पर प्रविश्वित करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐता कर्म वारो, जो कर्म वारी भाविष्य निधि का या ज़क्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किती स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सबस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजिक सामू- हिल भीमा स्कीम के सबस्य के रूप में उनका नाम तुरन्त यह करेगा धीर उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन भीमा नियम को संबस्त करेगा।

- 6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मवीरियों की उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिन बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मजारियों का उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से बृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिमसे कि कर्मजारियों के लिए मामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनु-केय हैं।
- 7. सामहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी आत के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यू पर इस स्कीम के प्रधीन संवेय रक्षम उन रक्षम से क्षम है जो कर्मचारी की उस वसा में संवेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्धेशिती को प्रिकार के रूप में दोनों रक्षमों के संवर के बराबर रक्षम का संवाय करेगा।
- 8. मासूहिक बीसा स्कीम के उपबंधों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि भ्रायुक्त, सिन्तनाडु के पूर्व प्रतुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा भीर जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हिन पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो वहां, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि भायुक्त, अपना भनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को भ्रापना धृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का सिक्तयक्त भ्रवस्य हैगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवण, स्थापण के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है मधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के भधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने बाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवंश, नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में भमफल रहता है, भीर पालिसी को व्यनगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, कुट रह की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम संदाय में किए गए किसी कार्यक्रम की दक्षा में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्वेणितियों या विधिक धारिसों की जो यवि यह, छूट न दी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के झन्तर्गत होते, बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायिक्त नियोजक पर होगा।
- 12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के अधीन प्राते बाले किसी सवस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकतार नामनिर्वेधितियों/विधिक धारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संबाय मतारता से और प्रत्येक वधा में धारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के माम विच के भीतर सुनिष्चित करेगा।

[स॰-एस-35014/36/82-पी॰ एफ-2]

S.O. 3717.—Whereas Messrs Tamil Nadu Steels, Arakkonam-631004 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the control Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

# **SCHEDULE**

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Tamil Nadu and maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Groun Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said A.t, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him is a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6 The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Tamil Nadu, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

काा० आ१० 3718 .— मैससं एच० एम० टी० लिमिटेड (कोरपोरेटेड हैड ग्राफिस एंड मार्केटिंग डिवीजन), 36 कितगहाम रोड, बंगलीर-56005 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मवारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त ग्रीधिनियम कहा गया है) की घारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के ग्राधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए ग्रावेदन फिया है;

धीर केन्द्रीय मरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उका स्थापन के कर्मचारी किसी पृथक धिमदाय का प्रीमियम का संदाय किए विना ही भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के धिमीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं घीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से धिक ध्रतुकूल हैं जो कमचारी निक्षेप सहब्रह बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चास उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के ध्रवीन उन्हें भन्त्रीय हैं—

श्रनः केन्द्रीय सरकार जक्त श्रीधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) हारा प्रवत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए और इससे उपाबद भृतुसूची में विनिदिष्ट शतों के प्रश्नीन रहते हुए उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की शबधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के मभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छूट वैती है।

# अनुसूची

उन्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक मधिश तिया ज्ञापुता करिक की ऐसी विजरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा विशेषण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रदान फरेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार समा नम्य गर निर्विष्ट करें।

2. नियोजक ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय मरुकार उन्त प्रक्षिनियम की छारा 17 की उपधारा (क्क) के खण्ड (क्क) के अञ्चीन समध-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

- 3' सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुन किया जाना, श्रीमा प्रीस्थित का संवाय, लेखाओं का अंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संवाथ आदि भी है होने बाले सभी व्ययों का बहुन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4: मिथोजक केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा भनुमं।दित सामृहिक बीमा स्वीम के नियमों की एक प्रति धौर जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाए तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बीतों का धनुवाद स्थापन के सुबना-पट्ट पर प्रशीन करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी मिवब्य निधि का या उक्त शिमिन्यम के अधीन खूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सबस्य है उनके स्थापन में नियोजिय किया जाता है तो नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सबस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त वर्ज करेगा और उसकी बांबन प्रावश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को सबस्त करेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मेचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो नियोजक मामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के भवीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में ममुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए मामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के भ्रधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उस फायदों से भ्रधिक धमुक्ल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के भ्रधीन भनु-क्रेय हैं।
- ग. सामूहिक बीसा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि शिसी कर्मचारी की मृत्य पर इस स्कीम के मधीन संवेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जी कर्मचारी को जुन बगा में संवेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के मधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्वेशिती की प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों कारो के मंतर के कराबर रकम का संवाय करेगा।

- 8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संगोधन, प्रादेशिक भाविष्य निश्चि आयुक्त, कर्नाटक के पूर्व भनुमोदन के बिना नहीं (क.). जाए। और जहां किसी संगोधन से कर्मजारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो बहा, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निक्ति आयुक्त, अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मजारियों को इपना दुन्हिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर वेगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणबंधा, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, घारतीय जीवन बीमा जिगम की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुना है मतीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के घंधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने बाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती हैं।
- 10. यदि किसी कारण बंग, नियोजक उस नियन तारीख के सीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संवाय करने में असफल रहता है, और पालिसी को व्यापगत हो जाने दिया जाना है तो, खूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नाभनिर्देशितियों था विद्यक वारिसों को जो यदि यह, सूट न दी गई होती तो उनत स्वीभ के मन्तर्गत होते, बीमा फाय को के संदाय का उत्तरदायित नियोजक पर होगा।
- 12. उकत स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के प्रधीन माने बाले किसी सवस्य की मृत्यू होने पर उसके हकदार नामनिर्देशितियों/विधिक बारिमों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परना से धौर प्रस्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के नाम दिन के जीवर सुनिक्चित करेगा।

[सं०एम०-35014/46/82-वी॰एफ॰ II

S.O. 3718.—Whereas Messrs H.M.T. Limited (Corporated Head Office and Marketing Division) 36, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-560052 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1932) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance S.heme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

# **SCHEDULE**

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Karnataka and maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.

- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Karnataka and where any unendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of Iudia, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014(46)/82-PF-11]

का० ग्रा० 3719-- मैंसर्स एच०एम०टी० लिमिटेड, मगीन टूल डिबीजन, हैदराबाय-500854, (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चाल् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) में कर्मबारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपवच्च अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चाल् उश्च अधिनियम कहा गया है) की बारा 17 की उपवारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है;

धौर केन्द्रीय भरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उकत स्थापन के कर्मकारी, किसी पृथक मिषवाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में कायवे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मकारियों के लिए ये कायवे उस कायवों से प्रधिक सनुकूल हैं जो सम्मारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसने पश्चास् उनत स्कीम कहा गया है) के धर्वात उन्हें धनुत्रोय हैं;

मतः केम्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त भिवित्यम की धारा 17 की उपमारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवत्त गवितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपावद भनुसूर्या में विनिचित्र मतों के भवीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की भविध के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छुट देती है।

# अनुसूची

- 1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजन प्रावेशिक मिविष्य निश्चिष्ठ।युक्त, भांभ्र प्रदेश को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी मुविधाएं प्रवान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, सभय-समय पर निष्ठिट करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक माम की ममाध्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संबाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रक्षिनियम की छात्रा 17 की उपद्वारा (3क) के खंड (क) के प्रक्रीन समय-समय पर निविद्ध करे।
- 3. सामूहिक मीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखामों का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखामों का मंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों का संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी क्यायों का बहुन नियोजक हारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा यथा प्रमुपोदित मामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, घीर जब कभी उनमें संगोधन किया जाए, तब उस संगोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुतंबया की भाषा में उनकी मुख्य बातों का प्रमुवाद, स्थापन के सुचना-पट्ट पर प्रविधित करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मकारी, जो कर्मकारी शिंकप निश्चिका या उक्त मिश्चित्यम के श्रवीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की शिंकप निश्चिका पहले ही सबस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजिक, सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के सबस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त वर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक श्रीमिश्म भारतीश जोतर बामा निरास को संबक्त करेगा।
- 6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के मधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाले हैं तो, नियोजक सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के मधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित कप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के मधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से प्रधिक मन्कूल हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के मधीन मन्क्रेय हैं।
- 7. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी वात के होते हुए भी, याँव किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेप रकत उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेप होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विशिक्त वारिस/नार्भाविधिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में बोनों रकमों के अंतर के बराबर रकम का संवाध करेगा।
- 8. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रावेशिक प्रविच्य निधि धायुक्त, सांध्र प्रवेश के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा घीर जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मधारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो वहां प्रावेशिक भावच्य निधि धायुक्त, धपना धनुमोदन देन से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को धपना वृद्धिकीण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त ध्रथसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवा, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले भ्रपना चुका है के प्रधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती हैं।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवंश, नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीमा निगम निगम नियत करे प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में

धसफान रहता है, धौर पालिसी को अध्ययत हो जाने विया जाता है सो, छट रह की जा सकती है।

- 11 नियोजक द्वारा श्रीमिथम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दिशा में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्देशितियों या विश्विक वारिसो को जो यदि यह, खूट न दी गई होती नो उक्त स्कीम के अल्तर्गत होते, बीमा फायदों के सदाय का उत्तरदा। यत्व नियोजक पर होगा।
- 12 उनन स्थापन के सबध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के प्रधीन प्रामें बाले किसी सबस्य की मृत्य हाने पर उसके हनशर नामानिवेंशि। तयो/विधिक बारिसो को बीमाकृत रक्तम का सबाय तत्परता संग्रीर प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रक्तम प्राप्त होने के सात दिन के भीवर सुनिक्चित करेगा।

(स॰ एस॰-35014/47/82-नी॰एफ॰-II)

SO. 3719.—Whereas Messis HMT Limited, Machine Tool Division Hyderabad 500854 valueinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme),

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Cential Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years,

## SCHEDULE

- 1 The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh and maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2 The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month
- 3 All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc shall be borne by the employer
- 4 The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance S heme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employee Provident Fund of the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a mer, ber of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India
- 6 The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme

- 7 Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal hen/nominee of the employee as compensation
- 8 No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Andhra Pradesh, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view
- 9 Where, for any reason, the employees of the establish ment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any mauner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled
- 10 Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled
- 11 In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer
- 12 Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heus entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India

[No S-35014(47)/82-PF II]

का० मा० 3720—मैससं गेस्टकीय विश्वियम्स लिभिडेड, सांके प्रेसिंग हिबीलम, राजाजी नगर, बंगलीर-560010 (कर्नाटक/2190), (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मभारी सविष्य निश्चि भीर प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19), (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त प्रधिनियम कहा गया है) की घारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के घडीन छूट विए जाने के सिए प्रावेदन किया है,

धौर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मजारी, किसी पृथक प्रभिवाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामृहिंक बीमा स्कीम के स्थीन जीवन बीमा निगम की सामृहिंक बीमा स्कीम के स्थीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायवों से प्रधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुक्षेय हैं,

भत केन्द्रीय सरकार, उकत प्रधितियम की घारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपध्वत प्रमुखी में धिर्तिदिष्ट शर्ती के अधीन रहते हुए उकत स्थापन को सीन वर्ष की अधिव के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबग्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट वेती है।

## अनुसूची

- 1 उनत स्थापन के संबंध में मिथोजक प्रविशिक भविष्य निश्चि प्रायुक्त, कर्माटक को ऐसी विवरणियां भैजेंगा बीर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा सवा मिरीक्रण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाए प्रधान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय ममय पर विविध्ट करे।
- 2 नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाध्यि के 15 दिन के सीतर संवाय करेगा जो केस्बीय सरकार, उनत स्वितियक की सारी 17 की उपद्वारा (3%) के खंड (क) के स्वितित समय समय पर निर्विद्ध करे।
- 3 सम्मृहिक बीमा स्कीभ के प्रशासन में, जिसके धन्तर्गत लेखाधी का रका जाना विवाहिणयी का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बोमा प्रीभितम का संवाय

लेखाओं का ग्रंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों का संवाय श्रावि भी है, होने वाले सभी अपयों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया आएगा।

- 4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा यया अनुमोदित मामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमो की एक प्रति, ग्रीर जब कभी उनमें संबोधन किया जाए, तब उस संबोधन की प्रति सथा कर्मनारियो की बहुसंख्या की सावा में उसकी मुख्य बालो का प्रभुवाद, स्थापन के सुवना-नट्ट पर प्रविधित करेगा।
- 5. यांव कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी, जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त ब्रांब्रिनियम के मधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता हैतो, नियोजक, सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त वर्ज करेगा मौर उसकी बाबत बावद्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को सवस्त करेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्कीम के घ्रधीन कर्मकारियों की उपबच्च फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामृष्टिक बीमा स्कीम के घ्रधीन कर्मजारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुखित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मजारियों के लिए सामृष्टिक बीमा स्कीम के घ्रधीन उपवच्ध फायदे उन फायदों से घ्रधिक धनुकुल हों, जो उनन स्कीम के घ्रधीन प्रमुक्त हों।
- 7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यति किसी कर्मधारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के घडीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मधारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उकत स्कीम के घडीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मधारी के विधिक विधिक विधिक्त तो साम को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के घंतर के बराबर रकत का तंत्रय करेगा।
- 8. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निश्चि मायुक्त, फर्नाटक के पूर्व भनुमंदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा भीर जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हिन पर प्रतिश्च प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो वहां, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि भायुक्त, प्रपना अनुमोवन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों का भवना दृष्टिकाण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तिसुक्त भवसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसो कारणव्या, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने बाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती हैं।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवण, नियोज ह उम 1711 नारीख के भीनर, जा भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियन करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय भारने से प्रसफ्त रहता है, भीर पालिसी को व्यवनन हो जाने विया जाता है तो, कृट रह भी जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजन द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्देशिनियों या विधिन वारिसों को जो यदि यह, छूट न दी गई होती तो उन्त स्कीम के प्रन्तर्गत होते, बीमा कायवों के संवाय का उत्तरवायित्व नियोजन पर होगा।
- 12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियाजक, इस स्कीम के प्रश्नेत आति काले किसी सवस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हक्षवार नामनिर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संबाध तत्परता से धौर प्रत्येक बना, में भारतीय जीवन बीम, निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के नान दिन के भीतर मुनिश्चित करेगा।

[सं०एम०-35014/72/82-पो०एफ०-2]

S.O. 3720.—Whereas Messrs Guest Keen Williams Limited, Sankey Pressing Division, Rajaji Nagar, Bangalore-560010 (KN/2-90), (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of the section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

#### SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Karnataka and maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transter of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Karnataka, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of

assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014(72)/82-PF. II]

का ॰ आ ॰ 3721. -- मैसर्स भाउवर्न स्विवाधिय सिमिटेड, पोस्ट बैग नं ॰ 114, भावादी रोड़, भन्बेट्र इंडिस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, मदास-600058 (टीएन/3469), (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मवारी भविष्य मिधि भीर प्रकीर्ण उपवच्छ मधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त भिक्षित्यम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के भावीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए भावेदन किया है,

ग्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उनन स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पूचक भिजवाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के क्य में फायदे उठा रहे हैं ग्रीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से भिषक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इनमें इसके पश्चात उन्न स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें मनुजेय हैं;

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त मधिनियम की घारा 17की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवस्त मक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए और इससे उपावद अनुसूची में विनिर्षिष्ट मतों के मधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की मबिध के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्गन से छूट वेती है।

# अनुसूची

- उन्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रावेशिक मिविज्य निधि भ्रासुक्त, समिलनाषु को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रवान करेगा जो केखीय सरकार, समय समय पर निविष्ट करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रधारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3क) के धंड (क) के धंडीन समय समय पर निर्विष्ट करे।
- 3. सामूहिक बीमा एकीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके प्रक्रांत लेखामों का एखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियन का संवाय, लेखामों का मंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रनारों का संवाय भावि भी है, होने वाले सभी क्यायों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोजक, केल्बीय सरकार द्वारा यथा प्रतुमोदित मामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, भीरजब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाए, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति सया कर्मवारियों की स्टुर्सब्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का मनुबाद, स्थापन के सूजना-पट्ट पर प्रविशत करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी, जो कर्मचारी मिवब्य निश्चि का या उक्त सिब्सियम के भद्यीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निश्चि का पहले ही सवस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियो जक, सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सवस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरस्त वर्ज करेगा और उसकी कावत सावस्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदरन करेगा।
- 6. यदि उनत स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियीजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्म-

चारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के मधीन उपलब्ध फायदे अन फायदों से मधिफ मनुकूल हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के मधीन धनुबैय है।

- 7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यू पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रफम उस रक्तम से कम हैजी कर्मचारी की उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता थी, नियोजक कर्मचारी के बिकित वारिस/नामनिर्वेषिती की प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रक्तमों में अतर के बरावर रक्तन का संदाय करेगा।
- 8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपयन्थों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि भायुक्त, तिमलनाडु के पूर्व भनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा भीर जहां किसी संशोधनों से कर्मजारियों के हिन पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संमावना हो वहां, प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि भायुक्त, भपना मनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मजारियों का भपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युविदयुक्त कर भवरसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवंश, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले घपना चुका है धवीन नही रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के धवीन कर्मचारियों का प्राप्त होने वाले कायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवान, नियोजन उस नियत तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है, प्रीर पालिसी को व्यवगत हो जाने दिन जाना है तो, छूट रह की आ सनातो है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्देशियो या विधिक वारिसों की जो यदि यह, छूट न दी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के मन्तर्गत होते, बीमा कायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।
- 12. उनत स्थापन के संबंध में नियाजक, इस स्कीम के अधीन माने वाले किसी सबस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकवार नामनिर्वेतिर्मियों/किफिक बारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संवाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दया में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम् प्राप्त होने के नात दिन के भीतर सुनिर्विषत करेगा।

[सं०एस०-35014/73/82-पी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 3721.—Whereas Messrs Southern Switchgear Limited, P.B. No. 114, Avadi Road, Ambattur Industrial Estate, Madras-600058. (TN/3469) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under subsection (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

## SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Tamil Nadu, maintain such accounts

and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time

- 2 The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month
- 3 All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc shall be borne by the employer
- 4 The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees
- 5 Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India
- 6 The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme
- 7 Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employee shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation
- 8 No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Tamil Nadu, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view
- 9 Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are redu ed in any manner the exemption shall be hable to be cancelled
- 10 Where for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled
- 11 In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer
- 12 Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India

[No S-35014(73)/82-PF II]

भार आ व 3722 -- मैसर्स श्री राम मिल्स लिमिटेड (एम०टी०ए० डिविजन) अधना (जिला सरत) (जी०के०/4495-ए०), (जिसे इसमे इसके पश्चात, उकत स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त प्रधिनियम कहा। गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के प्रधीन छूट विए जाने के लिए ग्रावेदन किया है,

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक ग्राभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप मे फायदे उठा रहे हैं भीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से ग्राधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1975 (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुक्रेय है,

ग्रत केलीय मरकार, उन्त प्रिवित्यम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) बारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए ग्रौर इससे उपाबद्ध प्रनुसूची में किनिविद्ध शतों के ग्रधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की श्रवधि के लिए उन्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती हैं।

## अनुसुची

- 1 जनत स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि भायुक्त, ग्रहमवाबाद को ऐसी विवरणियों भेजेंगा भीर ऐसे लेखा रखेंगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निविद्ध करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संवाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनिधम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3क) के खड़ (क) के प्रधीन समय समय पर निर्विष्ट करे।
- 3 सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके ग्रन्तर्गत लेखाग्नो का रखा जाना विवरणियो का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का सदाय, लेखाग्नो का ग्रतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारो का सदाय भ्रादि भी है, होने वाले सभी क्ययो का बहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4 नियोजक केल्रीय सरकार द्वारा यथा धनुमोदिन सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमो की एक प्रति, धौर जब कभी उनमें सगोधन किया जाए, तब इस संगोधन की प्रति नया कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मृक्य बातो का अनुवाद, स्थापन के सुखना-पट्ट पर प्रवर्षित करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मेचारी, जो कर्मेचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त प्रधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सवस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियाजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक, सामूहिक धीमा स्कीम के सवस्य के रूप में उसका नाम पुरस्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी कावत स्रावश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को सदस्त करेगा।
- 6 यदि उनन स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन कायदों से प्रधिक प्रनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन प्रनुजेय हैं।
- 7 सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मकारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के प्रधीन सदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस देशा में संदेय होती जब वह उकत स्कीम के प्रधीन होतातो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/माम-निर्वेणिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के जन्तर के कराबर रकम का सदाय करेगा।
- 8 सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक मविष्य निधि शायुक्त ग्रहमवाबाद के पूर्व धनुमोदन के जिन। नहीं किया जाएसा भीर जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रमाव पड़ने की

संमावना हो वहां प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, भपना धनुमोवन देने से पूर्व कर्मेचारियों को ग्रयना वृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त ग्रयसर देगा।

- 9. यदि किसी कारणवण, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले ग्रपना चुका है ग्रधीन महीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के अबीन कर्मचारियों की प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं; सो यह छूट रह की जा सकती हैं।
- 19. यदि किसी कारणवर्षा, नियोजक उम नियस तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन विमानियम नियस करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है, भीर पालिसी की व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है ती, छूट रह की जा सकती हैं।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संवाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की वंशा में, उस मृत सबस्यों के नामनिर्वेशितियों या विधिक वारिसों की जो यवि यह, छूट न वी गई होती तो जनत स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते, बीमा फायवों के संवाय का उस्तरवायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12.जनत स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के अधीन आने बाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नामनिर्वेशितियों/विधिक शारिसों को नीमाकृत रकम का संवाय नत्परता से और प्रत्येक वशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के सात विन के भीतर सनिश्चित करेगा।

[स॰एस॰-35014/106/82-पी एफ-2]

**5.0.** 3722.—Whereas Messrs Shree Ram Mills Limited, (M.T.A. Division), Udhna (District Surat) (GI/4495-A) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinaster referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

## **SCHEDULE**

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Ahmedabad maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately eurol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nomince of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Ahmadabad, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer falls to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014(106)/82-PF. II]

का ० आ ० 37 23. -- मैसर्स इंडस्ट्रियल मशीनरी मैन्यूफैक्चरेज प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, घहमदाबाद न्यू काटन मिल्स के निकट, कार्यालय ग्रमरायवारी रोड, घहमदाबाद-8 (जी०जै०/67), (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चान उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कमें बारी भविष्य निधि ग्रौर प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध श्रक्षित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चान उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की घारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के ग्रधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए ग्रावेदन किया है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाघान हो गया है कि जक्त स्थापन के कर्मनारी, किसी पृषक भ्रभिवाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा के रूप में कामवे जठा रहे हैं भीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये कायवे उन कायदों से भ्रधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुजेय है;

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उसन मधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवस्त प्राक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए भौर इससे उपाक्षक्ष भ्रनुसूची से विनिर्दिष्ट प्राती के स्रधीन रहते हुए, उसन स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की मनिध के लिए उसन स्कीम के सभी उपजन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

# अभूजुज्ज

उनन स्थापन के सबंध में नियाशक प्रादेणिया भविष्य निशि ध्रायुक्त, प्रहमभावाद को ऐसी विवर्णिया भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेणा तथा तिरीक्षण के निष्णेणिया सुविधाण प्रवान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय संस्कार अधन तमा पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

- 3. नियोगक, ऐस निरीक्षण प्रभारो का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीनर सदाय करणा जो केन्द्रीय सरवार, उस्त प्रक्षिति के की धारा 17 की उपधारा (अक) के खड़ (क) के प्रधीन समय समय पर निरिष्ट करें।
- 3 सामृहिन बीमा स्कीम के प्रणासन में, जिसने अन्तर्गत लेखाया का रखा पाना विश्व णियों ना प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रामियम के सदाय, लेखाया का प्रोतरण, निराक्षण प्रशासिक का सदाय शावि भी है. हाने नाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियानक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- ा नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार हारा यथा अनुमादिन सामृहिक बीमा स्थाग के नियमों की एक प्रति, और अब ककी उनमें सर्णातन एक जाए तब उस राणीधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुरख्या था भाषा में उरावा सुक्य बाना का यानुबाद स्थापन के सुस्वता-पट्ट पर प्रवर्णित करे।
- 5 यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी, जो नर्मचारी भिष्ठिय निधि का या उक्त आधिनियम के अधीन छुट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन या भित्रप निधि का गहले ही सबस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियाजित किया। भात। है ता नियोजिक, सामूहिक बीम, स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरस्त दर्ज करेगा। और उसकी बाग्रप भावण्यक प्रीसियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदन्त करेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मकारियों की उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं ती, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचान्यि। की उपलब्ध फायदी में समुचित कप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचानियों के निए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन उपलब्ध फायद उन फायदों से प्रधिक प्रनृकृत हों, जा उनत स्कीम के प्रधीन प्रनृजेत हैं।
- 7. सामूहिण नीया स्कीम में फिसी बात के होते हुए, भी, यदि किसी क्रमंत्रारी की मृत्य पर इस स्कीम के अयोज सदेय रकम उस एकम में कम है जा कर्मकारी की उन दका में सदय होती अब वह उस्त स्कीम के अक्षीत होता तो, तियोजक कर्मच ने के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्देणिनी का प्रियिकर के रूप में दोंनी परिमो के अपार के बर-अर रक्षार का मदाय अरेगा।
- 8. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीत के उपनत्था में काई भी संगोधत, प्रावेशिक जिया लिख काय्मत, घष्टनदाश्राद के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संगोधत ते कमें गिरियों के हिन पर प्रतिकृष प्रभाव पक्षते की सभावता हो ते तो, प्रावेशिक शिवष्य निधि भायुक्त, अपना अनुमोदन देन से पूर्व कर्मभाज्या की भ्रवता दृष्टिकोण स्मन्द करने का गुक्तियुक्त अस्तर वेगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणविण, स्थापन के कर्मधानी, भारतीय तीयन बीमा निगम की उस सामूहिक जीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रयान कृत हैं अधीन वर्जी रह जाने हैं या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मबारिया की प्राप्त होने असे फायदें किसी जानि ए कम हो जाने हैं, तो यह छूट र की जा भारती है।
- 10 यदि किसी क्षारणबंधा, सियाजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जा भारतीय जीवन बोमा नि । तान कर प्रीमियस का संदर्ध करते हैं अस्पात रहता है, और पालिकों की स्पारत हैं जाते दिया जाता है ता छूट रह की जा मतती हैं।
- 11. नियाजनः द्वारा प्रीमियम के सदाय में किए भए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में, उन मृत सदस्या के नागनिर्देणितियों या विधिक वारिसो 850 GI/82-9

कों जो यदि यह, छूट न दी गई होनों ते। उक्त रही है प्रतात होने । बोमा फारोबों के सदाय का उक्तरदायित्व विशोजक पर होगा।

12 जनत स्थापन के सबब में िराजक, इस घटान है अवार आति बाले किया प्रवरण गर्म नृत्य हैं। पा उस है एक दिन मार्गिशियों/ विश्वित वारिला का बीमाकृत एक गर्म के सवार गर्निया में और प्रदेश देशों में सार्गिया जीवन बीमा निवस में जीना है। एक प्रवर्ग हैं के साल दिन के भीका मुनिविचन करका।

[स॰ एस॰ 35014/114/82 -पी॰ एक-4]

8.0. 3723. —Whereas Messrs Industrial Machinery Manufacturers Pvt. 1 mited, Near Ahmadabad New Cotton Mills, Office Amraiwadi Road, Ahmadabad-8 (GJ/67), theremafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied to exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscerlaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act)

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said enablishment are, without making any separate contribution of payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

# SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner. Ahmadabad, maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Covernment may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section '3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the GR up Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transf.! of accounts payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be bolder by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employee Provident Fund of the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately en of him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Seleme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits av. lable under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been cove ed under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal near/nominee of the employee as compensation
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident bund Commissioner, Ahmad bad, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

INo. S-35014(114)/82-PF-III

का० आ० 3724.—मैंसर्स पाडिचेरी डिस्टिलरीज लिमिटेड, पांडिचेरी 605001) पी० मी० /26) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उकत स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उन्बन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त प्रधिनियम कहा गया है।) की धारों 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए अविदन किया है,

श्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हा गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कमेंचारी, फिसी पृथक अभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जोवन बामा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्थीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के स्व में फायदे उठा रहे हैं श्रीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों ने अधिक अनुकृष हैं जो कर्मचारी निश्चेप सहब्रद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इममें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें भनुत्रीय हैं:

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रधिनियम को धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवत्त भिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्वियन शर्तों के श्रधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की श्रविद्य के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन में छूट देती है।

## अनुसूची

- उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक मिविष्य निधि भ्रायुक्त, तमिलनाडु को ऐसी विवरणिया भेजेगा भीर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी मुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निविष्ट करे;
- तियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभाग का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर सदाय करेगा जा केन्द्रोप मरकार, उन्त प्रधिनियम की

- धारा 17 को उपधारा (3क) के खण्ड(क) के अधीन समयन्समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।
- उसम्हित बीमा क्षीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके प्रत्यंत लेखाओं का रखा जाना वियरणिया का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का सल्वाय, लेखाओं का प्रंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारी का सवाय प्राविभी है, होन वाले सभी व्यया का वंद्रन नियाजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. तियाजर, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा यथा प्रनुमादित सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, और जब कभी उनमें सम्राधन किया जाए, तब उस सम्राधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मृख्य बातों का प्रमुवाद, स्थापन के सूभना पट्ट पर प्रदक्षित करेगा।
- 5 यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारो, जो कर्मचारो भविष्य निधि का या उक्त प्रधिनियम के प्रधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले हो सबस्य है, उसके स्थापन य नियोजित किया गाता है तो, नियोजक, मामूहिक बीमा स्क्रीम के सबस्य के रूप में उसका नाम नुरस्त एवं करेगा ग्रीर उसकी बाबन ग्रायण्यक प्रोमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सक्षत करेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायद बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अक्षीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने को ब्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए गामूहिक बोमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों में अधिक अनुकूष ही, जी उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुक्षेय हैं।
- 7. सामूहिक बोमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संवेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में सदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस / नामनिर्देशिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमो के अगर के बरुखर रकम का गंदार करेगा।
- 8. साम्हिक बीमः स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संगोंधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, तमिलनाडु के पूर्व प्रनुभोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा भ्रीर जहां किसी संगोधन से कर्मचारियों के हिन पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की प्रभावना हो बहा, प्रादेशिक सविष्य निधि प्रायुका अपना मनुमोदन की से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को स्रगना द्विरकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।
- 9 सांध किसी कारणवश, स्थापन के कर्मनारी, भारतीय जीवन बोमा नियन की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रपत्ता चुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के प्रधीन वर्मचारियों की प्राप्त होने बाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जा। हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि, किसी कारणवण, नियाजक उस नियन नारीख के भीतर, जा भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम भियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है, और पालिमी को व्यक्तित हो जाने विया जाता है तो छूट रहू की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रोमियम के संवाय भे किए गए, किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा मे, उन मृत सदस्थी के नामनिर्देशिनिया या विधिक बारिसों की जो यदि यह, छूट न दी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के प्रस्तर्गत होने, बीमा फायदों के मदाय का उत्तरवायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के भवत में निर्याजन, इस स्कीम के प्रतीन धान वाले किसी सदस्य को भून हुने पर उसके हकदार नामनिर्देशितियों विधिक वर्तरसो का बीमाकृत रकम का सदाय तत्परना से फ्रीर प्रत्येक खणा में भारतीय अध्यत बीमा निराम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के के मान दिन के भीतर मुनिध्चित करेगा।

[स॰ एस॰ 35014/123 /82 पर एफ-2)]

. - -----

S.O. 3724.—Whereas Messrs Pondicherry Distilleries Limited, Pondicherry-605001 (PC/26), thereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under subsection (2A) of section 17 of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter reterred to as the said Scheme):

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

#### SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Tamil Nadu, maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the 850 (x1/82-10)

- Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Tamil Nadu and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is hable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payament of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nomnees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurence Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014(123)/82-PF-II]

का० आ० 3725.—मैससं सेन्त्री सीमेन्ट इ।कथर बैकुल-493-116, रेल स्टेशन टिल्डा, जिला रायपुर (मध्य प्रदेश) (एम० पी०/2757) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चान उक्त स्थापन नाहा गया है) वे कर्मनारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रतीर्ण उपवन्ध भिवित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चान उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की घारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए अन्वेदन किया है;

श्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन, कर्मनारी, किसी प्यक अभिदाय य. प्रीमियम का संदाय किए किता ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम का सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उटा रहे हैं, और ऐसे कर्मनारियों के लिए ये फायदे उस फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल है जो कर्मनारी निशेष सहस्रय बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) अधीन उन्हें अनुकेष हैं;

भन केन्द्रीय सन्धार, उक्त भ्रिष्ठिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रदस्त पाक्षितयों का प्रयोग करने हुए भीर इससे उपाबद्ध प्रतृसूखी में विनिर्दिग्ट गर्नों के भ्रश्चीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की भ्रश्चि के लिए उका स्कीम के सभी उपयंधों के प्रवर्तन से छुट देती है।

# अनुसूची

- 1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, मध्य प्रदेश को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा घौर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा एथा निरीक्षण के लिए सनी सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय घरकार, समय समय पर निविष्ट करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रमारों का प्रश्वेक मास की समानि के 15दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की छारा 17 की उरधारा (3क) के खण्ड (क) के प्रधीत समय सभय पर निदिष्ट करें।
- 3. सामूहिक बीमा स्होम के प्रणासन में, जिस है फ्रन्यांत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुन किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का धनरण, निरीक्षण प्रभागों का संदाय घादि भी है, होने वाले सभी द्ययों का बहुन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोजक, लेखीय सरकार द्वारा यथा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, श्रीर जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाए, तब उम संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद, स्थापन के सूचन पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

- 5 यदि काई ऐसा कर्नजारी, जो कर्नवारी भविष्य निधि का या उन्न अधिन्यम के अधीन छूट प्रान्त किसी स्थापन की अधिक्य निधि का पहले ही सबस्य है, उसके स्थापन मे नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक, सामृहिक बीमा स्तीम के मदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरक्त दर्ज ग और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की संबद्ध करेगा।
- 6. यदि उक्त स्कोम के भ्रयोत कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे सकृष्ण, जाते हैं तो, निरोजक सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के भ्रयोत कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदों में सनुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के भ्रधीत उपलब्ध फायदे उन कायदों से भ्रधिक अनुकूल हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन भन्नोय हैं।
- 7. शामृहिक सीमा स्कीम में िकसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम वे ध्रधीन संबंध रकम उस रकम से अस है जो कर्मचारी को उस दणा में संदेय होती अस वह उक्त स्कीम के ध्रधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिवीधती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के ध्रतर के बनावर रकम का संदाय करेगा।
- 8. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, सध्य प्रदेश के पूर्व प्रनुमोदन के भिना नहीं किया जाएगा बाँर जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो वहा, प्रादेशिक भाषण्य निधि प्रायुक्त, प्रपना प्रमुखेन देने से दर्व करने का यक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवम, स्थापन के कर्मजारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रपत्ता चुका है ब्राधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो आते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवश, नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन वीम. निगम नियत करें, प्रीमियम का संवाय करने में असफल रहता है, भीर पालिसी की व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छट रह की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के सदाय में किए गए किसी व्यतिकम की दला में, उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों की जो यदि यह, छूट न दी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के भ्रान्तर्गत होते, बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा ।
- 12. उकत स्थापन। के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के प्रधीन आने बाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकवार नामनिर्देशितियों/विधिक बारिसों को बीमाकु, रकम का संदाय तत्परता से ब्रीर प्रत्येक दणा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के सान दिन के भीतर सुनिधिबन करेगा ।

[सं० एस-3501 4/124/82/पी०एफ :]

S.O. 3725.—Whereas Messrs Century Cement, P.O. Bai-kunth-493-116, Railway Station Tilda, Dist. Raipur (Madhya Pradesh) (MP/2757) thereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life

Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

## SCHEDULL

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Madhya Pradesh maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nomince of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Madhya Pradesh and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the esablishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nomince/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014(124)/82-PF.]J]

का०आ० 3726.— मैसर्ग इंडियन श्राक्तीशन लिमिटेड, 66, नजफगढ, रोड़ पोस्ट बाक्स सं० 6203, नई दिल्ली-110015 (डी॰ एल०/128), (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चान् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर प्रकीणं उपबन्ध श्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चान् उक्त श्रधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के श्रधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए श्रावेदन किया है,

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्न स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृष्ठक अभिवाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किए बिना ही भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप मे फायबे उठा रहे है और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायबे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमे इसके पश्चाल उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुजेय हैं

भन' केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधितियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए ग्रीर इससे उपाबद्ध भनुसूची में वितिर्दिष्ट शर्तों के घधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की भवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

# अनुसूची

- 1 उक्त स्थापन के सबध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि ग्रायुक्त, विल्लं/ को ऐसी विधरणियां भेजेगा ग्रीर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरोक्षण के लिए ऐसी मुदिधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।
- 2 नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक माम की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के मीनर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त ग्रक्षिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3क) के खण्ड (क) के ग्राधीन समय समय पर निदिष्ट करें।
- 3 सामूहिक बीमा स्क्रीम के प्रणासन में, जिसके प्रन्तर्गत लेखायों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तृत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का सदाय, लेखायों का प्रंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों का संदाय प्रादि भी है, हीने बाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4 नियंजिक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा यथा प्रमुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, ग्रीर जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया आए, सब उस सलोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बासों का श्रानुवाद, स्थापन के सुचना-पट्टूपर प्रवाशिक करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी, जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त भ्रधिनियम के भ्रयीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले हो सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक भामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका न.म तुरन्त दर्ज करेंग। भ्रीर उसकी बावत भ्रावण्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन कीमा निगम को संदक्त करेंगा।
- 6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मकारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, तियोजक सामूहिक बोमा स्कीम के अर्थान कर्मकारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में सुमूचित रूप में वृद्धि की जाते की ब्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के अर्थान उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों में अधिक अनुकूल हों, भो उक्त स्कीम के अर्थान अनुजेय हैं।

- 7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसीं कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के प्रधीन सबेय रकम उमरकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के भधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्देशिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोतों रकमों के अन्तर, के बराबर रक्षम का संदाय करेगा।
- 8. सामूहिक बीमा स्त्रीम के उपबन्धों में काई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भिविष्य निधि ग्रायुक्त, दिल्ली के पूर्व ग्रनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएँगा ग्रीर जहां किसी संशोधन के कर्मचारियों के हिन पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संशोधना हो बहाँ, प्रावेशिक भिविष्य निधि ग्रायुक्त, ग्रापन ग्रापन निमानित देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को ग्रापना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त भवसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवश, स्थापना के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रपत्ता चुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्रधन होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम ही जाते हैं; तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि किसी कराणवण, नियोजक उस नियन तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निश्म नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में ग्रसकल रहना है, भौर पालिसी को व्यपगत हो जाने दिया आता है तो छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वार प्रीमियम के संव.य में किए गए किस व्यक्तिकम की देशा में, उन भून सवस्यों के नामनिर्देशिनियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह, छूट न दें. गई होती को उक्त स्कीम के भ्रन्तर्गत होते, र्यमा फायवी के संदय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होंगा।
- 12. जनत स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के प्रधीन प्राने बले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नामनिर्वेशितियो/विधिक वारिमो को बीमाकृत रकम का संबाय तत्पतरता से भीर प्रत्येक वशा में भारतीय जीवन बीम नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के सात दिन के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[म॰ एस-35014/136/82-पी॰ एफ-2]

S.O. 3726.—Whereas Messrs Indian Oxygen Limited, 66 Najafgath Road, P.B. 6203, New Delhi-110015 (DL/128), (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

## SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner. Delhi, maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, summission or returns, payment of insurince premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules or the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately entol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premlum in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Delhi, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as alrealy adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heir, of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nomince/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S. 35014(136)/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 3727:--मैसर्म त्यू शोररांक मिल्म ्र(डिविजंन ग्राफ मफतनाल इंडस्ट्रीज निमिटेड) ग्रसवी रोड, पोस्ट बोक्स स० 2006, महसम्दाबाद-380016 ( जी० जे०/362), (जिसे इगमे इसके पश्चान उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निशि ग्रीर प्रकीण उपवन्य प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चाम् उक्त व मधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के मधीन छूट दिए जाने के लिए मान्देदन किया है -

श्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थान के कर्मचारी, कियी पृथक प्रक्षिदाय या प्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जंग्वन बीमा निगम का सामूष्टिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे है भीर ऐसे कर्मचारिया के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदी से श्रविक अनुकूत है जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप महस्रद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1975 (जिसे इसमें इसके पण्चान् उक्त स्वीम कहा गया है) के श्रवीन उन्हें अनुकेंग है,

श्रातः केर्न्द्राय सरकार, उक्त श्रिधिनियम की धारो 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवत्त प्राक्तिया का प्रयीग करते हुए श्लीर इसमे उपाद्यद्ध अनुसूत्री में वितिर्दिष्ट शतौँ के प्रश्लीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीने वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के समें। उपबंबी के प्रवर्तम से छूट वेता है।

# अनुसूची

- 1, उपल स्थापन के सबब में नियोगत प्रावेशिक भनिष्य निधि श्रायुक्त, प्राष्ट्रमदाबाद का ऐसी निवरणिया भेजेगा और ऐसे नेखा रखेगा तथा निरोक्षण के निए जेवी सुवि अएं प्रवान करेगा जा केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निविध्ट करे।
- 2 नियोजक, एसे निरोक्षण प्रसत्यं का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय साकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (अक) के खण्ड (क) के प्रधीन समय समय पर निदिष्ट करे।
- 3. सामूहिक कीमा रुक्तीम के प्रणापन में, जिसके प्रान्तर्गत लेख भों का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, कीमा प्रांमित्रम का सदाय, लेखाओं का श्रीरण, निरोक्षक प्रभारों का सदाय श्रादि भी है, होने को सभी व्ययों का वहत नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय गरकार द्वारा यथा अनुमंदित सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, श्रीर जब कर्या उसमें संगोधन किया जाए नब उस संगोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की खहुमख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य वासी दा अनुदात, स्थापन के सूचना-नट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।
- 5 यपि कोई ऐसा कर्मनारी, जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त श्रीधिनियम के श्रवान छुट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में निरोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक, सामृद्धिक श्रीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरस्त वर्ज करेगा श्रीर उसकी बाबन श्रायण्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन श्रीमा निगम को सवस्त करेगा।
- 6. यदि जरून स्कीम के श्रुष्ठीन कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदे श्रुष्ठाए जाते हैं तो, नियों प्रकास सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदों में रागुचित रूप में बृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिपसे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के अर्थान जपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकृत हो, जा उपन रकीम के श्रुष्ठीन अनुकेश हैं।
- 7 सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम में फिसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी फर्मजारी की मृत्य पर इस रकीम के अधीन गरेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जी कर्मजारी को उस देशा में सदेय होती जब वह उसत स्कीम के अधीन होता ती. निशीजक कर्मजारी के विधिक वारिया/नामनिर्देशिकी को प्रतिकर के करा में दोनी रकमा के अवर के वशबर रक्तम का सदाय करेगा।
- ४ सामृहित बीमा रकीन के उपबंधा में काई भी संध्यान प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि श्रायुक्त, भ्रष्टमदाबाद के पूर्व श्रनुमोदन के त्रिना नहीं किया

जाएगा श्रीर अहा किसी सणोधन से कर्सनारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने को संभावना हो वहा, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि धायुक्त, ध्रयना धनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को ध्रयना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का यक्तियक्त ध्रयसर देगा।

- 9 यदि किसी, कारणवण, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारक्षीय जीयन बीमा निगम की उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिसे स्थापना पहने क्रपना चुका है प्रधान नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने बाने फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह् की जा सकती है।
- 10. यांव किसी कारणवण, नियोजक उस नियत मार्गाख के भीतर, जा भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में प्रमक्तन रहता है, प्रीर पालिमी की व्यवगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो छठ रह की जा सकता है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दशा में, उन भूत सदस्यों के नामनिवेशितियों या विधिक वारिसों की ज़ा यदि यह, छूट न वी गई होती तो उस्त स्कीम के प्रन्तर्गत होते, बीमा फायदों के सदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।
- 1.2 उनन स्थापन के सबध में नियोजक, इस स्काम के प्रधीन प्राने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नामनिवेशितियों/ विधिक वारिमा को बीमाइन रकम का संदाय तत्परता से भीर प्रत्येक वणा में भारतीय जीवन बोमा निर्णम से बीमाइन रकम प्राप्त होने के सात दिन के भीतर सुनिश्चिस करगा।

[सं॰ एस-35014/144/82-पी॰एफ-2]

S.O. 3727.—Whereas Messis New Shairock Mills (Division of Maftalal Industries Ltd.) Asarwa Road, P.B. No. 2006, Ahmedabad-380016 (GJ/362) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), have applied for exemption under subsection (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (herein after referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

# SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Ahmedabad maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.

- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Ahmadabad, and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India,

[No. S-35014(144)/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 3728--मैसर्स सीमेंट कार्योरेशन प्राफ इंडिया लिमिटेड, मई विल्ली- (डी॰ एल /222) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उकत स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कमंचारी भविष्य निश्चि और प्रकीर्ण उपवस्त्व प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त प्रधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के प्रधीन छूट विए जाने के लिए भावेदन किया है;

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अभिवाय या श्रीमियम का संवाय किए बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायवे उटा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायटों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुक्रेय हैं;

भतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उकत मिश्रिमियम की धारा 17 की उपमारा (2क) द्वारा प्रदश्त मिक्नियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भीर इससे उपावड भनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट मतों के मधीन रहते हुए, उकत स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की मबिध के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबंधों के प्रवर्तन से छुट वेती है।

# अनुसूची

- उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि ग्रायुक्त, दिल्ली को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा भीर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निविष्ट करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समास्ति के 15 बिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त भ्रधिनियम की घारा 17 की उपघारा (3क) के खण्ड (क) के अधीन समय-ममय पर निर्विष्ट करे।
- 3 सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में. जिसके ग्रन्सर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संवाय, लेखाओं का मंतरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संवाय ग्राप्टि भी है, होने वाले भभी व्ययो का बहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जाएगा।
- 4. नियोजक, केल्पीय सरकार द्वारा यथा धनुमोदिन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति, श्रीर जब कभी उनमें संगोधन किया जाए, तब उस संगोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का धनुवाद, स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रविशित करेगा।
- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी, जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त मिन्निसम के मधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजिक, सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरस्त वर्ज करेगा भीर उसकी बाबत म्रावश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदस्त करेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मवारियों की उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाए जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मवारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मवारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अप्रीत अनुकृष हों, जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुकृष हों,
- 7. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के प्रधीन संदेय रक्तम उस रक्षम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस / नामनिर्देशिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोंनों रक्तमों के प्रतिर के बराबर रक्तम का संदाय करेगा।
- 8. सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबश्धों में कोई भी संशोधन, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त, दिल्ली के पूर्व प्रनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो वहां, प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि प्रायुक्त अपना ध्रमुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को प्रपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अपनर देगा।
- 9 यदि किसी कारणवर्षा, स्थापस के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन कीमा निगम भी उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले भपना चुका है ग्रधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस स्कीम के ग्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे जिसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छुट रहे की जा सकती है।

- 10. यवि किसी कारणवश, नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में मसफल रहता है, भौर पालिसी को व्ययगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 11 नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की बता में, उन मृत सबस्यों के नामनिर्देशितियों या विधिक बारिसों को जो यबि यह, छूट न बी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के झन्तगंत होते, बीमा फायदी के सैदाय का उत्तरबायित्व नियोजक पर होता।
- 12. उन्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के ध्रधीन प्रपत्ते वाले किसी सवस्य की मृत्यू होने पर उसके हकवार नामनिर्देशितियो, विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संवाय तत्परता से भीर प्रत्येक वशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के सात विन के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[सं॰ एस 35014 /84 /82 पी॰ एफ॰ II]

S.O. 3728.—Whereas Messrs Cement Corporation of India Limited, New Delhi (DL/2227), (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under subsection (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952) (herein after referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees that the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

### **SCHEDULE**

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Delhi, maintain such accounts and provide for such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission or returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display, on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Where the employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available

under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had the employee been covered under the said Schme, the employer shal lpay the difference to the legal heir/nomince of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner. Delhi, and where any amendment is likely to affect davetsely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default ,if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon th death of the member covered under the Scheme, the employer in relation to the said establishment shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nominee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

# नई दिल्ली, 14 मन्त्वर, 1982

का०आ० 3729—केरल राज्य सरकार ने कर्मेचारी राज्य बीमा भ्रधि-नियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (i) के खण्ड (ध) के भ्रनुसरण में डा० जोमफ जेंचारियाज के स्थान पर डा० पी० यू० श्रव्वाक्कर, निदेशक, बीमा चिकित्सा सेवायें, केरल सरकार तिर्वेन्त्रम को चिकित्सा प्रमुविधा परिषद में उस राज्य से प्रतिनिधित्य करने के लिए नामनिविष्ट है;

श्रतः श्रव केन्द्रीय सरकार कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा श्रिष्ठित्यम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के श्रनुसरण में, भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की श्रिष्ठभूचना संख्या का ० श्रा० 3329, विनोक 19 नवस्वर, 1981 में निस्तलिखित संशोधन करती है, श्रथति.-

उन्त प्रशिसूचना में ["संबंधित राज्य सरकारों द्वारा धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (1) के प्रधीन नामनिर्दिष्ट] (पीर्षक के नीचे मद 12 के सामने की प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखिन प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी, प्रधीन.-

डा० पी० क्यू० मभूबाक्कर, निदेशक, बीमा चिकित्सा सेवाएं, केरल सरकार, तिबेद्दम ∗14.

[म॰ य॰ 16012/ 3 / 82 -एच॰ मार्डिं]

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1982

S.O. 3729.—Whereas the State Government of Kerala has, in pursuance of clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of

1948) nominated Dr. P. U. Abubacker, Director of Insurance Medical Services, Government of Kerala, Trivendrum to represent that State on the Medical Benefit Council in place of Dr. Joseph Zacharias;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Sub-section (1) of section 10 of the employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 3329, dated 19th November, 1981, namely :--

In the said notification, under the heading "(Nominated by the State Governments concerned under clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10)" for the entry against item 12, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. P. U. Abubacker Director of Insurance Medical Services, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum-14."

[No. U-16012/3/82-HI]

का० आ० 3730----हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य सरकार ने कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा प्रितियम, 1948(1948 का 34) की धारा 4 के खुण्ड (घ) के धनुसरण में श्री आई० के० सूरी के स्थान पर श्री धार० के० आनन्द, धायुक्त-सचिव, हि० प्र० सरकार को कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा निगम में उस राज्य का प्रतिनिधित्व करने के लिए सामनिविष्ट किया है;

भतः भव केन्द्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा भश्चितियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 4 के भ्रतुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की भ्रधिसूचना संख्या का० भा० 850 (भ्र) दिनाक 21 भक्तूबर 1980 में निम्नलिखिन समोधन करती है, भ्रथति.-

उक्त मधिसूचमा में, "राज्य सरकारो द्वारा धारा 4 के खण्ड (व) के मधीन नामनि-विष्ट)" शीर्षक के नीचे सब्द 13 के सामने की प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्मलिखित प्रविष्ट रखी जाएगी मर्थात.-

> "श्री घार० के० आनन्द , घासुक्त व सचिव हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार श्रम भौर रोजगार विभाग, शिमला"

[सं॰ यृ॰ 16012/13/82-एच० शाई०] ए० के० भन्दाराई, ग्रवर सचित्र।

S.O. 3730.—Whereas the State Government of Himachal Pradesh has, in pursuance of clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) nominated Shri R. K. Anand, Commissioner-cum-Secretary to the Government of Himachai Pradesh to represent that State on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation, in place of Shri I. K. Suit;

Now, therefore, in pursance of section 4 of the employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of Ind.a in the Ministry of Labour No. 850(E), dated the 21st October, 1980, namely ...

In the said notification, under the beading "(Nominated by the State Governments under clause (d) of section 4)", for the entry against Serial Number 13, the following entry shall be substituted, rainely:—

"Shri R. K. ANAND, Commissioner-cunt-Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Labour and Employment Department, Sinds"

[U. 16012/13/82-H1]
A. K. BHATTARAI, Under Secy.